

Spring 2009

Homework problems are due in class one week from the day assigned (which is in parentheses).

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Fr aisse 1960 [8]). *If M and N are \mathcal{L} -structures and $M \equiv_n N$, then M and N model the same \mathcal{L} -sentences of quantifier depth $\leq n$.*

Problem 1. (1-21 W)

For structures M and N in the language of pure equality, prove that $M \equiv_n N$ iff $\|M\| = \|N\|$ or ($\|M\| \geq n$ and $\|N\| \geq n$).

Problem 2. (1-21 W)

Let M be an equivalence relation with exactly one equivalence class of size n for each positive integer n and no infinite classes. Let N be the same, except in addition it has one infinite equivalence class. Use Ehrenfeucht games to prove that $M \equiv N$.

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Fr aisse 1960). *If \mathcal{L} is a finite language which contains only predicate symbols and constant symbols, then for every $n \in \omega$ there exist a finite set F_n of \mathcal{L} -sentences each with quantifier depth $\leq n$ such that for any two \mathcal{L} -structures M and N , if $(M \models \theta \text{ iff } N \models \theta)$ for every θ in F_n , then $M \equiv_n N$.*

Problem 3. (1-26 M)

Let L_n be a linear order of size n and $L_\infty = \omega + \omega^*$ where ω^* is the order type of the negative integers.

(a) Prove that for every $n < \omega$ there is an $N < \omega$ such that $L_k \equiv_n L_\infty$ for all $k > N$.

(b) Use the part (a) to prove that the linear orders ω and $\omega + \omega^* + \omega = \omega + \mathbb{Z}$ are elementarily equivalent.

(c) Use part (b) to prove that (ω, S) and $(\omega + \mathbb{Z}, S)$ are elementarily equivalent where S is the successor operation, $S(x) = x + 1$.

Theorem (Cantor 1880) *If M and N are countable \mathcal{L} -structures, then $M \simeq N$ iff $M \equiv_\infty N$.*

Problem 4. (1-26 M)

Let T be a \mathcal{L} -theory such that T has no finite models and \mathcal{L} is countable. Prove that the following are equivalent:

1. T is ω -categorical
2. $M \equiv_\infty N$ for every pair of models M and N of T .

Hint: You may use without proof a consequence of the Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem, namely if M is a model of T and a_1, \dots, a_n a tuple from $|M|$, then

$$\text{Th}(M, a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

is ω -categorical. You may also use the Downward-Lowenheim-Skolem-Tarski Theorem.

Theorem (*Carol Karp 1965*). *Given \mathcal{L} -structures M and N the following are equivalent:*

1. M and N satisfy the same $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, \omega}$ sentences
2. $M \equiv_\infty N$

Problem 5. (1-28 W)

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of \mathcal{L} -structures.

Prove that \mathcal{K} is EC iff both \mathcal{K} and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ are EC_Δ .

Theorem (*Los-Tarski 1955*) *A first-order theory T is \forall -axiomatizable iff the models of T are closed under taking substructures.*

Corollary *The class of models of a sentence θ is closed under taking substructures iff θ is logically equivalent to a \forall -sentence.*

Corollary *The class of models of a sentence θ is closed under taking superstructures iff θ is logically equivalent to a \exists -sentence.*

Problem 6. (2-02 M)

Show that if a first-order theory T is preserved by taking superstructures, then it can be axiomatized by existential sentences, i.e. \exists -sentences.

Hint: Suppose $M \models (\exists\text{-sent}) \cap T$. Prove that $\text{Th}_\forall(M) \cup T$ is consistent.

Theorem (*Elementary Chain Lemma Tarski-Vaught 1957*) *If*

$$M_0 \preceq M_1 \preceq M_2 \preceq M_3 \preceq \dots$$

is a chain of elementary substructures and

$$N = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M_n$$

then $M_k \preceq N$ for all $k < \omega$.

Theorem (Chang-Los-Suszko 1959) *A first-order theory T is axiomatizable by $\forall\exists$ -sentences iff the models of T are closed under chains of substructures.*

Problem 7. (2-04 W)

(Directed Unions) Suppose \mathcal{D} is a directed set of \mathcal{L} -structures and $M_\infty = \bigcup \mathcal{D}$. Prove:

- (a) Every $\forall\exists$ -sentence which is true in every $M \in \mathcal{D}$, is true in M_∞ .
- (b) If for every $M \subseteq N$ in \mathcal{D} we have $M \preceq N$, then $M \preceq M_\infty$ for every $M \in \mathcal{D}$.

Problem 8. (2-04 W)

(Direct Limits). Let $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ be a poset (partially ordered set), $(M_p : p \in \mathbb{P})$ a family of \mathcal{L} -structures, and $j_{pq} : M_p \rightarrow M_q$ be maps for each $p \leq q$ in \mathbb{P} . State the appropriate conditions on \mathbb{P} , these structures, and these maps, so as to naturally generalize problem above (a) and (b).

Problem 9. (2-06 F)

Show that $T = Th(\mathbb{Q}, \leq, S)$ where S is the successor function is finitely axiomatizable. Warning: it is not categorical in any power.

Theorem (Lowenheim 1915) *If T is a theory in countable language and has a model, then it has a countable model.*

Theorem (Lowenheim-Skolem) *If T is an \mathcal{L} -theory which has an infinite model, then T has models of all cardinality $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \omega$.*

Theorem (Upward-Downward Lowenheim-Skolem-Tarski 1950s) *See*

<http://www.math.wisc.edu/~miller/old/m776-97/peq.pdf>

Theorem (Los-Vaught Test 1954) *If T is an \mathcal{L} -theory which has no finite models and is κ -categorical for some $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \omega$, then T is complete.*

Theorem (McKinsey 1943) *A first-order theory T is axiomatizable by universal Horn sentences iff the class of models of T is closed under substructure and products.*

Problem 10. (2-09 M)

Prove that the class of well-orderings is not PC_Δ but its complement is.

Theorem (Keisler Sandwich 1960) *An \mathcal{L} -theory T is $\exists\forall$ -axiomatizable iff for any \mathcal{L} -structures $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3$ with $M_1 \preceq M_3$, if $M_1 \models T$, then $M_2 \models T$.*

Problem 11. (2-11 W)

Let M_1 and M_2 be \mathcal{L} -structures. Prove that $M_1 \equiv M_2$ iff there are \mathcal{L} -structures N_1 and N_2 such that $M_1 \preceq N_1$, $M_2 \preceq N_2$, and $N_1 \simeq N_2$.

Theorem (Lyndon 1959) *A first-order theory T is axiomatizable by positive sentences iff the class of models of T is closed under homomorphic images.*

Key Lemma. *Suppose $B \models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(A)$ then*

(a) *there exists $B' \succeq B$ and $f : A \rightarrow B'$ such that*

$$(B, f(a))_{a \in |A|} \models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(A, a)_{a \in |A|}$$

(b) *there exists $A' \succeq A$ and $g : B \rightarrow A'$ such that*

$$(B, b)_{b \in |B|} \models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(A', g(b))_{b \in |B|}$$

Problem 12. (2-13 F)

(a) Prove that

$$B \models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(A) \text{ iff } A \models \text{Th}_{\neg\text{POS}}(B)$$

(b) Find A and B such that $B \models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(A)$ but $A \not\models \text{Th}_{\text{POS}}(B)$.

Problem 13. (2-13 F)

Prove Key Lemma part (b).

Theorem (Craig's Interpolation Lemma 1957) *Suppose θ_1 is an \mathcal{L}_1 -sentence, θ_2 is an \mathcal{L}_2 -sentence, and $\vdash \theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_2$. Then there exists ρ an $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$ -sentence such that $\vdash \theta_1 \rightarrow \rho$ and $\vdash \rho \rightarrow \theta_2$.*

Problem 14. (2-16 M)

(Prove) Suppose T_0 is a complete \mathcal{L}_0 -theory, T_1 is a complete \mathcal{L}_1 -theory, and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{L}_1$. Then:

$T_0 \cup T_1$ is consistent iff $(T_0 \cap (\mathcal{L} - sent)) \cup (T_1 \cap (\mathcal{L} - sent))$ is consistent.

Problem 15. (2-16 M)

(Millar) (Disprove) Suppose T_i (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) is a complete consistent \mathcal{L}_i -theory. Then:

$T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$ is consistent iff $T_i \cup T_j$ is consistent for all i and j .

Problem 16. (2-18 W)

Suppose that M is an infinite \mathcal{L} -structure, \leq is a binary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} , and \leq^M is a linear order with no greatest element. Prove there exists $N \succeq M$ with $\|N\| \leq \omega_1 + |\mathcal{L}| + \|M\|$ and the cofinality of \leq^N is ω_1 .

Theorem (Beth Definability) *With respect to theories, implicitly definable implies explicitly definable.*

Theorem (Addison 1960 [1]) *Let \mathcal{L} be a language containing at least one constant symbol. Suppose θ_0 is a universal \mathcal{L} -sentence and θ_1 an existential \mathcal{L} -sentence such that $\vdash \theta_0 \rightarrow \theta_1$. Then there exists a quantifier free \mathcal{L} -sentence ρ such that $\vdash \theta_0 \rightarrow \rho$ and $\vdash \rho \rightarrow \theta_1$.*

Problem 17. (2-20 F)

Suppose \mathcal{L} is language containing at least one relation or operation symbol but no constant symbols. Show there exists θ_0 a universal \mathcal{L} -sentence and θ_1 an existential \mathcal{L} -sentence such that

1. $\theta_0 \rightarrow \theta_1$ is a logical validity,
2. θ_1 is not a logical validity, and
3. $\neg\theta_0$ is not a logical validity.

Show that there is no such pair of sentences in the language of pure equality.

Theorem (Shoenfield 1960 in [2], [16] p. 97) *Suppose θ_0 is a $\forall\exists$ - \mathcal{L} -sentence and θ_1 is an $\exists\forall$ - \mathcal{L} -sentence such that $\vdash \theta_0 \rightarrow \theta_1$. Then there exists an \mathcal{L} -sentence ρ which is a boolean combination of existential and universal sentences such that $\vdash \theta_0 \rightarrow \rho$ and $\vdash \rho \rightarrow \theta_1$. (Similar result holds for higher prenex classes.)*

Problem 18. (2-23 M)

Let R be a binary relation symbol. Note that

$$\exists x \forall y R(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x R(x, y)$$

Prove that there does not exist a sentence ρ which is a boolean combination of existential and universal sentences and interpolates between them.

Hint: Consider R -structures in which every finite R -structure embeds.

Theorem (Rabin 1959 [15], [6] p. 136.) *There is a complete theory T in a language of size continuum, which is categorical in power ω and has no model of size κ with $\omega < \kappa < |2^\omega|$.*

Problem 19. (2-25 W)

Give an example of a theory T with arbitrarily large finite models but no model of cardinality κ with $\omega \leq \kappa < |2^\omega|$.

Problem 20. (2-25 W)

Suppose that the continuum $|2^\omega|$ is larger than \aleph_ω . Prove that for every $A \subseteq \omega$ there is a first order theory T_A such that for every $n < \omega$

T_A has a model of cardinality ω_n iff $n \in A$.

Open Question. Can we find T_A which is complete?

Theorem (Los 1955) *For any $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \prod_i A_i$ and formula θ*
 $\prod_i A_i / \mathcal{U} \models \theta([f_1], \dots, [f_n])$ iff $\{i \in I : A_i \models \theta(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Problem 21. (2-27 F)

Prove Los's Theorem for ultraproducts $\prod_{n \in \omega} A_n / \mathcal{U}$ and the language $\mathcal{L}(Q_{c^+})$ where $Q_{c^+}x$ is the quantifier which means "There are more than continuum many x such that".

Theorem (Keisler unpublished see [7] p.472) *If T is a first-order theory with a model of size $\kappa \geq \omega$, then for every $\lambda \geq \kappa^\omega$ T has a model of size λ .*

Theorem (Keisler 1959) (CH) *If $A \equiv B$ are countable and \mathcal{U} is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω , then $A^\omega / \mathcal{U} \simeq B^\omega / \mathcal{U}$.*

Theorem (Morley, Vaught 1962) *If A and B are κ -saturated models of size κ , then $A \simeq B$.*

Problem 22. (3-04 W)

Suppose A is an \mathcal{L} structure and \leq is a binary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} such that A reduced to \leq is a linear order of uncountable cofinality. Prove:

(a) There exists a proper elementary extension $B \succeq A$ such that $|A|$ is cofinal in $|B|$, i.e., no new elements come at the end.

(b) There exists elementary extensions $B \succeq A$ of arbitrarily large cardinality such that $|A|$ is cofinal in $|B|$.

Theorem (Hausdorff 1936 see [12]) *There are $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ many ultrafilters on ω .*

Problem 23. (3-06 F)

Prove there exists $f_\alpha : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ for $\alpha < \mathfrak{c} = |2^\omega|$ such that for any $F \in [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ and $s : F \rightarrow \omega$ there exists $n < \omega$ such that $f_\alpha(n) = s(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in F$.

Problem 24. (3-06 F)

Prove that for any infinite cardinal κ there are 2^{2^κ} ultrafilters on κ .

Theorem (Morley-Vaught 1962) *If $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \omega$ and A an \mathcal{L} -structure of cardinality 2^κ , then there exists $B \succeq A$ of cardinality 2^κ which is κ^+ -saturated.*

Theorem (Vaught) *Let T be theory in a countable language. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T has a countable ω -saturated model
2. T has a countable weakly-saturated model
3. $S_n(T)$ is countable for all n

Theorem (Vaught) *A structure A is ω -saturated iff it is weakly saturated and ω -homogenous.*

Theorem (Vaught) *If \mathcal{L} is countable and A is a countable \mathcal{L} -structure, then there exists a countable ω -homogeneous $B \succeq A$.*

Problem 25. (3-11 W)

Suppose T is a consistent \mathcal{L} -theory with only infinite models. Suppose \leq is a binary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} such that $T \vdash "$ \leq is a linear order". Prove that every ω_1 -saturated model has cardinality at least continuum.

Theorem (*Vaught's Two Cardinal*) *If a theory T in a countable language with a distinguished predicate U admits (κ, κ^+) , then it admits (ω, ω_1) .*

Theorem (*Henkin-Orey 1954*) *If T is a consistent theory in a countable language and $(\Sigma_n : n < \omega)$ are nowhere dense partial types, then T has a model omitting all Σ_n .*

Problem 26. (3-13 F)

Suppose that T is an \mathcal{L} -theory and $S_n(T)$ is countable. Prove there exists a countable $\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that every \mathcal{L} -formula $\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta(\bar{x})$ there exists an \mathcal{L}_0 -formula $\theta_0(\bar{x})$ such that $T \vdash \forall \bar{x} (\theta(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow \theta_0(\bar{x}))$.

Theorem (*Henkin-Orey 1954*) *If T is an ω -complete consistent theory, then T has an ω -model. If T is complete and has an ω -model, then T is ω -complete.*

Problem 27. (3-23 M)

Prove or Disprove. Suppose T is an \mathcal{L} -theory where \mathcal{L} is countable and Σ_n for $n < \omega$ are partial types. Suppose for every $N < \omega$ that T has a model omitting $(\Sigma_n : n < N)$. Then T has a model omitting $(\Sigma_n : n < \omega)$.

Theorem (*Keisler [18]*) *Suppose A is a countable \mathcal{L} -structure, \mathcal{L} countable, and \leq is a binary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} with the properties:*

1. \leq^A is a linear order without a greatest element and
2. for any $\theta(x, y)$ with parameters from A and $a \in A$ if

$$A \models \forall x < a \exists y \theta(x, y),$$

then there is a $b \in A$ such that

$$A \models \forall x < a \exists y < b \theta(x, y).$$

Then A has a proper elementary end extension.

Problem 28. (3-25 W)

Prove the converse to this theorem. If A has a proper elementary end extension, then (1) and (2) must hold.

Theorem (*Keisler*) *Two cardinal theorem for sentences of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$.*

Theorem (MacDowell-Specker 1961) *Every model of Peano Arithmetic, has a proper elementary end extension. (proof for countable models only)*

Theorem (Vaught) *The set of logical validities for $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ is computably enumerable.*

Theorem (Fuhrken) *$\mathcal{L}(Q)$ is countably compact.*

Problem 29. (3-27 F)

(a) Prove that for \mathcal{L} countable that for any uncountable A \mathcal{L} -structure, there is B of cardinality ω_1 with

$$B \preceq_{\mathcal{L}(Q)} A.$$

Here Qx means “there are uncountably many x such that”.

(b) Prove that for any countable family \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formulas, each with only finitely many free variables, for any \mathcal{L} -structure A there is a countable B with

$$B \preceq_{\mathcal{F}} A.$$

Theorem (Ryll-Nardzewski 1959) *Suppose T is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L} -theory without finite models. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T is ω -categorical
2. $S_n(T)$ is finite for all $n < \omega$
3. every $p \in S_n(T)$ is principal for all $n < \omega$.

Problem 30. (3-30 M)

Suppose T_2 is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L}_2 -theory without finite models, $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ and $T_1 = T_2 \cap (\mathcal{L}_1\text{-sentences})$.

- (a) (Prove) T_2 ω -categorical implies T_1 ω -categorical.
- (b) (Disprove) T_1 ω -categorical implies T_2 ω -categorical.
- (c) (Prove) T_1 ω -categorical implies T_2 ω -categorical, if $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \{c\}$.

Theorem *Suppose T is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L} -theory without finite models. Any two prime models of T are isomorphic. If A is the prime model of T , then A elementarily embeds in every model of T . Conversely, if A embeds into model of T , then A is the prime model of T .*

Theorem (Vaught 1961) *Suppose T is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L} -theory without finite models. Then T has a prime model iff the principal types in $S_n(T)$ are dense for all $n < \omega$.*

Theorem (Vaught Never Two) *Suppose T is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L} -theory without finite models. Then $I(\omega, T) \neq 2$.*

Example (Ehrenfeucht) *For each n with $3 \leq n < \omega$ there is a countable, complete theory T with $I(\omega, T) = n$.*

Problem 31. (4-01 W)

Suppose T is a countable, complete, consistent \mathcal{L} -theory without finite models. Suppose that every countable model of T is ω -homogeneous. Prove that $I(\omega, T) = 1$ or $I(\omega, T) \geq \omega$.

Example (Kunen unpublished) *There is a pseudo elementary class with exactly ω_1 countable models up to isomorphism. (Homogeneous linear orders).*

Theorem *Ramsey's Theorem, finite version of Ramsey's Theorem.*

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski) *Suppose T is a first-order theory with an infinite model and (I, \leq) is a linear-order. Then T has a model A with $I \subseteq |A|$ order-indiscernibles.*

Problem 32. (4-06 M)

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$ where R is a binary relation symbol. Prove there are finitely many infinite \mathcal{L} -structures M_i for $i < N$ such that for every universal \mathcal{L} theory T with an infinite model some $M_i \models T$. Extra credit: prove the same for R 3-ary and find the smallest N .

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski) *Suppose T is a countable first-order theory with an infinite model. Then for any $\kappa \geq \omega$ T has a model of size κ which realizes only countably many types and has 2^κ automorphisms.*

Problem 33. (4-13 M)

Suppose T is a countable first-order theory with an infinite model. Prove that for every $\kappa > \omega$ that T has a model A of size κ such that for every countable $X \subseteq |A|$, the structure $(A, c)_{c \in X}$ realizes only countably many types.

Theorem (Erdos-Rado) $\beth_n^+(\kappa) \rightarrow (\kappa^+)_\kappa^{n+1}$.

Example (Sierpinski) $2^\omega \not\rightarrow (3)_\omega^2$ $2^\omega \not\rightarrow (\omega_1)_2^2$.

Problem 34. (4-15 W)

Suppose T is a countable first-order theory with an infinite model. Prove that there exists countable models of T , $A(X)$ for $X \subseteq \omega$, such that for any $X, Y \subseteq \omega$

$$X \subseteq Y \text{ iff } A(X) \preceq A(Y).$$

Theorem (Vaught's two cardinals far apart) Suppose T is a countable theory with distinguished predicate U . Suppose for every n there is a $\kappa \geq \omega$ such that T has a model of type $(\beth_n(\kappa), \kappa)$. Then for every $\gamma \geq \kappa \geq \omega$, T has a model of type (γ, κ) .

Theorem (Morley) The Hanf Number of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ is \beth_{ω_1} .

Theorem (Silver, Erdos, Rowbottom) Let κ_0 be the least κ such that

$$\kappa \rightarrow (\omega)_2^{<\omega}$$

Assume κ_0 exists, then

1. κ_0 is strongly inaccessible.
2. The Hanf Number of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega_1}$ is at least κ_0 .
3. There are unboundedly many weakly compact cardinals less than κ_0 , however κ_0 is not weakly compact.

Problem 35. (4-22 W)

Let κ_1 be least such that $\kappa_1 \rightarrow (\omega + 1)_2^{<\omega}$. Prove $\kappa_1 > \kappa_0$. Extra credit: prove it is strongly inaccessible.

Theorem (Morley) If a countable first-order theory is categorical in some uncountable power, then it is categorical in all uncountable powers.

Problem 36. (4-27 M)

Let $T_n = Th(\omega^\omega, P_s)_{s \in \omega \leq n}$ where P_s is the unary predicate

$$P_s = \{x \in \omega^\omega : s \subseteq x\}.$$

Prove that

- (a) $\text{rank}_{T_n}(x = x) = n + 1$.
- (b) Give an example of a T such that $\text{rank}_T(x = x) = \omega$.

Theorem (Shelah) *Suppose T is a countable theory.*

- (a) *If there exists $\kappa \geq \omega$ such that T is κ -stable, then T is κ -stable for every κ such that $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$.*
- (b) *T is unstable iff T has the order property.*

References

- [1] Addison, J. W.; The theory of hierarchies. 1962 Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science (Proc. 1960 Internat. Congr.) pp. 26–37 Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif.
- [2] Addison, J. W.; Some problems in hierarchy theory. 1962 Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. V pp. 123–130 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.
- [3] Barwise, Jon; Back and forth through infinitary logic. Studies in model theory, pp. 5–34. MAA Studies in Math., Vol. 8, Math. Assoc. Amer., Buffalo, N.Y., 1973.
- [4] Barwise, Jon; An introduction to first-order logic pp. 5–46 in [5].
- [5] Barwise, Jon; **Handbook of mathematical logic**. Edited by Jon Barwise. With the cooperation of H. J. Keisler, K. Kunen, Y. N. Moschovakis and A. S. Troelstra. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Vol. 90. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. xi+1165 pp. ISBN: 0-7204-2285-X
- [6] Bell, J. L.; Slomson, A. B.; Models and ultraproducts: An introduction. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London 1969 ix+322 pp.
- [7] Chang and Keisler; Model theory, QA9.7 C45 1990, on reserve in math library.
- [8] Ehrenfeucht, A.; An application of games to the completeness problem for formalized theories. Fund. Math. 49 1960/1961 129–141.
<http://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/tresc.php?wyd=1&tom=49>

- [9] Feferman, Anita Burdman; Feferman, Solomon; **Alfred Tarski: life and logic**. Reprint of the 2004 original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. vi+425 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-71401-3 01A70 (03-03)
- [10] Keisler, H. Jerome; Fundamentals of model theory pp. 47–103 [5].
- [11] Keisler, H. Jerome; Theory of models with generalized atomic formulas. *J. Symb. Logic* 25 1960 1–26.
- [12] Kunen, Kenneth; Ultrafilters and independent sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 172 (1972), 299–306. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1996350>
- [13] Marker, David; Model theory : an introduction, QA9.7 M367 2002, on reserve in math library.
- [14] Pillay's lecture notes on model theory:
http://www.math.uiuc.edu/People/pillay/lecturenotes_modeltheory.pdf
- [15] Rabin, Michael O.; Arithmetical extensions with prescribed cardinality. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* 62 = *Indag. Math.* 21 1959 439–446.
- [16] Shoenfield, Joseph R.; *Mathematical logic*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. 1967 viii+344 pp.
- [17] Simpson's lecture notes on model theory:
<http://www.math.psu.edu/simpson/courses/math563/>
- [18] Vaught, Robert L.; Some aspects of the theory of models. *Papers in the foundations of mathematics*. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 80 (1973), no. 6, part II, 3–37.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3038220>
- [19] Weiss, William and Cherie D'Mello, *Fundamentals of Model Theory*, lecture notes are on-line:
http://www.math.toronto.edu/weiss/model_theory.html