A. Miller	M542	An Example	Spring 2000
-----------	------	------------	-------------

**Theorem.** There exists a field F and  $\alpha, \beta$  in some extension field of F such that  $[F(\alpha, \beta) : F] < \infty$  but there is no  $\gamma \in F[\alpha, \beta]$  such that  $F(\alpha, \beta) = F(\gamma)$ .

This is similar to the example on page 354 of Gallian's book. Let  $F = \mathbb{Z}_2(s,t)$  where s,t are indeterminates, i.e., variables. F is the field of all quotients of polynomials in the two variables with coefficients 0 or 1.

By Kronecker's Theorem we know that in some extension field of F there exists  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha^2 = s$  and  $\beta^2 = t$ .

Claim 1.  $[F(\alpha, \beta) : F] = 4$ . Claim 2. For all  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$  we have that  $\gamma^2 \in F$ .

The Claims finish the proof because if  $\gamma^2 = a \in F$ , then  $\gamma$  is a root of the polynomial  $f(x) = x^2 - a$  and therefore  $[F(\gamma) : F] \leq 2$  and so  $F(\alpha, \beta) \neq F(\gamma)$ .

To prove Claim 1 note that it suffices to prove

$$\beta \notin F(\alpha).$$

This is because by symmetry  $\alpha \notin F(\beta)$  and therefore  $\alpha \notin F$ . Now  $[F(\alpha) : F]$  is either 1 or 2 because  $\alpha$  is the root of a polynomial of degree 2. So  $\alpha \notin F$  implies it is 2. Similarly for  $[F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)]$ . Hence

$$[F(\alpha,\beta):F] = [F(\alpha,\beta):F(\alpha)][F(\alpha):F] = 2 \times 2 = 4$$

SubClaim.  $\beta \notin F(\alpha)$ .

 $F(\alpha) = \{a + b\alpha : a, b \in F\}$ . In any field of characteristic 2 we have that  $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$ . Hence if  $\beta \in F(\alpha)$  there would have to be  $a, b \in F$  such that  $\beta = a + b\alpha$  and so

$$t = \beta^2 = a^2 + b^2 \alpha^2 = a^2 + b^2 s$$

The elements a and b are rational functions in the two variables, but by clearing the denominators we can find polynomials  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_2[s, t]$  such that (c nontrivial)

$$c^2t = a^2 + b^2s$$

If we think of the two sides as polynomials in t then the degree of poly on the left is odd. On the right the degree in t is even unless a and b have the same degree and the highest coefficient cancels. Say the highest coefficient of a is  $a_n t^n$  and for b it is  $b_n t^n$  where  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are polynomials in the variable s. Then the term with the highest coefficient of  $a^2 + b^2 s$  is  $(a_n^2 + b_n^2 s)t^{2n}$ . For this coefficient to cancel means that  $a_n^2 + b_n^2 s = 0$ . But  $a_n^2$  has even degree (as a polynomial in s) and  $b_n^2 s$  has odd degree, contradiction. Thus the SubClaim is proved and so is Claim 1.

To prove Claim 2 note that

$$F(\alpha) = \{a + b\alpha : a, b \in F\}$$
$$F(\alpha, \beta) = \{c + d\beta : c, d \in F(\alpha)\}$$

and therefor

$$F(\alpha,\beta) = \{a + b\alpha + c\beta + d\alpha\beta : a, b, c, d \in F\}$$

But if  $\gamma = a + b\alpha + c\beta + d\alpha\beta$  then

$$\gamma^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2}\alpha^{2} + c^{2}\beta^{2} + d^{2}\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2}s + c^{2}t + d^{2}st \in F$$