SPHERICAL MAXIMAL OPERATORS WITH FRACTAL SETS OF DILATIONS ON RADIAL FUNCTIONS

DAVID BELTRAN, JORIS ROOS, ANDREAS SEEGER

ABSTRACT. For a given set of dilations $E \subset [1,2]$, Lebesgue space mapping properties of the spherical maximal operator with dilations restricted to E are studied when acting on radial functions. In higher dimensions, the type set only depends on the upper Minkowski dimension of E, and in this case complete endpoint results are obtained. In two dimensions we determine the closure of the $L^p \to L^q$ type set for every given set E in terms of a dimensional spectrum closely related to the upper Assouad spectrum of E.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $d \geq 2$ and $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define $A_t f(x)$ as the average of f over a sphere of radius t centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Given a set of dilations $E \subset [1,2]$ the spherical maximal operator M_E is given by

$$M_E f = \sup_{t \in E} |A_t f(x)|.$$

Let $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \subset L^p$ denote the space of radial L^p functions f, that is f taking the form $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$. In this paper we are interested in the *radial type set* of M_E, that is

$$\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \{(\tfrac{1}{p}, \tfrac{1}{q}) \in [0,1]^2 \,:\, M_E : L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q\}.$$

With $\mathcal{T}_E$ denoting the (full) type set of exponent pairs $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [0,1]^2$ such that M_E is bounded $L^p \to L^q$ it is clear that $\mathcal{T}_E \subset \mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}$. This inclusion is typically strict.

Bounds for spherical maximal functions have been studied extensively in the literature, starting with the work of Stein [21] and Bourgain [3] in the $q = p$ case and of Schlag [15] and Schlag and Sogge [16] in the $q > p$ case, whenever $E = [1,2]$; see also the work of Leckband [9] and, more recently, by Nowak, Roncal and Szarek [12] in the case of radial functions. The case of restricted sets of dilations $E \subset [1,2]$ was first explored by Wainger and Wright and one of the authors [19], and continued in [20], [18] in the $q = p$ case. For the case $q > p$, two of the authors [13] described the class of closed convex sets that may arise as $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E}$ for some $E \subset [1,2]$. Moreover, for large classes of sets E, the shape of $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E}$ was determined in [1], [13]. However, it is currently not known how to determine $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E}$ for *general* subsets $E \subset [1,2]$.

In this paper we solve this problem for radial functions, by describing $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}}$ for all dilation sets $E \subset [1,2]$. When $d \geq 3$ we also settle all endpoint cases, and thus determine $\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}$ for all $E \subset [1,2]$.

Date: December 12, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Spherical maximal functions, L^p improving estimates, radial functions, Minkowski and Assouad dimensions, Assouad spectrum.

For $d \geq 3$, the set $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}}$ is a closed triangle depending on the upper Minkowski dimension of E (Theorem 1.1). In the more interesting case $d = 2$, the shape of $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}}$ is not necessarily polygonal and we give a closed formula in terms of a spectrum of dimensional quantities closely related to the upper Assouad spectrum of E (Theorem 1.2).

The case $d \geq 3$. We begin by describing the more elementary result on $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ boundedness for $d \geq 3$. For $0 < \delta < 1/2$ let $N(E, \delta)$ denote the minimum number of intervals of length δ required to cover E. Let β be the *upper Minkowski dimension* of E, defined by

$$\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log N(E, \delta)}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

We denote by $\Delta_\beta = \triangle(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ the closed triangle with vertices

$$P_1 = (0, 0), \quad P_{2,\beta} = (\tfrac{d-1}{d-1+\beta}, \tfrac{d-1}{d-1+\beta}), \quad P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta} := (\tfrac{d(d-1)}{d^2-1+\beta}, \tfrac{d-1}{d^2-1+\beta}).$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 3$ and $E \subset [1, 2]$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}} = \Delta_\beta$. More precisely:*

(i) If $\beta < 1$ and $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E, \delta) < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \Delta_\beta.$$

(ii) If $\beta < 1$ and $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E, \delta) = \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \Delta_\beta \backslash [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta}].$$

(iii) If $\beta = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \{(\tfrac{1}{p}, \tfrac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_1 \,:\, \tfrac{1}{p} < \tfrac{d-1}{d} \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_{\delta \in (0,1/2)} \delta (\log \tfrac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{q}{d}} N(E, \delta) < \infty\}.$$

Remark. For $\beta = 1$, and the corresponding endpoint case $p_d = \frac{d}{d-1}$, the operator M_E maps L^p_{rad} to L^q if and only if $q \leq dp_d$ and

$$\sup_{0<\delta<1/2} (\log(1/\delta))^{1/d} N(E, \delta)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Note that the displayed condition is dependent on q, in contrast to the case $\beta < 1$, where the endpoint bounds for $p = \frac{d-1+\beta}{d-1}$, $q \leq p_d$ involve the q-independent condition $\sup_{\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E, \delta) < \infty$.

Figure 1 relates the result of Theorem 1.1 to the results for M_E acting on general (not necessarily radial) L^p functions, see [1], [13]. For parameters $0 \leq \beta \leq \gamma \leq 1$ let $\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \gamma)$ denote the closed quadrangle with vertices

$$P_1 = (0, 0), \qquad P_{2,\beta} = (\tfrac{d-1}{d-1+\beta}, \tfrac{d-1}{d-1+\beta}),$$
$$P_{3,\beta} = (\tfrac{d-\beta}{d-\beta+1}, \tfrac{1}{d-\beta+1}), \qquad P_{4,\gamma} = (\tfrac{d(d-1)}{d^2+2\gamma-1}, \tfrac{d-1}{d^2+2\gamma-1}).$$

If $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$ is the quasi-Assouad dimension of E (for definitions see below) then $\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \gamma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}_E} \subset \mathcal{Q}(\beta, \beta)$; moreover it was shown in [13] that a closed convex set $\mathcal{W} \subset [0, 1]^2$ takes the form $\mathcal{W} = \overline{\mathcal{T}_E}$ for some E with $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$, $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}}(E) = \gamma$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{Q}(\beta, \beta).$$

We note that the radial type set is strictly larger (here for $d \geq 3$, $\beta < 1$): $\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \beta) \subsetneqq \overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}}$. This is expected for $q > p$, as the non-radial Knapp examples no longer apply in the radial case.



FIGURE 1. The triangle Δ_β.

The case $d = 2$. We shall now describe our results for $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ boundedness of M_E when $d = 2$. In order to formulate these we need to first recall some definitions from fractal geometry beyond Minkowski dimension. The *Assouad dimension* $\dim_{\mathrm{A}} E$ is defined as the infimum of all $a > 0$ such that there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and all intervals $J \subset [1, 2]$ with $|J| \geq \delta$,

$$N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq c \left(\tfrac{|J|}{\delta}\right)^a. \tag{1.1}$$

The *upper Assouad spectrum* of E is the function $\theta \mapsto \overline{\dim_{\mathrm{A},\theta}} E$ defined for every $\theta \in [0, 1)$ as the infinimum of all $a > 0$ such that there exists $c > 0$ such that (1.1) holds for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and all intervals $J \subset [1, 2]$ of length $|J| \geq \delta^\theta$. The upper Assouad spectrum (Fraser–Hare–Hare–Troscheit–Yu [5]) is a variant of the Assouad spectrum (Fraser–Yu [7], [6]), which arises when $|J| \geq \delta^\theta$ is replaced by $|J| = \delta^\theta$ and is denoted $\dim_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E$. We refer to Jonathan Fraser's book [4] for an introduction to Assouad-type dimensions. For $\theta = 0$ we recover $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \overline{\dim_{\mathrm{A},0}} E$. The upper Assouad spectrum extends to a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ and the limit

$$\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E = \lim_{\theta \to 1-} \overline{\dim_{\mathrm{A},\theta}} E$$

is called the *quasi-Assouad dimension* (Lü–Xi [11]). From the definitions one sees that always $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E \leq \dim_{\mathrm{A}} E$ and in some examples the inequality is strict (see [4]). Rutar [14] gave a simple characterization of the functions that can occur as the (upper) Assouad spectrum of a set. We shall adopt the convention that when a set $E \subset [1, 2]$ is given, we denote by γ either the quasi-Assouad or the Assouad dimension, depending on the context. Then $0 \leq \beta \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

To state our result for $d = 2$ we introduce, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the quantity

$$\nu^\sharp(\alpha) := \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log \sup_{\delta \leq |J| \leq 1} |J|^{-\alpha} N(E \cap J, \delta)}{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}. \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $d = 2$ and $E \subset [1, 2]$, and $\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E$. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E} = \Delta_\beta \cap \left\{(\tfrac{1}{p}, \tfrac{1}{q}) : \tfrac{1}{q}\nu^\sharp(\tfrac{q}{2} - 1) + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q} \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Observe $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) = \beta$ if $\alpha \le 0$. In practice, it may be difficult to compute $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 0$. However, the definition implies for $\alpha \ge 0$ (see Lemma 2.1),

$$\alpha \le \nu^\sharp(\alpha) \le \max(\alpha, (1 - \tfrac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha + \beta), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$. In particular, $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) = \alpha$ if $\alpha \ge \gamma$.

Remark. To see how $\nu^\sharp$ relates to the Assouad spectrum, suppose that

$$\sup_{|J|=\delta^\theta} N(E \cap J, \delta) \approx \delta^{\nu(\theta)}$$

for a function ν and for all $\delta \in (0,1), \theta \in [0,1]$ with constants independent of δ, θ. Then $\nu^\sharp$ is equal to the Legendre transform of the function ν and the Assouad spectrum is given by $\dim_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E = -\nu(\theta)/(1-\theta)$ for $\theta \in [0,1)$ (and if ν is non-decreasing, this also equals the upper Assouad spectrum $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E$).

In two dimensions, the triangle Δ_β has vertices

$$P_1 = (0,0), \quad P_{2,\beta} = (\tfrac{1}{1+\beta}, \tfrac{1}{1+\beta}), \quad P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta} = (\tfrac{2}{3+\beta}, \tfrac{1}{3+\beta}).$$

For $2\gamma - \beta > 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{rad}}_{\beta,\gamma}$ the closed quadrangle with vertices

$$P_1,\ P_{2,\beta},\ P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{4,\gamma} = (\tfrac{1}{1+\gamma}, \tfrac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}),$$

$$P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{5,\beta,\gamma} = (\tfrac{(1-\beta)(2-\beta/\gamma)+2(1-\beta/\gamma)}{2((1-\beta)+2(1-\beta/\gamma))}, \tfrac{1-\beta/\gamma}{1-\beta+2(1-\beta/\gamma)}).$$

Then Theorem 1.2 and (1.3) imply the following (also see Figure 2).

Corollary 1.3. *Let $d = 2$ and $E \subset [1,2]$, and $\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E$, $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$.*

(i) If $2\gamma - \beta \le 1$, then

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E} = \Delta_\beta.$$

(ii) If $2\gamma - \beta > 1$, then

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{rad}}_{\beta,\gamma} \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E} \subset \Delta_\beta.$$

Moreover, the left inclusion is sharp in the sense that there exist sets E for which the inclusion is an equality.

Regarding the boundary of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E$, it was shown in [20] that it includes the segment $[P_1, P_{2,\beta})$. In the $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ category we can ensure the following endpoint results, which feature the Assouad dimension instead of the quasi-Assouad dimension.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $d = 2$, $E \subset [1,2]$, $\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E$ and $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{A}} E$. Then the following hold.*

(i) For $2\gamma - \beta < 1$,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E = \Delta_\beta \iff \sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) < \infty.$$

(ii) For $2\gamma - \beta = 1$, $\beta < 1$ and if $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) < \infty$,

$$\Delta_\beta \setminus \{P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E \subseteq \Delta_\beta$$

(iii) For $2\gamma - \beta = 1$ and if $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) = \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E = \Delta_\beta \setminus [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta}].$$

(iv) For $2\gamma - \beta > 1$, then $[P_1, P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{4,\gamma}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E$.

(v) If $\beta = 1$ and if $\sup_{\delta<1/2} \delta \log(\frac{1}{\delta}) N(E,\delta) = \infty$ then $M_E : L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ is bounded if and only if $p > 2$ and $p \le q \le 2p$.



FIGURE 2. If $d = 2, \beta = 0.5, \gamma = 1$, then $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}}$ is contained in Δ_β and contains $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{rad}}_{\beta,\gamma}$. The condition $2\gamma - \beta > 1$ says that the point $P_3^{\mathrm{rad}} = P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{3,\beta}$ lies above the horizontal line $q = 2(1+\gamma)$.

For the case $\beta = \gamma < 1$, part (i) gives a characterization of the radial type set; this applies to self-similar set such as the Cantor middle third set. Note that the last part applies to the full set $E = [1, 2]$, in which case $M_E : L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ is bounded if and only if $p > 2$, $p \le q \le 2p$.

Remark. For $2\gamma - \beta > 1$, $\beta < 1$, L^p boundedness holds for a part of $[P_{2,\beta}, P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{5,\beta,\gamma})$ if $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E, \delta) < \infty$. However, it is currently an open question whether $L^p \to L^q$ boundedness holds for all $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\mathrm{rad}}_{5,\beta,\gamma})$.

Notation. Given a list of objects L and real numbers A, $B \ge 0$, here and throughout we write $A \lesssim_L B$ or $B \gtrsim_L A$ to indicate $A \le C_L B$ for some constant C_L which depends only items in the list L. We write $A \sim_L B$ to indicate $A \lesssim_L B$ and $B \lesssim_L A$.

Structure of the paper.

- §2 discusses properties of the dimensional quantity $\nu^\sharp$, in particular proving (1.3) and Corollary 1.3 assuming Theorem 1.2.
- §3 concerns necessary conditions for $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ boundedness of M_E.
- In §4 the upper bounds for $d \ge 3$ in Theorem 1.1 are proved.
- In §5, the upper bounds for $d = 2$ and Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are proved.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported through the program Oberwolfach Research Fellows by Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach in 2023. The

authors were supported in part by the AEI grants RYC2020-029151-I and PID2022-140977NA-I00 (D.B.) and by the National Science Foundation grants DMS-2154835 (J.R.), DMS-2348797 (A.S.). J.R. also thanks the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for providing a pleasant working environment during the Fall 2024 trimester program.

Remark. At the final stage of preparation of this paper, Shuijiang Zhao informed us that he has independently obtained some of our results [23].

2. Properties of $\nu^\sharp$

Let $E \subset [1,2]$ be nonempty, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ as in (1.2). Equivalently, $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ equals the infimum over all $\nu \geq 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$ such that for all intervals $J \subset [1,2]$ with $|J| \geq \delta$,

$$N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq C_\varepsilon |J|^\alpha \delta^{-\nu-\varepsilon}.$$

If $\alpha \leq 0$, then $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) = \beta$. If $\alpha > 0$, then $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ may be difficult to compute, but we have the following bounds.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E > 0$. For all $\alpha \geq 0$,*

$$\alpha \leq \nu^\sharp(\alpha) \leq \max(\alpha, (1 - \tfrac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha + \beta).$$

In particular, $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) = \alpha$ if $\gamma \geq \alpha$.

Proof. Choosing an interval J of length $|J| = \delta$ shows that $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) \geq \alpha$.

To prove the upper bound we use three different estimates for $N(E \cap J, \delta)$ depending on the magnitude of $|J|$. For the remainder of this proof set $\theta = \theta(J) \in [0,1]$ such that $|J| = \delta^\theta$. We note the following:

- For θ near 0 we can estimate $N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq N(E, \delta)$. Thus, by the definition of the upper Minkowski dimension β, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C'_\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$ such that
$$N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq C'_\varepsilon \delta^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)} \tag{2.1}$$
for all $J \subset [1,2]$.
- For θ away from 0 and away from 1, we will use the definition of the quasi-Assouad dimension γ: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$ such that
$$N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq C_\varepsilon \delta^{-(1-\theta)(\gamma+\varepsilon)} \tag{2.2}$$
for all $J \subset [1,2]$ with $\theta \leq 1 - \varepsilon$.
- For θ close to 1 we estimate $N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq N(J, \delta)$, which gives
$$N(E \cap J, \delta) \leq \delta^{-(1-\theta)} + 1 \tag{2.3}$$
for all $J \subset [1,2]$.

With this in mind, consider two cases.

Case 1: $\alpha \leq \gamma$. Then we need to show $\nu^\sharp(\alpha) \leq (1 - \frac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha + \beta$. Note that the exponents on the right-hand sides of (2.1) and (2.2) coincide up to ε when $\theta = 1 - \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$.

This motivates the estimate

$$N(E\cap J,\delta)|J|^{-\alpha}\le\begin{cases}C'_\varepsilon\delta^{-(\alpha\theta+\beta+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } \theta\le 1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\\ C_\varepsilon\delta^{-(-\theta(\gamma-\alpha)+\gamma+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } 1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}<\theta\le 1-\varepsilon\\ \delta^{-(\alpha\theta+\varepsilon)}+1 & \text{if } 1-\varepsilon\le\theta\le 1.\end{cases}$$

In the first two cases the largest value is assumed when $\theta=1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, and in the third case the largest value is assumed when $\theta=1$. Hence

$$N(E\cap J,\delta)|J|^{-\alpha}\le\max(C_\varepsilon,C'_\varepsilon)\delta^{-(\alpha(1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma})+\beta+\varepsilon)}+\delta^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon)}+1.$$

Since $\alpha\le(1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha+\beta$, the claim follows.

Case 2: $\alpha\ge\gamma$. Then $\alpha\ge(1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha+\beta$, so we need to show $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)\le\alpha$. By (2.2) and (2.3),

$$N(E\cap J,\delta)|J|^{-\alpha}\le C_\varepsilon\delta^{-(\theta(\alpha-\gamma)+\gamma+\varepsilon)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\theta\le 1-\varepsilon\}}+(\delta^{-(\alpha\theta+\varepsilon)}+1)\mathbb{1}_{\{1\ge\theta>1-\epsilon\}}$$

Since $\alpha-\gamma\ge 0$, the first term on the right hand side is $\le C_\varepsilon\delta^{-((1-\varepsilon)(\alpha-\gamma)+\gamma+\varepsilon)}$, which is $\le C_\varepsilon\delta^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon')}$ with $\varepsilon'=\varepsilon(1-(\alpha-\gamma))$, and the second term is $\le\delta^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon)}$. By definition of $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$, this implies $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)\le\alpha$. □

We now discuss how to deduce Corollary 1.3 from Theorem 1.2. We note that this implication is unrelated to spherical averaging operators.

Proof of Corollary 1.3, given Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 1.2, $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}\subset\Delta_\beta$. For the other inclusion note that $(\frac1p,\frac1q)\in\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}$ if and only if

(a) $\frac1q\le\frac1p$,
(b) $\frac2p+\frac{\beta-1}{q}\le 1$, and
(c) $\frac1q\nu^\sharp(\frac q2-1)+\frac1p-\frac1q\le\frac12$.

Since $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)\ge\alpha$, the scaling condition $\frac1q\ge\frac{1}{2p}$ follows from (c) for $q\ge 2$ (and the condition also holds for $q\le 2$ since $p\ge 1$).

(i) Assume that $2\gamma-\beta\le 1$. From (1.3) we have $\nu^\sharp(\frac q2-1)=\frac q2-1$ if $\frac1q\le\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}$. Note $P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}=(\frac{2}{3+\beta},\frac{1}{3+\beta})$ and $\frac{1}{3+\beta}\le\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}$ for $2\gamma-\beta\le 1$, therefore $P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}\in\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}=\Delta_\beta$ by convexity, since $P_1,P_{2,\beta}\in\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}$.

(ii) Assume that $2\gamma-\beta>1$. In this case $\frac{1}{3+\beta}>\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}$. If $\frac1q\le\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}$ we have $\nu^\sharp(\frac q2-1)=\frac q2-1$ and condition (c) yields the point $P^{\rm rad}_{4,\gamma}$. If $\frac1q>\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)}$, we have $\nu^\sharp(\frac q2-1)\le(1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma})(\frac q2-1)+\beta$, and in particular (c) is satisfied if $(1-\frac{\beta}{\gamma})(\frac12-\frac1q)+\frac{\beta}{q}+\frac1p-\frac1q\le\frac12$. A computation shows that this matches condition (b) at the point $P^{\rm rad}_{5,\beta,\gamma}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}^{\rm rad}_{\beta,\gamma}\subset\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}$.

It remains to show sharpness, in the sense that the inclusion $\mathcal{Q}^{\rm rad}_{\beta,\gamma}\subset\overline{\mathcal{T}_E^{\rm rad}}$ may be an equality for some sets E. This happens when the upper bound in (1.3) is an equality. For example, if E is the (β,γ)-Assouad regular set constructed in [13, §6.2] and $\nu(\theta)=-\min((1-\theta)\gamma,\beta)$, then

$$\sup_{|J|=\delta^\theta}N(E\cap J,\delta)\approx\delta^{\nu(\theta)}$$

uniformly in δ, θ (in particular, the Assouad spectrum and upper Assouad spectrum both equal $\gamma(\theta) = \min(\frac{\beta}{1-\theta}, \gamma)$). This implies

$$\nu^\sharp(\alpha) = \max(\alpha, (1 - \tfrac{\beta}{\gamma})\alpha + \beta)$$

as required. For $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta < 1$, another example is given by the convex sequences $E = \{1 + n^{1-\beta^{-1}} : n \geq 1\}$ which also have (upper) Assouad spectrum equal to $\gamma(\theta) = \min(\frac{\beta}{1-\theta}, 1)$. Additional examples are provided by the Moran set constructions in [14, §3] (also see [2]). □

3. Necessary conditions

We start with the necessary condition $p \leq q$. We provide a direct proof, since for the class of radial functions one cannot directly appeal to the standard example of Hörmander [8] for translation-invariant operators.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Assume that $\|M_E\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \lesssim 1$. Then $p \leq q$.*

Proof. Let $k > 0$ be a large parameter. For $n > 0$, let

$$I_n^{k,*} := [2^k + 8n + 1, 2^k + 8n + 7], \qquad I_n^k := [2^k + 8n + 3, 2^k + 8n + 5]$$

and define

$$f_k(x) := 2^{-k(d-1)/p} \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-5}} \mathbb{1}_{I_n^{k,*}}(|x|).$$

Then $\|f_k\|_p \lesssim 2^{k/p}$. Let $t \in E \subset [1, 2]$ and observe that

$$A_t[\mathbb{1}_{I_n^{k,*}}(|\cdot|)](x) = 1, \quad \text{for } |x| \in I_n^k$$
$$A_t[\mathbb{1}_{I_n^{k,*}}(|\cdot|)](x) = 0, \quad \text{for } |x| \in I_{n'}^k, \quad n \neq n'.$$

Therefore

$$\|A_t f\|_q \geq \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-5}} 2^{-k(d-1)q/p} \int_{\{x\,:\,|x| \in I_n^k\}} \Big| \sum_{n'=1}^{2^{k-5}} A_t[\mathbb{1}_{I_{n'}^{k,*}}(|\cdot|)](x) \Big|^q \,\mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/q}$$
$$= \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-5}} 2^{-k(d-1)q/p} \int_{I_n^k} r^{d-1} \,\mathrm{d}r \Big)^{1/q} \gtrsim 2^{-k(d-1)(1/p-1/q)} 2^{k/q}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\|M_E f_k\|_q}{\|f_k\|_p} \gtrsim 2^{-kd(1/p-1/q)} \tag{3.1}$$

and since $\|M_E\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \lesssim 1$ by assumption, we must have $p \leq q$ by letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.1). □

The next lemma is standard, and can be found, for instance, in [1]. We note that the first condition is the usual scaling condition from fixed-time averages and thus does not depend on the set of dilations E.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, $d \geq 2$.*

(i) If $\|M_{[1,2]}\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \lesssim 1$, then $q \leq pd$.

(ii) For any $E \subseteq [1, 2]$

$$\|M_E\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \gtrsim \sup_{0 < \delta < 1} N(E, \delta)^{1/q} \delta^{d-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{d}{p}}. \tag{3.2}$$

The previous conditions imply that $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ bounds for M_E cannot hold for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \notin \Delta_\beta$. This was essentially implicit in previous works such as [1].

Corollary 3.3. *Let $d \geq 2$, $E \subseteq [1,2]$ with $\dim_M E = \beta$. Then $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E \subseteq \Delta_\beta$.*

Proof. The triangle Δ_β is determined by the intersection of the lines $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{pd}$ and $d-1+\frac{1-\beta}{q} = \frac{d}{p}$. Using the definition of Minkowski dimension, these correspond to the necessary conditions in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, respectively. □

We note that when $p = \frac{d}{d-1}$ there is a more refined necessary condition than (3.2).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p_d = \frac{d}{d-1}$. Then*

$$\|M_E\|_{L^{p_d}_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \gtrsim \sup_{0<\delta<1/2} N(E,\delta)^{1/q} \delta^{1/q} [\log(1/\delta)]^{1/d}.$$

Proof. First consider $f(x) = |x|^{1-d} \log(|x|^{-1})^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}(|x|)$, the familiar example by Stein [21]. Then $f \in L^{p_d}$ and $M_E f(x) = \infty$ for $|x| \in \overline{E}$. Hence if $\|M_E\|_{L^{p_d}_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} < \infty$ then $\overline{E}$ must be of measure zero.

Let $\delta \leq 10^{-2}$ and define

$$g(x) := |x|^{1-d} \big(\log(\tfrac{1}{|x|})\big)^{\frac{1-d}{d}} \mathbb{1}_{[\delta^{1/2}, \delta^{1/4}]}(|x|).$$

Then

$$\|g\|_{p_d} \sim \Big(\int_{\delta^{1/2}}^{\delta^{1/4}} s^{-1} (\log \tfrac{1}{s})^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p_d} \sim \Big(\int_{\frac{1}{4}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}^{\frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})} u^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}u \Big)^{1/p_d} \sim 1$$

uniformly in δ.

Next, let $D_n = \{r : 2^{-n} \leq \mathrm{dist}(r, E) \leq 2^{1-n}\}$. As stated in [20, Lemma 2.7], we have that for nonnegative f and $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$,

$$M_E f(x) \geq c \int_{2^{-n+2}}^1 s^{d-2} f_0(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } |x| \in D_n;$$

indeed, this is a consequence of formula (4.2) below. Thus, for $|x| \in D_n$ and $2^{-n} \leq \delta$ we get

$$M_E g(x) \gtrsim \int_{\delta^{1/2}}^{\delta^{1/4}} s^{-1} \log(\tfrac{1}{s})^{\frac{1-d}{d}} \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_{\frac{1}{4}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}^{\frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})} u^{1/d} u^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}u \gtrsim [\log(\tfrac{1}{\delta})]^{1/d}.$$

Therefore, letting $W_\delta := \{r : \mathrm{dist}(r, E) \leq \delta\}$,

$$\|M_E f\|_q \gtrsim \Big(\sum_{n : 2^{-n} \leq \delta} |D_n| \, [\log(\tfrac{1}{\delta})]^{q/d} \Big)^{1/q} \gtrsim |W_\delta|^{1/q} [\log(\tfrac{1}{\delta})]^{1/d};$$

here we used that $|\overline{E}| = 0$. Noting that $|W_\delta| \geq \frac{1}{3} N(E,\delta)\delta$, the asserted lower bound follows. □

We continue with a further necessary condition involving the Assouad spectrum. To the best of our knowledge, this condition has not shown up before in the literature. It turns out to be relevant only for $d = 2$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $1 \le p \le q < \infty$. Given $0 < \delta < 1$ and an interval J of length $|J| > \delta$, define*

$$\mathfrak{C}_E(\delta, J) := N(E \cap J, \delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} |J|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \delta^{\frac{d-1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then

$$\|M_E\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q} \gtrsim \sup_{0<\delta<1} \sup_{|J|>\delta} \mathfrak{C}_E(\delta, J)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

In particular, if $q \ge 2$ and $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ is as in (1.2) the $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ boundedness of M_E implies

$$\tfrac{1}{q}\nu^\sharp\big((d-1)(\tfrac{q}{2}-1)\big) + \tfrac{1}{p} - \tfrac{1}{q} \le \tfrac{d-1}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $1 \le p \le q \le 2$. Then the exponent of the factor $|J|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}$ is positive and thus, for any $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\sup_{|J|\ge\delta} \mathfrak{C}_E(\delta, J) = N(E,\delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{\frac{d-1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim N(E,\delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{d-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{d}{p}}$$

where the inequality follows since $p \le 2$. Thus, the desired lower bound follows from Lemma 3.2, (ii).

We next assume $2 \le q < \infty$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $J = [t_L, t_R] \subseteq [1/2, 5/2]$ be an interval with $|J| \ge \delta$. Let $g_\delta(x) := \mathbb{1}_{[t_L-\delta, t_L+\delta]}(|x|)$. Clearly, $\|g_\delta\|_p \lesssim \delta^{1/p}$. For each $t \in E \cap J$ with $|t - t_L| \ge \delta$, let $I_t := [t - t_L - \delta/10, t - t_L + \delta/10]$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|x| \in I_t$, let $t(x) = t \in E$. We claim that

$$|A_{t(x)} g_\delta(x)| \gtrsim \Big(\frac{\delta}{|x|}\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus, if $D := \bigcup_{t\in E\cap J, |t-t_L|\ge\delta} I_t$, we have $M_E g_\delta(x) \gtrsim (\delta/|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ whenever $|x| \in D$. Consequently, noting that $r \le 2|J|$ for $r \in D$, we have for $q \ge 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\|M_E g_\delta\|_q &\gtrsim \delta^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Big(\int_D r^{-\frac{(d-1)q}{2}+d-1} \mathrm{d}r \Big)^{1/q} \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |J|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} |D|^{1/q}\end{aligned}$$

Using that $|D| \gtrsim N(E\cap J, \delta)^{1/q} \delta^{1/q}$ we have

$$\frac{\|M_E g_\delta\|_q}{\|g_\delta\|_p} \gtrsim N(E\cap J,\delta)^{1/q} |J|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \delta^{\frac{(d-1)}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} = \mathfrak{C}_E(\delta),$$

as desired.

We now prove the claim (3.4). Note that, by rotation invariance, we can assume $x = (x_1, 0)$ with $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_1 - t(x) = -t_L + c_1\delta$, where $|c_1| \le 1/10$. Let

$$R_x := \{(y_1, y') \in S^{d-1} : y_1 \ge 0, |y'| \le c_2^{-1}(\delta/x_1)^{1/2}\}$$

with $c_2^2 > \frac{20}{9}$. Note that if $y \in R_x$ we have $(1 - y_1) \le |y'|^2 \le \frac{1}{c_2^2}\frac{\delta}{|x_1|}$. Now, a computation shows that for $y \in R_x$,

$$t_L - \tfrac{\delta}{10} \le |x - t(x)y| \le t_L + \delta. \tag{3.5}$$

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|x-t(x)y|^2 &= x_1^2+(t(x))^2-2x_1t(x)y_1\\ &= (x_1-t(x))^2+2t(x)x_1(1-y_1)\\ &= (t_L-c_1\delta)^2+2t(x)x_1(1-y_1)\\ &\le t_L^2+c_1^2\delta^2-2c_1t_L\delta+2\frac{t(x)}{t_Lc_2^2}t_L\delta\\ &\le t_L^2+\delta^2+2t_L\delta-(1-c_1^2)\delta^2-2(1+c_1)t_L\delta+4c_2^{-2}t_L\delta\\ &\le (t_L+\delta)^2.\end{aligned}$$

In the last line we used that $-2(1+c_1)+4c_2^2<2(-\frac{9}{10}+2c_2^{-2})<0$, and in the previous one, $t(x)\le 2t_L$. On the other hand, since $2t(x)x_1(1-y_1)\ge 0$ we have

$$|x-t(x)y|^2=(t_L+c_1\delta)^2+2t(x)x_1(1-y_1)\ge (t_L-\tfrac{1}{10}\delta)^2.$$

In view of (3.5) and the definition of g_δ, we immediately have

$$A_{t(x)}g_\delta(x)\ge\int_{R_x} g_\delta(x-t(x)y)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma(y)\gtrsim(\sqrt{\delta/|x_1|})^{d-1},$$

which verifies the claim (3.4).

Finally, note that by the definition of $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ in (1.2), given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a sequence (δ_m) with $\lim_{m\to\infty}\delta_m=0$, such that

$$\sup_{|J|\ge\delta_m}|J|^{-\alpha}N(E\cap J,\delta_m)\ge\delta_m^{\varepsilon-\nu^\sharp(\alpha)}.$$

Using this in (3.3) with $\alpha=(d-1)(\frac{q}{2}-1)$ we see that there exist an interval J such that

$$|J|^{((d-1)\frac{q}{2}-1)\frac{1}{q}}\delta_m^{\varepsilon-\nu^\sharp((d-1)\frac{q}{2}-1)/q}|J|^{-(d-1)(\frac12-\frac1q)}\delta_m^{\frac{d-1}{2}+\frac1q-\frac1p}\lesssim 1$$

for $\delta_m\le|J|$. Letting $m\to\infty$, this implies $\frac1q\nu^\sharp((d-1)(\frac{q}{2}-1))+\frac1p-\frac1q\le\frac{d-1}{2}$ as desired. □

Remark. The scaling condition $q\le pd$ in Lemma 3.2, (i), is implicit in the previous lemma by simply taking $|J|=\delta$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{C}_E(\delta,J)$.

4. Estimates for $d\ge 3$

As in [9, 20] it is useful to rewrite the spherical averages, when acting on radial functions, as integral transforms on $\mathbb{R}^+$. As shown in [9] one has for $f(x)=f_0(|x|)$

$$A_tf(x)=c_d\int_{||x|-t|}^{|x|+t}K_t(|x|,s)f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$K_t(r,s)=\Big(\frac{\sqrt{(r+t)^2-s^2}\sqrt{s^2-(r-t)^2}}{(r+t)^2-(r-t)^2}\Big)^{d-3}\frac{s}{(r+t)^2-(r-t)^2}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

In this section we consider the higher dimensional case and prove Theorem 1.1. As stated in [20] the formulas (4.1) and (4.2) imply expressions for the maximal functions which are easy to handle in dimension $d\ge 3$.

Lemma 4.1 ([20, Lemma 3.1]). *Let $d \geq 3$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and set $g(s) := f_0(s)s^{(d-1)/p}$. Then for $r = |x|$,*

$$M_E f(x) \lesssim \mathfrak{M}_p g(r) + R_1 f_0(r) + R_2 f_0(r),$$

where $r = |x|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_p g(r) &:= \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} r^{1-d}\Big|\int_{|r-t|}^{r+t} s^{\frac{d-1}{p'}-1} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|, \qquad (4.3)\\ R_1 f_0(r) &:= \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ t\leq r/2}} \frac{1}{t}\Big|\int_{r-t}^{r+t} f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,\\ R_2 f_0(r) &:= \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ t\geq 3r/2}} \frac{1}{r}\Big|\int_{t-r}^{t+r} f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|. \end{aligned}$$

The dependence of the p-range on β is only used when we estimate $\mathfrak{M}_p g$. We have not kept dependence of the set E in the operators R_1 and R_2 since the operators with supremum over the full interval $[1,2]$ already satisfy satisfactory estimates. The operator R_1 is rather straightforward.

Proposition 4.2. *For all $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\|R_1 f_0\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{L^p(s^{d-1}\mathrm{d}s)}.$$

Proof. The estimate is trivial for $q = p = \infty$, so we assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any $t \in [1,2]$ and $r \geq 2t$, we have

$$\int_{r-t}^{r+t} |f_0(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \lesssim r^{-\frac{d-1}{p}} \int_{r-t}^{r+t} |f_0(s)|\, s^{\frac{d-1}{p}}\,\mathrm{d}s \lesssim r^{-\frac{d-1}{p}} \int_{r-2}^{r+2} |f_0(s)|\, s^{\frac{d-1}{p}}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality

$$R_1 f_0(r) \lesssim r^{-\frac{d-1}{p}} \Big(\int_{r-2}^{r+2} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/p}.$$

The case $q = \infty$ is immediate. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, we note that $R_1 f_0(r) = 0$ if $r < 2$, and thus $(\int_0^\infty |R_1 f_0(r)|^q r^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}r)^{1/q}$ is bounded by a constant times

$$\Big(\int_2^\infty r^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})q}\Big(\int_{r-2}^{r+2} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{q/p}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big)^{1/q}.$$

Since $q \geq p$, a standard spatial orthogonality argument implies that the above is further bounded by a constant times $\Big(\int_0^\infty |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/p}$, concluding the proof. □

The condition $q \leq pd$ is necessary for the estimation of R_2. The only non-trivial for the endpoint $q = pd$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $d \geq 3$. For $1 < p < \infty$, $q \leq pd$,*

$$\|R_2 f_0\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{L^p(s^{d-1}\mathrm{d}s)}. \qquad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, the inequality also holds for $p = 1$ and $q < pd$.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, we have the pointwise estimate

$$|R_2 f_0(r)| \lesssim \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ t\geq 3r/2}} \Big(r^{-1}\int_{t-r}^{t+r} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\lesssim \Big(r^{-1}\int_{1/4}^{4} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/p}. \tag{4.5}$$

Define the measure μ_d on $\mathbb{R}^+$ by $\mathrm{d}\mu_d = r^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}r$. Direct integration in $L^q(\mathrm{d}\mu_d)$ gives (4.4) for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $q < pd$. To get the endpoint, we first prove a weak-type inequality. By (4.5) we have that for some $C > 1$

$$\mu_d\big(\{r : |R_2 f_0(r)| > \alpha\}\big) \leq \mu_d\big(\{r : r^{-1}\int_{1/4}^{4} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s > (C^{-1}\alpha)^p\}\big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{d} C^{pd}\alpha^{-pd}\Big(\int_{1/4}^{4} |f_0(s)|^p s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^d,$$

which shows that R_2 maps $L^p(\mu_d)$ to $L^{q,\infty}(\mu_d)$ for $q = pd$. Applying the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem with different values of p, this upgrades to the $L^p(\mu_d) \to L^q(\mu_d)$ inequality for $1 < p < \infty$. □

We now estimate the operator $\mathfrak{M}_p$. The interesting p-regime is for $1 \leq p \leq \frac{d}{d-1}$, since for $p > \frac{d}{d-1}$ one can obtain bounds rather trivially, uniformly in E.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $E \subset [1,2]$. For all $p > \frac{d}{d-1}$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|g\|_p.$$

Proof. We note that $\mathfrak{M}_p g$ is supported in $[2/3, 4]$. Moreover $\mathfrak{M}_p g = \mathfrak{M}_p[g\mathbb{1}_{[0,4]}]$. Since $s^{d-1-p'}\mathbb{1}_{[0,4]}$ belongs to $L^{p'}(ds)$ for $p > \frac{d}{d-1}$ the pointwise bound $|\mathfrak{M}_p g(r)| \lesssim \|g\|_p$ follows from Hölder's inequality. This implies the $L^p \to L^q$ bounds, by the stated support properties. □

For the interesting range $p \leq \frac{d}{d-1}$ we distinguish the cases $1 \leq p < \frac{d}{d-1}$, and $p = \frac{d}{d-1}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $1 \leq p < \frac{d}{d-1}$. For all $p \leq q < \infty$,*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}r)} \leq C(p,d) \sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^{d-1-\frac{d}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} N(E,\delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\|_p,$$

where $C(p,d) \lesssim \frac{p}{d-p(d-1)}$.

Proof. We argue as in [20]. In (i) assume $1 \leq N(E,\delta)^{1/q} \leq C\delta^{-(d-1-\frac{d}{p}+\frac{1}{q})}$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Note that for nonempty E this inequality can only hold if $d-1-\frac{d}{p}+\frac{1}{q} \geq 0$, i.e for $q \leq \frac{p}{d-p(d-1)}$. Since $p < \frac{d}{d-1}$ and $p \leq q$ we see that $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E \leq q(\frac{d-1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) = 1 - \frac{q(d-1)}{d}(\frac{d}{d-1} - \frac{1}{p}) < 1$. For each $n \geq 0$, let

$$U_n := \{r : \mathrm{dist}(r, E) \leq 2^{-n+1}\}, \qquad D_n = U_n \setminus U_{n+1} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n)^{\complement} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \overline{E}$ is a set of measure zero since $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E < 1$.

Observe that $\mathfrak{M}_p g(r) = 0$ unless $\frac{2}{3} \le r \le 4$. If $r \in D_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_p g(r) &\lesssim \int_{2^{-n}}^{6} s^{\frac{d-1}{p'}-1}|g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+2} 2^{(-n+\ell)(\frac{d-1}{p'}-1)} \int_{2^{-n+\ell}}^{2^{-n+\ell+1}} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+2} 2^{(-n+\ell)(\frac{d-1}{p'}-1)} 2^{(-n+\ell)/p'} \Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell}}^{2^{-n+\ell+1}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have from the above observations and Minkowski's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{M}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} &\lesssim \Big(\sum_{n\ge 0} \int_{D_n} |\mathfrak{M}_p g(r)|^q\,\mathrm{d}r\Big)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \Big(\sum_{n\ge 0} |D_n| \Big[\sum_{\ell=0}^{n+2} 2^{(\ell-n)(d-1-\frac{d}{p})} \Big(\int_{2^{\ell-n}}^{2^{\ell+1-n}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big]^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\end{aligned}$$

and by Minkowski's inequality this is

$$\begin{aligned}&\lesssim \sum_{\ell\ge 0} 2^{-\ell(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)} \Big(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge \ell-2}} \Big[2^{n(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)} |D_n|^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell}}^{2^{-n+\ell+1}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big]^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell\ge 0} 2^{-\ell(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)} \Big(\sum_{n\ge 0} 2^{n(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)p} |D_n|^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{2^{-n+\ell}}^{2^{-n+\ell+1}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

We may estimate, for each fixed ℓ, the n-sum by $\sup_{n\ge 0} 2^{n(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)}|D_n|^{1/q}\|g\|_p$. Since $p < \frac{d}{d-1}$ we may then sum in ℓ and use $|D_n| \lesssim N(E, 2^{-n})2^{-n}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{M}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}r)} &\lesssim \tfrac{1}{1-2^{d-1-\frac{d}{p}}} \sup_{n\ge 0} 2^{n(\frac{d}{p}-d+1)} |D_n|^{1/q} \|g\|_p, \\ &\lesssim \tfrac{p}{d-p(d-1)} \sup_{n\ge 0} 2^{n(\frac{d}{p}-d+1-\frac{1}{q})} N(E, 2^{-n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

We argue in a slightly different way for the endpoint $p = \frac{d}{d-1}$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $E \subset [1,2]$, and $p_d := \frac{d}{d-1}$. Then for $q \ge p_d$,*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \sup_{\delta<1/2} \delta^{\frac{1}{q}} N(E,\delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} (\log \tfrac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{1}{d}} \|g\|_{p_d}. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. We may assume $\sup_{\delta<\frac12} \delta^{\frac{1}{q}} N(E,\delta)^{\frac{1}{q}} (\log\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{1}{d}} < \infty$. Let U_n, D_n be as in (4.6), and conclude that $|U_n| \lesssim n^{-q/d}$. This implies that $\overline{E}$ is of measure zero. Note that $\mathfrak{M}_p g(r) = 0$ unless $\frac{2}{3} \le r \le 4$. We set, for $\ell > 0$,

$$\Omega_\ell := \bigcup_{2^{\ell-1}\le n<2^\ell} D_n.$$

Note that

$$|\Omega_\ell| \lesssim |\{r : \mathrm{dist}(r,E) \lesssim 2^{-2^{\ell-1}}\}| \lesssim N(E, 2^{-2^{\ell-1}})2^{-2^{\ell-1}} \lesssim B^q 2^{-\ell q/d},$$

where $B := \sup_{\delta<\frac12} \delta^{\frac1q} N(E,\delta)^{\frac1q} (\log\frac1\delta)^{\frac1d} \gtrsim 1$; here we assume without loss of generality $E \neq \emptyset$. We estimate $\mathfrak{M}_p g(r) \lesssim \mathfrak{N}_p g(r) + \mathcal{E} g(r)$ where

$$\mathfrak{N}_p g(r) := \sum_{n>0} \mathbb{1}_{D_n}(r) \int_{2^{-n}}^{1/2} s^{-1/d} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s,$$
$$\mathcal{E} g(r) \lesssim \mathbb{1}_{[1/3,2]}(r) \int_{1/2}^{4} s^{-1/d} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

For the term $\mathcal{E}$ we just use Hölder's inequality and obtain

$$\|\mathcal{E} g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \Big(\int_{1/2}^4 \Big[\int_{1/2}^4 s^{-1/d} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \Big]^q \mathrm{d}r \Big)^{1/q} \lesssim \|g\|_{p_d}.$$

We turn to the term $\mathfrak{N}_p g(r)$. For $m \geq 0$, let $J_m = [2^{-2^{m+1}}, 2^{-2^m}]$. Then, if $r \in D_n$, with $2^{\ell-1} \leq n < 2^\ell$, we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{N}_p g(r)| &\lesssim \int_{2^{-2^\ell}}^{1/2} s^{-1/d} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \int_{J_{\ell-k}} s^{-1/d} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} s^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/d} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g(s)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} 2^{(\ell-k)/d} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{N}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} &\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{2^{\ell-1}\leq n<2^\ell} \int_{D_n} |\mathfrak{N}_p g(r)|^q\,\mathrm{d}r \Big)^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\Omega_\ell| \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} 2^{(\ell-k)/d} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p} \Big)^q \Big)^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality in ℓ^q the above right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/d} \Big(\sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} |\Omega_\ell| 2^{\ell q/d} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{q/p} \Big)^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/d} \Big(\sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} \Big(\int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{q/p} \Big)^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/d} \Big(\sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} \int_{J_{\ell-k}} |g|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p} \lesssim B \|g\|_p;
\end{aligned}$$

here we have used (4.8) in the second inequality, the embedding $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^q$ for $p \leq q$ in the third and the disjointness of the intervals $J_{\ell-k}$ for $\ell \geq k$ and fixed $k \geq 0$. This establishes the bound $\|\mathfrak{N}_p g\|_{L^q(r^{d-1}\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim B\|g\|_p$, which concludes the proof of (4.7). □

We are now in a position to conclude Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall that the triangle Δ_β is given by

$$\Delta_\beta = \big\{ (\tfrac1p, \tfrac1q) \in [0,1]^2 : \tfrac{1}{pd} \leq \tfrac1q \leq \tfrac1p,\ \ \tfrac{1-\beta}{q} + d - 1 \geq \tfrac{d}{p} \big\},$$

and note that the line segment $[P_{2,\beta}, P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}]$ satisfies the second condition with equality. From Corollary 3.3 we have $\mathcal{T}^{\rm rad}_E \subseteq \Delta_\beta$. For the sufficient conditions, we have by Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 that if $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$ then R_1 and R_2 are $L^p(s^{d-1}{\rm d}s) \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ bounded. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, we shall only focus on $\mathfrak{M}_p$.

(i) Let $\beta < 1$. If $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}]$ and $\beta < 1$ then $1 \le p < \frac{d}{d-1}$. We can then apply Proposition 4.5 together with the assumption $\sup_{\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) < \infty$ to deduce that $\mathfrak{M}_p$ is $L^p \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ bounded on that line segment. The remaining bounds for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$ follow by interpolation with the case $q = p = \infty$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}^{\rm rad}_E = \Delta_\beta$.

(ii) If $\sup_{\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) = \infty$, we have by Lemma 3.2 that $\|M_E\|_{L^p_{\rm rad}\to L^q} \gtrsim \sup_{\delta<1} N(E,\delta)^{1/q}\delta^{\beta/q} = \infty$ if $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}]$. For the positive bounds, we note by Proposition 4.4 that $\mathfrak{M}_p$ is $L^p \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ bounded for $p > \frac{d}{d-1}$. For $p \le \frac{d}{d-1}$, we use that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $N(E,\delta) \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\beta-\varepsilon}$ uniformly in $\delta > 0$. Since $\beta < 1$, Proposition 4.6 guarantees $L^{p_d} \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ boundedness for $q \ge p_d$ for a choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Finally, note that

$$\delta^{d-1-\frac{d}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} N(E,\delta)^{1/q} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{d-1-\frac{d}{p}+\frac{1-\beta-\varepsilon}{q}}. \tag{4.8}$$

For $p < \frac{d}{d-1}$ and $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta \backslash [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}]$, we have that $\frac{1-\beta}{q} + d - 1 > \frac{d}{p}$. Thus, choosing a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the right-hand side of (4.8) is uniformly bounded in $0 < \delta < 1$. We can then apply Proposition 4.5 to deduce that $\mathfrak{M}_p$ is $L^p \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ bounded in this case. Consequently, we have proven $\mathcal{T}^{\rm rad}_E = \Delta_\beta \backslash [P_{2,\beta}, P^{\rm rad}_{3,\beta}]$.

(iii) Assume that $\dim_M E = 1$. We already noted that $\mathcal{T}^{\rm rad}_E \subseteq \Delta_1$. Moreover, for $p_d = \frac{d}{d-1}$, we have from Lemma 3.4 that $\sup_{\delta<1/2} \delta(\log\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{q}{d}} N(E,\delta)$ must be finite if $\|M_E\|_{L^{p_d}_{\rm rad}\to L^q} \lesssim 1$. This establishes the $\subseteq$ implication. For the sufficient condition, we note that $P_{2,1} = (\frac{d-1}{d}, \frac{d-1}{d})$ and $P^{\rm rad}_{3,1} = (\frac{d-1}{d}, \frac{d-1}{d^2})$. If $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_1$ with $p > \frac{d}{d-1}$, $L^p \to L^q(r^{d-1}{\rm d}r)$ bounds immediately follow from Proposition 4.4. On the other hand, if $p = \frac{d}{d-1}$, the bounds follow from Proposition 4.6 under the assumption $\sup_{\delta<\frac{1}{2}} \delta N(E,\delta)(\log\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{q}{d}} < \infty$. This establishes the $\supseteq$ implication, which concludes the proof. □

5. 2-dimensional results

In this section we consider the circular maximal function M_E for radial functions on the plane. As stated in [20, Lemma 5.1] the formulas (4.1) and (4.2) yield by straightforward estimation a pointwise inequality which involves kernels that are more singular than their higher-dimensional counterparts. In what follows, for a radial function f, we continue to use the notation $f(x) = f_0(s)$ for $s = |x|$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $d = 2$. Fix $1 \le p < \infty$ and set $g(s) := f_0(s)s^{1/p}$. Then*

$$M_E f(x) \lesssim \mathfrak{M}^+_p g(r) + \mathfrak{M}^-_p g(r) + \sum_{\pm}\sum_{i=1}^{2} R^\pm_i f_0(r)$$

where $r=|x|$ *and*

$$\mathfrak{M}_p^- g(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} r^{-1}\Big|\int_{|r-t|}^{r+t} s^{1/2-1/p}(s-|r-t|)^{-1/2}g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_p^+ g(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} r^{-1}\Big|\int_{|r-t|}^{r+t} s^{1/2-1/p}(r+t-s)^{-1/2}g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,$$

$$R_1^- f_0(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ t\le r/2}} t^{-1/2}\Big|\int_{r-t}^{r} |s-r+t|^{-1/2}f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,$$

$$R_1^+ f_0(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ t\le r/2}} t^{-1/2}\Big|\int_{r}^{r+t} |r+t-s|^{-1/2}f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,$$

and

$$R_2^- f_0(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ t\ge 3r/2}} r^{-1/2}\Big|\int_{t-r}^{t} |s-t+r|^{-1/2}f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|,$$

$$R_2^+ f_0(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ t\ge 3r/2}} r^{-1/2}\Big|\int_{t}^{t+r} |r+t-s|^{-1/2}f_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|.$$

Given this lemma, it suffices to establish Lebesgue space bounds for the operators $R_1^\pm, R_2^\pm$ and $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$.

5.1. **The operators $R_1^\pm$.** Boundedness for these operators hold under a condition involving the Minkowski dimension. The argument is analogous to that in [20, Proposition 5.2].

Proposition 5.2. *For all* $1<p\le q\le\infty$*, we have*

$$\|R_1^\pm f_0\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \sum_{m\ge 0} 2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)}N(E,2^{-m})^{\frac1q}\|f_0\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}.$$

Proof. We only give the estimate for $R_1 := R_1^-$; the same arguments apply to R_1^+. First, note that without loss of generality, we can assume that f_0 is supported on $[1,\infty)$. For each $r\ge 2$ we can write, after a dyadic decomposition,

$$R_1 f_0(r) \lesssim M_{HL}^{\mathrm{loc}} f_0(r) + \sum_{m>0} 2^{m/2} R_1^m f_0(s) \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$R_1^m f_0(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ t\le r/2}} \int_{r-t+2^{-m}}^{r-t+2^{-m+1}} |f_0(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s$$

and $M_{HL}^{\mathrm{loc}} h(r) := \sup_{1\le t\le 2}\frac1t\int_{r-t}^{r}|h(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s$. Clearly

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{(2,\infty)} M_{HL}^{\mathrm{loc}} f_0\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{L^p(r\mathrm{d}r)}$$

for all $1<p\le q\le\infty$. For each fixed $m>0$, let $\{I_m^\nu : \nu\in\mathfrak{N}_m(E)\}$ be a minimal cover of E by intervals of length 2^{-m} and note that $\#\mathfrak{N}_m(E)=N(E,2^{-m})$. For

each I_m^ν, define $J_m^\nu := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \operatorname{dist}(t, I_m^\nu) \le 2^{-m+1}\}$, that is, its concentric interval with 5 times the length. Then

$$R_1^m f_0(r) \lesssim \sup_{\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_m(E)} \int_{J_m^\nu} |f_0(r-s)|\,\mathrm{d}s = \sup_{\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_m(E)} |f_0 \mathbb{1}_{A_k}| * \mathbb{1}_{J_m^\nu}(r)$$

whenever $r \in [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ for $k > 0$, where $A_k := [\max\{1, 2^k - 3\}, 2^{k+1}]$. Consequently, by Young's convolution inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_2^\infty |R_1^m f_0(r)|^q r\,\mathrm{d}r &\lesssim \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_m(E)} \sum_{k>0} 2^k \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \big||f_0 \mathbb{1}_{A_k}| * \mathbb{1}_{J_m^\nu}(r)\big|^q\,\mathrm{d}r \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_m(E)} \sum_{k \ge 0} 2^k |I_m^\nu|^{q+1-\frac{q}{p}} \Big(\int_{A_k} |f_0(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{q/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-m(q+1-\frac{q}{p})} N(E, 2^{-m}) \sup_{k \ge 0} 2^{k(1-\frac{q}{p})} \sum_{k \ge 0} \Big(\int_{2^{k-2}}^{2^{k+1}} |f_0(s)|^p s\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{q/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-m(q+1-\frac{q}{p})} N(E, 2^{-m}) \|f_0\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since $p \le q$. Combining this with (5.1) concludes the proof. □

5.2. **The operators $R_2^\pm$.** We first record a result from [20] for the case $p = q$.

Lemma 5.3. *For all $1 \le p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|R_2^\pm f\|_{L^p(r\mathrm{d}r)} \le \sum_{m \ge 0} N(E, 2^{-m})^{1/p} 2^{-m/2} \|f\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}.$$

For the proof see [20, Prop. 5.3]. The $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ estimates for $R_2^\pm$ are more involved, and can be obtained under a condition on the quantity $\nu^\sharp(\alpha)$ in (1.2). We note that the behaviour of $R_2^\pm$ is responsible for the different outcomes in two versus higher dimensions.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $1 < p \le q < \infty$ and $E \subset [1,2]$. For $k, m \ge 0$, define*

$$\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k) := \sup_{|J| = 2^{-k}} 2^{-k(\frac{2}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} N(E \cap J, 2^{-m-k})^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{5.2}$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $J \subset [1,2]$ of length 2^{-k}.

(i) For $2 \le q \le 2p$, we have

$$\|R_2^\pm f_0\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \sum_{m \ge 0} 2^{-m(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)\|_{\ell_k^\infty} \|f_0\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}. \tag{5.3}$$

(ii) If $1 < q < 2$, we have

$$\|R_2^\pm f_0\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \sum_{m \ge 0} 2^{-m(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)\|_{\ell_k^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}} \|f_0\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. We only give the estimate for $R_2 := R_2^-$; the same arguments apply to R_2^+. Note that $R_2 f_0(r) = 0$ for $r \ge 4/3$. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we may assume that f_0 is supported on $[1/3, 2]$. Let $I_0 := [1/2, 4/3]$ and, for $k > 0$, let

$I_k := [2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}]$. Let $\chi_0 \in C_c^\infty$ be even, such that $\chi_0(y) = 1$ for $|y| \le 2^{-12}$ and $\chi_0(y) = 0$ for $|y| \ge 2^{-11}$. For $k \ge 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_k f_0(r,t) := r^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r) \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \chi_0(2^k(t-r-s)) |t-r-s|^{-1/2} f_0(s)\, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\mathcal{B}_k f_0(r,t) := r^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r) \int_{t-r}^{t} (1-\chi_0(2^k(t-r-s))) |t-r-s|^{-1/2} f_0(s)\, \mathrm{d}s$$

and note that

$$R_2 f_0(r) \le \sup_{t\in E} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\mathcal{A}_k f_0(r,t)| + \sup_{t\in E} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\mathcal{B}_k f_0(r,t)|. \tag{5.5}$$

We start bounding the $\mathcal{B}_k$ terms. For $t \in E$ and $r \in I_k$ we have by Hölder's inequality

$$|\mathcal{B}_k f_0(r,t)| \lesssim 2^k \Big(\int_{t-r}^{t} |f_0(s)|\, \mathrm{d}s \Big) \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r) \lesssim 2^{k/p} \Big(\int_{1/3}^{2} |f_0(s)|^p\, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r),$$

and thus

$$\sup_{1\le t\le 2} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\mathcal{B}_k f_0(r,t)| \lesssim r^{-2/q} \sup_{k\ge 0} 2^{-k(2/q-1/p)} \|f_0\|_p \lesssim r^{-2/q} \|f_0\|_p$$

for $q \le 2p$. Since $r^{-2/q} \in L^{q,\infty}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ we have $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^{q,\infty}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounds for $q \le 2p$. Therefore, by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we obtain the strong-type bounds

$$\Big\| \sup_{1\le t\le 2} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\mathcal{B}_k f_0(\cdot,t)| \Big\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)}, \tag{5.6}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $q \le 2p$.

We turn to the $\mathcal{A}_k$ terms. Let

$$h_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \chi_0(2^k x)|x|^{-1/2} & \text{if } x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, h_k is supported on $(-2^{-11-k}, 0)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_k f_0(r,t) = r^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r)\ h_k * f_0(t-r).$$

We perform a further decomposition of the operator $\mathcal{A}_k$ which will quantify the size of $|t-r-s|^{-1/2}$. To this end, we use, for $k \ge 0$, the resolutions of the identity

$$\delta = u_k + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \upsilon_{k+m} * \psi_{k+m} \tag{5.7}$$

where $u_k = 2^k u(2^k\cdot)$, $\upsilon_\ell = 2^{\ell-1}\upsilon_1(2^{\ell-1}\cdot)$, $\psi_\ell = 2^{\ell-1}\psi_1(2^{\ell-1}\cdot)$ and u, υ_1, ψ_1 are C_c^∞ functions supported on $(-2^{-10}, 2^{-10})$ and with υ_1, ψ_1 satisfying moment conditions up to a certain fixed order $N > 0$. See [17, Lemma 2.1] for a proof. The convergence in (5.7) is in the sense of tempered distributions. This resolution of the identity is convenient since it will allow for an application of Littlewood–Paley theory to sum in the k-variable. With this at our disposal, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,0} f_0(r,t) := r^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r) h_k * u_k * f_0(t-r),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,m} f_0(r,t) := r^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{I_k}(r) h_k * \upsilon_{k+m} * \psi_{k+m} * f_0(t-r), \qquad m \ge 1.$$

In view of (5.7) we have $\mathcal{A}_k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{k,m}$ for all $k \ge 0$.

Consider first the $\mathcal{A}_{k,0}$. Note that $h_k * u_k$ is supported in $[-2^{-k-10}, 2^{-k-20}]$ and $\|h_k * u_k\|_\infty \le \|h_k\|_1 \|u_k\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{k/2}$. Thus, one can argue exactly as for the $\mathcal{B}_k$ terms and deduce the estimate

$$\Big\| \sup_{1\le t\le 2} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\mathcal{A}_{k,0} f_0(\cdot,t)| \Big\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathrm{sd}s)} \tag{5.8}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $q \le 2p$.

We turn to the operators $\mathcal{A}_{k,m}$ for $m \ge 1$, $k \ge 0$. Note that by (5.5), (5.6) and (5.8), to conclude the proof of the proposition it suffices to show that the operator $f_0 \mapsto \sup_{t\in E} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\sum_{m\ge 1} \mathcal{A}_{k,m} f_0(\cdot,t)|$ satisfies the bounds (5.3) and (5.4). The key estimate for this end is

$$\| \sup_{t\in E} |\mathcal{A}_{k,m} f_0(\cdot,t)| \|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim 2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k) \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p \tag{5.9}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $q \le 2p$. Assuming (5.9), one can conclude the proof using a Littlewood–Paley inequality for the family of functions $\{\psi_{k+m}\}_{k\ge 0}$, for any fixed $m \ge 1$. Because of the disjointness of the intervals I_k and (5.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \sup_{t\in E} \sum_{k\ge 0} |\sum_{m\ge 1} \mathcal{A}_{m,k} f_0(\cdot,t)| \Big\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} &\lesssim \sum_{m\ge 1} \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \| \sup_{t\in E} |\mathcal{A}_{m,k} f_0(\cdot,t)| \|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)}^q \Big)^{\frac1q} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m\ge 1} 2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)} \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)^q \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^q \Big)^{\frac1q}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

In the case $q \ge 2$ we use

$$\Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)^q \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^q \Big)^{\frac1q} \lesssim \sup_{k\ge 0} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k) \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^q \Big)^{\frac1q},$$

and then by Minkowski's inequality and Littlewood–Paley theory

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_k \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^q \Big)^{1/q} &\lesssim \Big\| \Big(\sum_k |\psi_{k+m} * f_0|^q \Big)^{1/q} \Big\|_p \\ &\lesssim \Big\| \Big(\sum_k |\psi_{k+m} * f_0|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \lesssim \|f_0\|_p \sim \|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathrm{sd}s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.10) implies part (i) of the proposition.

For $q \le 2$ we use Hölder's inequality to get, for $\omega(k) := \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)$,

$$\Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \omega(k)^q \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^q \Big)^{\frac1q} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \omega(k)^{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \Big)^{\frac1q-\frac12} \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^2 \Big)^{\frac12}.$$

By Minkowski's inequality and Littlewood–Paley theory

$$\Big(\sum_k \|\psi_{k+m} * f_0\|_p^2 \Big)^{1/2} \lesssim \Big\| \Big(\sum_k |\psi_{k+m} * f_0|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \lesssim \|f_0\|_p \sim \|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathrm{sd}s)}$$

and we see that this together with (5.10) also implies part (ii) of the proposition.

It remains to prove (5.9) for fixed $m \ge 1$, $k \ge 0$. As mentioned above, the m-decomposition allows to essentially quantify the magnitude of $|t - r - s|$; in practice, one shall think of $h_k * v_{k+m}$ as being roughly $2^{(k+m)/2} \mathbb{1}_{[-2^{-m-k}, 2^{-m-k}]}$. More precisely, using the vanishing moment conditions of v_{k+m} one obtains for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|h_k * v_{k+m}(x)| \lesssim 2^{(k+m)/2} (1 + 2^{k+m}|x|)^{-N} \tag{5.11}$$

for all $N \geq 0$. For $|x| \leq 2^{-k-m}$, this follows from pulling out $\|v_{k+m}\|_\infty$ and direct integration. For $|x| \geq 2^{-k-m}$, the case $N = 0$ is immediate from the support of v_{k+m} and $\|v_{k+m}\|_1 \lesssim 1$, while for $N > 0$, we write

$$h_k * v_{k+m}(x) = \int \Big(h_k(x-y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h_k^{(j)}(x) \frac{(-y)^j}{j!} \Big) v_{k+m}(y)\, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Using Taylor's theorem and $|x-y| \sim |x|$ for $|x| \geq 2^{-k-m}$, one immediately obtains (5.11). By Young's convolution inequality (5.11) implies

$$\|h_k * v_{k+m} * g\|_q \lesssim 2^{(k+m)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})} \|g\|_p \tag{5.12}$$

for any function $g \in L^p$, where $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. This upgrades to a maximal estimate at intervals at scale 2^{-k-m}. More precisely, for any interval $I \subseteq [1,2]$ of length $|I| = 2^{-k-m}$ we have

$$\| \sup_{t \in I} |h_k * v_{k+m} * g(t-\cdot)| \|_q \lesssim 2^{(k+m)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})} \|g\|_p. \tag{5.13}$$

Indeed, by the vanishing moment conditions for v'_{k+m}, the inequality (5.11), and consequently the inequality (5.12), also hold if we replace the function $h_k * v_{k+m}$ with $2^{-k-m} h_k * v'_{k+m}$. One can then obtain (5.13) from these by a standard Sobolev-type application of the fundamental theorem of calculus (see, for instance, [22, Chapter IX, §3, Lemma 1]).

We are now in a position to prove (5.9). For each fixed $k \geq 0$, we tile $[1,2]$ into intervals of length 2^{-k} denoted by $J_{k,\mu} := [\mu 2^{-k}, (\mu+1)2^{-k}]$ for each integer $2^k \leq \mu < 2^{k+1}$, and set $J^*_{k,\mu} := [(\mu-2)2^{-k}, (\mu+3)2^{-k}]$ to be the concentric interval to $J_{k,\mu}$ with 5 times its length. Since $h_k * v_{k+m}$ is supported on $(-2^{-k}, 2^{-k})$, we have that for $r \in I_k$ and $t \in J_{k,\mu}$,

$$h_k * v_{k+m} * g(t-r) = h_k * v_{k+m} * [g \mathbb{1}_{J^*_{k,\mu}}](t-r). \tag{5.14}$$

For each fixed $J_{k,\mu}$ and $m \geq 1$, let $\{I_{k+m,\mu,\nu}\}_\nu$ be a minimal cover of $E \cap J_{k,\mu}$ by intervals of length 2^{-k-m}, and note that there are $N(E \cap J_{k,\mu}, 2^{-k-m})$ of them. Then we have, by (5.13) and (5.14), for $g := \psi_{k+m} * f_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \sup_{t \in E} |\mathcal{A}_{k,m} f_0(\cdot, t)| \|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \\
&\quad \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \Big(\sum_\mu \sum_\nu \int \sup_{t \in J_{k+m,\mu,\nu}} |h_k * v_{k+m} * [g \mathbb{1}_{J^*_{k,\mu}}](t-r)|^q \, \mathrm{d}r \Big)^{1/q} \\
&\quad \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \Big(\sum_\mu N(E \cap J_{k,\mu}, 2^{-k-m}) 2^{(k+m)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})q} \|g \mathbb{1}_{J^*_{k,\mu}}\|_p^q \Big)^{1/q} \\
&\quad \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{q})} 2^{-m(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \sup_{|J|=2^{-k}} N(E \cap J, 2^{-k-m})^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big(\sum_\mu \|g \mathbb{1}_{J^*_{k,\mu}}\|_p^p \Big)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

for $p \leq q$, and since the $J^*_{k,\mu}$ have bounded overlap, this yields the asserted inequality (5.9) in view of the definition of $\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)$ in (5.2). □

5.3. **The operators $\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm}$.** The treatment shares similarities with [20, Proposition 5.4]. We break $\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm} g \lesssim \mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{\pm} g + \mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{\pm} g$, where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{-} g(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} r^{-1} \int_{|r-t|}^{2|r-t|} s^{\frac12-\frac1p} (s-|r-t|)^{-\frac12} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{-} g(r) := \sup_{\substack{t\in E\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} r^{-1} \int_{2|r-t|}^{r+t} s^{-1/p} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

and with analogous definitions for $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{+}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{+}$ breaking the s-domain in the regions $(\frac{r+t}{2}, r+t)$ and $(|r-t|, \frac{r+t}{2})$, respectively. The operators $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{\pm}$ are pointwise bounded by the two-dimensional version of $\mathfrak{M}_p$ in (4.3), so one can appeal to the bounds in §4. The main focus of this subsection is to study the operators $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{\pm}$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $E \subseteq [1,2]$ and $1 \le p \le q < \infty$.*

(i) For $p > 2$,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm} g\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \|g\|_p.$$

(ii) For $p < 2$,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm} g\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim_p \sup_{0<\delta<1} N(E,\delta)^{\frac1q} \delta^{1-\frac2p+\frac1q} \|g\|_p. \tag{5.15}$$

(iii) For $p = 2$,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm} g\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \lesssim \sum_{\ell\ge 0} (1+\ell) \sup_{n\ge\ell} N(E, 2^{-n})^{1/q} 2^{-n/q} \|g\|_2. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. We use the decomposition $\mathfrak{M}_p^{\pm} g \lesssim \mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{\pm} g + \mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{\pm} g$, and for the operators $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\infty}^{\pm}$ the bounds in (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from Propositions 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 respectively.

We shall then focus on $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0} := \mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{-}$; the corresponding arguments for $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}^{+}$ require only a minor notational modification. We observe that $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0} g(r) = 0$ if $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [\frac23, 4]$ and hence $\|\mathfrak{M}_{p,0} g\|_{L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)} \sim \|\mathfrak{M}_{p,0} g\|_{L^q}$.

Case $p > 2$. For this simpler case, we note the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_{p,0} g(r) &\lesssim \sum_{m\ge 0} \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} \int_{|r-t|(1+2^{-m-1})}^{|r-t|(1+2^{-m})} s^{\frac12-\frac1p} (s-|r-t|)^{-1/2} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\lesssim \sum_{m\ge 0} \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} 2^{m/2} |r-t|^{-1/p} \int_{|r-t|(1+2^{-m-1})}^{|r-t|(1+2^{-m})} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, this is further estimated by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m\ge 0} \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} 2^{m/2} |r-t|^{-1/p} (|r-t|2^{-m})^{1/p'} \Big(\int_0^6 |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/p}\\ &= \sum_{m\ge 0} 2^{-m(\frac12-\frac1p)} \sup_{\substack{t\in[1,2]\\ r/2<t<3r/2}} |r-t|^{1-\frac2p} \|g\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

since the m-sum converges for $p > 2$. The L^q-bound for $\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}$ then follows from trivial integration in the r-variable. This concludes the proof of (i).

Case $p \le 2$. We treat (ii) and (iii) simultaneously. First, note that we can assume without loss of generaltiy that $|\overline{E}| = 0$. Indeed, for $p < 2$ the finiteness of the right-hand side of (5.15) implies the estimate $\delta N(E,\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-(1-\frac{2}{p})q} \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. Thus $|\overline{E}| = 0$. Similarly, the finiteness of the right-hand side of (5.16) also implies $|\overline{E}| = 0$.

For each $n \ge 0$, let $D_n := \{r : 2^{-n} \le \operatorname{dist}(r,E) < 2^{-n+1}\}$ and note that $|D_n| \lesssim N(E,2^{-n})2^{-n}$. Write $D_n = \bigcup_{\nu\in\mathfrak{N}_n} I_n^\nu$ where I_n^ν are disjoint intervals of length $|I_n^\nu| = 2^{-n-1}$, with $\#\mathfrak{N}_n \sim N(E,2^{-n})$. For each $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_n$, and $0 \le \ell \le n+1$, let $E_{n,\ell}^\nu := \{t \in E : 2^{-n+\ell-1} \le \operatorname{dist}(t, I_n^\nu) < 2^{-n+\ell}\}$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}_{p,0}g(r) &\lesssim \sum_{n\ge 0}\sum_{\nu\in\mathfrak{N}_n} \mathbb{1}_{I_n^\nu}(r) \sup_{0\le\ell\le n+1}\sup_{t\in E_{n,\ell}^\nu} \int_{|r-t|}^{2|r-t|} \frac{s^{\frac12-\frac1p}}{(s-|r-t|)^{1/2}}|g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m\ge0} 2^{m/2} \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge\ell-1}}\sum_{\nu\in\mathfrak{N}_n} \mathbb{1}_{I_n^\nu}(r) 2^{(n-\ell)/p} \sup_{t\in E_{n,\ell}^\nu} \int_{|r-t|(1+2^{-m-1})}^{|r-t|(1+2^{-m})} |g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&=: \sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m\ge0} \mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g(r).
\end{aligned}$$

We now break the analysis depending on whether $m \le \ell$ or $m > \ell$. If $m \le \ell$, we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g(r)\mathbb{1}_{I_n^\nu}(r) \lesssim 2^{m/2}2^{(n-\ell)/p}\Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell-1}}^{2^{-n+\ell+2}} |g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/p} 2^{(-n+\ell-m)/p'}.$$

Thus, we estimate $\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q$ by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim 2^{-\ell(\frac2p-1)}2^{m(\frac1p-\frac12)}\Big(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge\ell-1}} |D_n|2^{-n(1-\frac2p)q}\Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell-1}}^{2^{-n+\ell+2}}|g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac qp}\Big)^{\frac1q} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\ell(\frac2p-1)}2^{m(\frac1p-\frac12)} \sup_{n\ge\ell} N(E,2^{-n})^{1/q}2^{-n(1+\frac1q-\frac2p)}\|g\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

using $p \le q$. Summing, we obtain for $p < 2$

$$\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m\le\ell}\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q \lesssim (2-p)^{-2}\sup_{n\ge0} N(E,2^{-n})^{1/q}2^{-n(1+\frac1q-\frac2p)}\|g\|_p, \tag{5.17}$$

and, for $p = 2$,

$$\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m\le\ell}\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q \lesssim \sum_{\ell\ge0}(1+\ell)\sup_{n\ge\ell} N(E,2^{-n})^{1/q}2^{-n/q}\|g\|_2. \tag{5.18}$$

We next turn to the terms $m > \ell$ and perform a finer analysis. We further break the set $E_{n,\ell}^\nu$ into smaller $2^{-n+\ell-m}$ intervals; we call these intervals $Q_{n,\ell,m}^{\nu,\rho}$ and note there are $O(2^m)$ of them. Note that if $m > \ell$, these intervals are smaller than the I_n^ν intervals where the r-variable lives in. We then have that for $r \in I_n^\nu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in E_{n,\ell}^\nu}\int_{|r-t|(1+2^{-m-1})}^{|r-t|(1+2^{-m})}|g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \lesssim \sup_\rho\sup_{t\in Q_{n,\ell,m}^{\nu,\rho}}\int_{|r-t|+2^{-n+\ell-m-1}}^{|r-t|+2^{-n+\ell-m}}|g(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\pm}\sup_\rho\int_{\pm(r-\tilde{Q}_{n,\ell,m}^{\nu,\rho})}|h(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s = \sup_\rho|h|*\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{Q}_{n,\ell.m}^{\nu,\rho}}(r) + \sup_\rho|\tilde{h}|*\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{Q}_{n,\ell.m}^{\nu,\rho}}(r)
\end{aligned}$$

where $h(s) := g(s)\mathbb{1}_{\{2^{-n+\ell-1}\le s\le 2^{-n+\ell+2}\}}(s)$, $\tilde h(s) = h(-s)$ and $\tilde Q^{\nu,\rho}_{n,\ell,m}$ denotes the concentric triple of $Q^{\nu,\rho}_{n,\ell,m}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume the first term dominates, and using this bound in the definition of $\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}$ we obtain, using Young's convolution inequality,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q \lesssim 2^{m/2}\Big(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge\ell-1}}\sum_\nu\sum_\rho 2^{(n-\ell)\frac{q}{p}}\int_{I^\nu_n}\big||h|*\mathbb{1}_{\tilde Q^{\nu,\rho}_{n,\ell,m}}(r)\big|^q\,\mathrm{d}r\Big)^{\frac1q}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{m/2}\Big(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge\ell-1}}\sum_\nu\sum_\rho 2^{(n-\ell)\frac{q}{p}}|Q^{\nu,\rho}_{n,\ell,m}|^{q(1+\frac1q-\frac1p)}\Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell-1}}^{2^{-n+\ell+2}}|g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}\Big)^{\frac1q}$$

which is

$$\lesssim 2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)}\Big(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}_0:\\ n\ge\ell-1}}\frac{N(E,2^{-n+\ell-m})}{2^{(n-\ell)q(1+\frac1q-\frac2p)}}\Big(\int_{2^{-n+\ell-1}}^{2^{-n+\ell+2}}|g(s)|^p\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}\Big)^{\frac1q}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)}\sup_{n\ge\ell}N(E,2^{-n+\ell-m})^{1/q}2^{(-n+\ell)(1+\frac1q-\frac2p)}\|g\|_p$$

where in the last step we used that $p\le q$. We next note that

$$\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m>\ell}2^{-m(\frac12+\frac1q-\frac1p)}\sup_{n\ge\ell}N(E,2^{-n+\ell-m})^{1/q}2^{(-n+\ell)(1+\frac1q-\frac2p)}$$
$$=\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m>\ell}2^{m(\frac12-\frac1p)}\sup_{k\ge0}N(E,2^{-(k+m)})2^{-(k+m)(1-\frac2p+\frac1q)}$$
$$\lesssim\sum_{m\ge0}(1+m)2^{m(\frac12-\frac1p)}\sup_{n\ge m}N(E,2^{-n})2^{-n(1-\frac2p+\frac1q)}$$

and consequently we obtain, for $p<2$,

$$\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m>\ell}\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q\lesssim(2-p)^{-2}\sup_{n\ge0}N(E,2^{-n})2^{-n(1-\frac2p+\frac1q)}\|g\|_p$$

and for $p=2$

$$\sum_{\ell\ge0}\sum_{m>\ell}\|\mathfrak{M}^{m,\ell}g\|_q\lesssim\sum_{m\ge0}(1+m)\sup_{n\ge m}N(E,2^{-n})2^{-n/q}\|g\|_2.$$

Combining these with (5.17) and (5.18) concludes the proof of parts (ii) and (iii). □

5.4. **Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4.** We are now in a position to prove the 2-dimensional results stated in the introduction. We observe that for $d=2$ the triangle Δ_β is given by

$$\Delta_\beta=\big\{(\tfrac1p,\tfrac1q)\in[0,1]^2:\tfrac{1}{2p}\le\tfrac1q\le\tfrac1p,\ \ \tfrac{1-\beta}{q}\ge\tfrac2p-1\big\}. \tag{5.19}$$

We start with Theorem 1.2, which gives a complete answer regarding $\overline{\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{rad}}_E}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The implication $\subset$ follows from the necessary conditions in Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.5.

We turn to the sufficient conditions. By Lemma 5.1, it suffices to consider the operators $R^\pm_1, R^\pm_2$ and $\mathfrak{M}^\pm_p$. We first assume $\beta=\dim_M E<1$.

(a) By Proposition 5.2 and the definition of Minkowski dimension, we have that $R_1^\pm$ are $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded if $\frac{1-\beta}{q} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} > 0$. Note that this only constitutes a constraint for $p < 2$, which is subdominant with respect to the condition in (5.19). Thus, bounds for $R_1^\pm$ hold when $\beta < 1$ and $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$.

(b) By the definition of $\nu^\#$ in (1.2), we have for any $\nu > \nu^\#(\frac{q}{2} - 1)$

$$\sup_{|J|=2^{-k}} |J|^{1-\frac{q}{2}} N(E \cap J, \delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\nu} \tag{5.20}$$

for all $0 < \delta \le 2^{-k}$, with implicit constant independent of k. Recalling the definition of $\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)$ in (5.2), we get from (5.20)

$$2^{-m(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k) \lesssim 2^{(m+k)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-\nu}{q})}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 5.4, (i), and choosing a suitable ν we see that $R_2^\pm$ is $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{q}(1 - \nu^\#(\frac{q}{2} - 1))$ and $2 \le q \le 2p$. For $q < 2$, we directly use the definition of Minkowski dimension in $\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)$ and obtain that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-m(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \omega_m^{p,q}(E,k) \\ &\qquad \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{-m(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} 2^{-k(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q})} 2^{-(m+k)(\frac{1-\beta}{q}-\frac{2}{p}+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is further bounded by $2^{-m(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} 2^{-k(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q})}$ if $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$. Furthermore, these exponents are negative if $p \le q < 2$, provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small. Thus, by Proposition 5.4, (ii) gives that that $R_2^\pm$ is $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded if $1 \le p \le q < 2$ and $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$ for $\beta < 1$. Consequently, we have shown the inclusion $\supset$ for $R_2^\pm$ if $\beta < 1$.

(c) By Proposition 5.5 and the definition of Minkowski dimension, the operators $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ are $L^p \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded for $2 \le p \le q$. For $1 \le p < 2$, we have boundedness if $\frac{1-\beta}{q} + 1 - \frac{2}{p} > 0$. Thus, in view of (5.19), we have the $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ bounds for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \mathrm{int}(\Delta_\beta)$.

For the case $\beta = 1$, we use $N(E,\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-1}$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$. If $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \mathrm{int}(\Delta_1)$ we have $p > 2$. The desired bounds for $R_1^\pm$ and $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ follow immediately from Propositions 5.2 and 5.5, (i). For the operator $R_2^\pm$, the argument in (b) above yields that it is $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded if $2 < p \le q < 2p$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{q}(1 - \nu^\#(\frac{q}{2} - 1))$. This completes the proof. □

We conclude by giving the proof of Theorem 1.4, which addresses endpoint situations in 2 dimensions.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall from Corollary 3.3 that $\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} \subset \Delta_\beta$. We first note the following:

(a) If $\beta < 1$, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 1.2, (a), that $R_1^\pm : L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$.

(b) By the definition of $\omega_m^{p,q}(E,k)$ in (5.2), we get for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\omega_m^{p,2p}(E,k) \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{m(\gamma+\varepsilon)/2p}.$$

Using this in Proposition 5.4, (i), yields that $R_2^\pm$ is $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^{2p}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded if $p > 1 + \gamma$.

(c) If $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ and $\frac{1-\beta}{q} + 1 - \frac{2}{p} > 0$, we have by Proposition 5.5 that $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ is $L^p \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded. If $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) < \infty$ and $\beta < 1$, Proposition 5.5 implies that $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ is $L^p \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded for all $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_\beta$.

In view of (a), the endpoint bounds in parts (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.4 are only determined by the operators $R_2^\pm$ and $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$. We now discuss item by item.

(i) Assume $2\gamma - \beta < 1$; this implies $\beta < 1$. For the left to right implication, note that if $\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \Delta_\beta$, we have that $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ bounds for M_E hold on the line $\frac{1-\beta}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - 1$: see (5.19). But this implies, by Lemma 3.2, (ii), the claimed necessary condition $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \delta N(E,\delta) < \infty$.

For the reverse implication, we have by Lemma 5.3 that $R_2^\pm$ is bounded on $L^{1+\beta}(r\mathrm{d}r)$, which corresponds to the point $P_{2,\beta}$. By interpolation, it suffices to show $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^{2p}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ boundedness for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_1, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}}]$, that is, for $p \ge \frac{3+\beta}{2}$. But since $2\gamma - \beta < 1$, this follows by (b) above. This implies $\Delta_\beta \subset \mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}$.

(ii) Assume $2\gamma - \beta = 1$, $\beta < 1$ and $\sup_\delta \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) < \infty$. If $\beta = 0$, we have by assumption that $N(E,\delta) = O(1)$ uniformly in δ. The bounds for M_E are then an immediate consequence of the classical result of [10] on a single spherical average, which also includes the point $P_3 = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$.

We can therefore assume, in what follows, $0 < \beta < 1$. By (a) and (c), it suffices to consider $R_2^\pm$. Since $1 + \gamma = \frac{3+\beta}{2}$, the argument in (b) only gives boundedness for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_1, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}})$. However, we have by Lemma 5.3 that $R_2^\pm$ is $L^p(r\mathrm{d}r)$-bounded if either $\beta < 1/2$ and $p \ge 1$, or $\beta \ge 1/2$ and $p > 2\beta$. Thus, if $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in (P_{2,\beta}, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}})$ (hence $\frac{1-\beta}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - 1$), we can find p_0 with $\max\{1, 2\beta\} < p_0 < 1 + \beta$ and $p_1 > 1 + \gamma$ with $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{2p_1}) \in (P_1, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}})$ such that

$$(\tfrac{1}{p}, \tfrac{1}{q}) = (1-\vartheta)(\tfrac{1}{p_0}, \tfrac{1}{p_0}) + \vartheta(\tfrac{1}{p_1}, \tfrac{1}{2p_1})$$

for some $0 < \vartheta < 1$, and with $R_2^\pm$ bounded on $L^{p_0}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ and from $L^{p_1}(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^{2p_1}(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounded. Hence, $R_2^\pm$ maps $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s)$ to $L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ by interpolation. This yields the desired inclusion $\Delta_\beta \backslash \{P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}}\} \subset \mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}}$.

(iii) Assume $2\gamma - \beta = 1$ and $\sup_\delta \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) = \infty$ (note this means $\beta < 1$). Since the line joining $P_{2,\beta}$ and $P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}}$ is given by $\frac{1-\beta}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - 1$, we have by Lemma 3.2, (ii), that M_E is not $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ bounded for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [P_{2,\beta}, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}}]$. The bounds on $R_2^\pm$ are as in (ii). Furthermore, if $\frac{1-\beta}{q} > \frac{2}{p} - 1$, the item (c) above guarantees $L^p \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ bounds for $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_E^{\mathrm{rad}} = \Delta_\beta \backslash [P_{2,\beta}, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}}]$.

(iv) Assume $2\gamma - \beta > 1$; this implies $\beta < 1$. Since $P_{4,\gamma}^{\mathrm{rad}} = (\frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{1}{2(1+\gamma)})$, the claimed bounds for $R_2^\pm$ on $[P_1, P_{4,\gamma}^{\mathrm{rad}})$ follow from (b) above. The boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ on this line segment follows from (c) above, since $P_{4,\gamma}^{\mathrm{rad}}$ lies in the line segment $(P_1, P_{3,\beta}^{\mathrm{rad}})$.

(v) Assume $\beta = 1$. Then the line segment $[P_2, P_3^{\mathrm{rad}}]$ is vertical with $p = 2$, and the condition $\sup_{0<\delta<1/2} \delta \log(\frac{1}{\delta}) N(E,\delta) = \infty$ and Lemma 3.4 exclude $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ boundedness for any $q \ge 2$. On the other hand, if $p > 2$ we have by Proposition 5.2 that $R_1^\pm$ is $L^p(s\mathrm{d}s) \to L^q(r\mathrm{d}r)$ for all $2 < p \le q \le \infty$, with analogous bounds for $\mathfrak{M}_p^\pm$ by Proposition 5.5, (i). For $R_2^\pm$, the bounds

for $2 < p \leq q \leq 2p$ follow from (b) above. Consequently, M_E is $L^p_{\mathrm{rad}} \to L^q$ bounded if and only if $2 < p \leq q \leq 2p$.

This concludes the proof. □

References

[1] Theresa Anderson, Kevin Hughes, Joris Roos, and Andreas Seeger. $L^p \to L^q$ bounds for spherical maximal operators. *Math. Z.*, 297(3-4):1057–1074, 2021.

[2] Amlan Banaji and Alex Rutar. Attainable forms of intermediate dimensions. *Ann. Fenn. Math.*, 47:939–960, 2022.

[3] Jean Bourgain. Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators. *J. Analyse Math.*, 47:69–85, 1986.

[4] Jonathan M. Fraser. *Assouad dimension and fractal geometry*, volume 222 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.

[5] Jonathan M. Fraser, Kathryn E. Hare, Kevin G. Hare, Sascha Troscheit, and Han Yu. The assouad spectrum and the quasi-assouad dimension: a tale of two spectra. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 4:379–387, 2019.

[6] Jonathan M. Fraser and Han Yu. Assouad-type spectra for some fractal families. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(5):2005–2043, 2018.

[7] Jonathan M. Fraser and Han Yu. New dimension spectra: finer information on scaling and homogeneity. *Adv. Math.*, 329:273–328, 2018.

[8] Lars Hörmander. Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces. *Acta Math.*, 104:93–140, 1960.

[9] Mark A. Leckband. A note on the spherical maximal operator for radial functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 100:635–640, 1987.

[10] Walter Littman. $L^p - L^q$-estimates for singular integral operators arising from hyperbolic equations. In *Partial differential equations (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIII, Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1971)*, pages 479–481, 1973.

[11] Fan Lü and Li-Feng Xi. Quasi-assouad dimension of fractals. *J. Fractal Geom.*, 3:187–215, 2016.

[12] Adam Nowak, Luz Roncal, and Tomasz Z. Szarek. Endpoint estimates and optimality for the generalized spherical maximal operator on radial functions. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 22(7):2233–2277, 2023.

[13] Joris Roos and Andreas Seeger. Spherical maximal functions and fractal dimensions of dilation sets. *Amer. J. Math.*, 145(4):1077–1110, 2023.

[14] Alex Rutar. Attainable forms of Assouad spectra. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 73:1331–1356, 2024.

[15] Wilhelm Schlag. A generalization of Bourgain's circular maximal theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(1):103–122, 1997.

[16] Wilhelm Schlag and Christopher D. Sogge. Local smoothing estimates related to the circular maximal theorem. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 4(1):1–15, 1997.

[17] Andreas Seeger and Terence Tao. Sharp Lorentz space estimates for rough operators. *Math. Ann.*, 320(2):381–415, 2001.

[18] Andreas Seeger, Terence Tao, and James Wright. Endpoint mapping properties of spherical maximal operators. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(1):109–144, 2003.

[19] Andreas Seeger, Stephen Wainger, and James Wright. Pointwise convergence of spherical means. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 118(1):115–124, 1995.

[20] Andreas Seeger, Stephen Wainger, and James Wright. Spherical maximal operators on radial functions. *Math. Nachr.*, 187:95–105, 1997.

[21] Elias M. Stein. Maximal functions. I. Spherical means. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 73(7):2174–2175, 1976.

[22] Elias M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

[23] Shuijiang Zhao. L^p improving bounds for spherical maximal operators over restricted dilation sets: radial improvements. Preprint, 2024.

Departament d'Anàlisi Matemàtica, Universitat de València, Burjassot, Spain
Email address: david.beltran@uv.es

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA, USA
Email address: joris_roos@uml.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA
Email address: seeger@math.wisc.edu