SPHERICAL MAXIMAL OPERATORS ON HEISENBERG GROUPS: RESTRICTED DILATION SETS

JORIS ROOS ANDREAS SEEGER RAJULA SRIVASTAVA

Abstract. Consider spherical means on the Heisenberg group with a codimension two incidence relation, and associated spherical local maximal functions $M_E f$ where the dilations are restricted to a set E. We prove $L^p \to L^q$ estimates for these maximal operators; the results depend on various notions of dimension of E.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to extend recent L^p-improving results for local spherical maximal functions on the Heisenberg group in [24] to the setting of restricted dilation sets. To fix notation, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathbb{H}^n$ denote the Heisenberg group of Euclidean dimension $d = 2n+1$. We denote coordinates on $\mathbb{H}^n$ by $x = (\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$. The group law is given by

$$x \cdot y = (\underline{x} + \underline{y}, \bar{x} + \bar{y} + \underline{x}^{\intercal} J \underline{y}),$$

where J is an invertible skew symmetric $2n \times 2n$ matrix. The Heisenberg group is equipped with automorphic dilations given by $\delta_t(x) = (t\underline{x}, t^2\bar{x})$.

Let μ be the normalized rotation-invariant measure on the $2n-1$ dimensional unit sphere in the horizontal subspace $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \{0\}$, centered at the origin. The automorphic dilations map this subspace into itself. We define the dilates of μ by $\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \langle \mu, f \circ \delta_t \rangle$, where $t > 0$. In this paper we study the averaging operators

$$f * \mu_t(x) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(\underline{x} - t\omega, \bar{x} - t\underline{x}^{\intercal} J\omega) d\mu(\omega),$$

which were introduced by Nevo and Thangavelu [21].

Let $E \subset [1,2]$. We are interested in determining the set of exponent pairs $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [0,1]^2$ so that the local maximal operator

$$M_E f = \sup_{t \in E} |f * \mu_t|$$

extends to a bounded operator $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$. For the full maximal function $\sup_{t>0} |f * \mu_t|$ sharp $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ bounds for $n \geq 2$ were

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25 (43A80, 42B99, 22E25).

Key words and phrases. Spherical means; spherical maximal operators, restricted dilation sets, quasi-Assouad dimension, Minkowski-dimension.

established by Müller and the second author [19] and independently by Narayanan and Thangavelu [20]. The problem of $L^p \to L^q$ boundedness of the local version $M_{[1,2]}$ was investigated by Bagchi, Hait, Roncal and Thangavelu [2], who were motivated by applications to sparse bounds and weighted estimates for the corresponding *global* maximal function, as well as for a lacunary variant. $L^p \to L^q$ results that are sharp up to endpoints, for both the single averages and full local maximal function, were proved in our previous paper [24].

In the present paper we ask what happens if we take for E more general subsets of $[1,2]$. This question was recently considered in the Euclidean setting in [1], [23] (also see the earlier paper [26] for the case $p=q$). While the $L^p \to L^p$ results depend on the Minkowski dimension of E the new feature of [1], [23] is the dependence on various different notions of fractal dimension. These dimensions play a congruent role in the Heisenberg case. For $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ let $N(E,\delta)$ be the minimal number of intervals of length δ needed to cover E. To state our main result we first recall the Minkowski and quasi-Assouad dimensions. We say that E has *Minkowski dimension* $\dim_M E = \beta \in [0,1]$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$,

$$N(E,\delta) \le c_\varepsilon \delta^{-\beta-\varepsilon}. \tag{1.1}$$

The *Assouad spectrum* is a continuum of fractal dimensions defined in [8] (see also [10, 9, 7]): for $\theta \in [0,1]$ let $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E$ denote the smallest number γ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every interval I with $|I| \ge \delta^\theta$ we have

$$N(E \cap I, \delta) \le c_\varepsilon \, (|I|/\delta)^{\gamma+\varepsilon}. \tag{1.2}$$

As $\theta \mapsto \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E$ is non-decreasing the limit $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E := \lim_{\theta \nearrow 1} \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E$ exists and is called the *quasi-Assouad dimension*, see [16].

To identify classes of sets for which our L^p-improving results are sharp we shall need the concept of quasi-Assouad regularity in [23] (see also [1] for a related notion). A set $E \subset [1,2]$ with $\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E$ and $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$ is called *quasi-Assouad regular* if either $\gamma = 0$ or $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{A},\theta} E = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$ for all $\theta \in (1-\beta/\gamma, 1)$. Observe that always $0 \le \beta \le \gamma \le 1$.

Let $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$ denote the closed quadrilateral with corners

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_1 = (0,0), \qquad Q_{2,\beta} = \left(\tfrac{2n-1}{2n-1+\beta}, \tfrac{2n-1}{2n-1+\beta}\right), \\ &Q_{3,\beta} = \left(\tfrac{2n+1-\beta}{2n+3-\beta}, \tfrac{2}{2n+3-\beta}\right), \quad Q_{4,\gamma} = \left(\tfrac{n(2n+1)}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}, \tfrac{2n}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \ge 2$, $E \subset [1,2]$ with $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E = \gamma$. Then the following hold.*

(i) $M_E : L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is bounded for $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ in the interior of $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$, and on the line segment $[Q_1, Q_{2,\beta})$.



FIGURE 1. The quadrilateral $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$.

(ii) If E is quasi-Assouad regular and $(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q}) \notin \mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$, then M_E does not map $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

Note that up to endpoints we recover the corresponding sharp results for $E = [1,2]$ in [24]. Further examples of quasi-Assouad regular sets include convex sequences, self-similar sets with $\beta = \gamma$ (such as Cantor sets) and many more; see [23, §6]. Note that we do not cover the case $n = 1$; indeed it is currently unknown whether the full circular maximal operator on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ is bounded on any L^p for $p < \infty$ and L^p-improving estimates are even more elusive (see [3, 14] for results on Heisenberg-radial functions).

The definitions of Minkowski and Assouad dimension in (1.1) and (1.2) allow positive or negative powers of $\log \delta^{-1}$, or $\log(\delta/|I|)^{-1}$, and are therefore not suitable for the formulation of endpoint results at the boundary of $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$. The following theorem covers such endpoint results for $0 < \beta < 1$. We define functions $\chi^E_{\mathrm{M},\beta}$, $\chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma} : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$, by

$$\chi^E_{\mathrm{M},\beta}(\delta) = \delta^\beta N(E,\delta), \tag{1.4a}$$

$$\chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(\delta) = \sup_{|I|>\delta} (\delta/|I|)^\gamma N(E\cap I,\delta)\,. \tag{1.4b}$$

As in [23] we refer to $\chi^E_{\mathrm{M},\beta}$ as the β-Minkowski characteristic of E and to $\chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}$ as the γ-Assouad characteristic of E. If these characteristics are bounded then we obtain $L^p \to L^q$ boundedness of M_E on the edges of $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$, with the possible exception of corners $Q_{2,\beta}$, $Q_{3,\beta}$ and $Q_{4,\gamma}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $E \subset [1,2]$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and assume that $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \chi^E_{\mathrm{M},\beta}(\delta) < \infty$, $\sup_{0<\delta<1} \chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(\delta) < \infty$. Then the following hold.*

(i) $M_E : L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q}) \in \mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma) \setminus \{Q_{2,\beta}, Q_{3,\beta}, Q_{4,\gamma}\}$.

(ii) M_E is of restricted weak type (p,q) for all $(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q}) \in \mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$.

The case $\beta = 0$ corresponds to single averages for which a stronger result was proved in [24]. The main ideas for the L^p improving results in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow roughly the outline in the Euclidean case [25, 15, 1, 23] (even though the outcomes are quite different) and there are also similarities to the treatment of the full maximal operators on Heisenberg groups $\mathbb{H}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) in [24]. However there is an important difference which makes the proof of the estimate at $Q_{4,\gamma}$ harder. Concretely, in the case of a restricted dilation set we can no longer efficiently use the space-time rotational curvature properties for the averages $(x,t) \mapsto f * \mu_t(x)$ which we relied on in [24]. Unlike in the Euclidean case the fixed time averages $f * \mu_t$ do not have nonvanishing rotational curvature but are Fourier integral operators whose canonical relations project with fold singularities. As noticed in [19] this does not severely impact the outcome for the $L^p \to L^p$-inequalities for the maximal functions, however it creates technical problems in the proofs of the sharp L^p-improving estimates for $(1/p, 1/q)$ away from the diagonals (*cf.* §4).

Further remarks and results. It is natural to ask what happens if in the above results one drops the assumpton that E be quasi-Assouad regular. There are many interesting examples, in particular unions of quasi-Assouad regular sets are typically not quasi-Assouad regular. In the case of finite unions, one can deduce from the above results that the closure of the sharp region of boundedness exponents is given by a polygon arising as the intersection of finitely many quadrilaterals of the form $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma)$. When considering countable unions, more complicated convex regions can arise. The following result is a direct analogue of a corresponding result in the Euclidean setting.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{T}_E$ be the type set of M_E, i.e. the set of $(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q})$ such that $M_E : L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is bounded. Then the following hold.*

(i) Suppose that $E = \cup_{i=1}^N E_i$ where E_i are quasi-Assouad regular sets with $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E_i = \beta_i$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E_i = \gamma_i$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E} = \cap_{i=1}^N \mathcal{R}(\beta_i,\gamma_i)$.

(ii) If $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$, $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E = \gamma$, then $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}_E} \subset \mathcal{R}(\beta,\beta)$.

(iii) For every closed convex set $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\mathcal{R}(\beta,\gamma) \subset \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{R}(\beta,\beta)$ there is a set $E \subset [1,2]$ with $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E = \gamma$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E} = \mathcal{T}$.

In particular, (ii) and (iii) characterize exactly which closed convex sets can arise as $\overline{\mathcal{T}_E}$ for some $E \subset [1,2]$. It turns out that the essential sharpness of the results for quasi-Assouad regular dilation sets in Theorem 1.1 allows one to give a proof of Theorem 1.3 that is entirely analogous to the arguments in [23, §5-7] and we will therefore not repeat the details of the constructions here.

Our results have applications to sparse bounds for global maximal operators given by $f \mapsto \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t\in E} |f * \mu_{2^k t}|$. We refer to the detailed

discussion in the paper by Bagchi, Hait, Roncal, Thangavelu [2] who show how (partial) results on L^p-improving esimates imply corresponding partial results on sparse bounds for the lacunary and full maximal functions (see also [24, §8] for a discussion of an essentially sharp version of such results). In the same way our results imply sparse bounds for the global maximal operators with restricted dilation sets.

Finally we remark that the behavior of maximal operators associated with the codimension two spherical means considered here is quite different from the behavior of maximal functions associated with *hypersurfaces* in the Heisenberg group. Of particular interest here is the Korányi sphere, for which the sharp L^p improving properties of the local full maximal operator up to endpoints were obtained in a recent paper by one of the authors [27], see also partial results about averages in previous work [11] by Ganguly and Thangavelu.

Summary of the paper.

- §2 contains some known preliminary reductions.
- §3 contains the proof of the basic bounds at the points $Q_1, Q_{2,\beta}, Q_{3,\beta}$ and states the estimates proving part (i) of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 (i).
- §4 is concerned with the estimate at $Q_{4,\gamma}$. We follow the main argument in §4 which is the reduction to an $L^2 \to L^q$ estimate. This is handled by TT^* arguments similar to [1], but we have to overcome difficulties caused by the presence of fold singularities. These arguments complete the proof of part (i) of Theorems 1.1, 1.2.
- In §5 we prove the key kernel estimate, Proposition 4.2.
- In §6 we prove part (ii) of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 by testing the operator on some old and new counterexamples.

Notation. Partial derivatives will often be denoted by subscript. P denotes the $(2n-1)\times 2n$ matrix $P = (I_{2n-1}\ 0)$. By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \le C\cdot B$, where C is a constant and $A \approx B$ signifies that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Hausdorff Research Institute of Mathematics and the organizers of the trimester program *Harmonic Analysis and Analytic Number Theory* for a pleasant working environment during their visits in the summer of 2021. This work was supported by National Science Foundation grants DMS-2054220 (A.S.) and DMS-2154835 (J.R.), and by a grant from the Simons Foundation (ID 855692, J.R.). The authors also thank a referee for a thorough reading and suggestions that have improved the exposition.

2. Preliminaries

Via suitable rotation and localization arguments (as explained in Section 2.1 of [24]), we may assume that f is supported in a small neighborhood of the origin and the measure μ is supported in a small neighborhood of

the vector e_{2n}. Splitting $\underline{y} = (y', y_{2n})$ and using the parametrization $\omega = (w', g(w'))$ with $g(w') = \sqrt{1-|w'|^2}$ near the north pole e_{2n} of the sphere, it suffices to consider maximal functions $\sup_{t\in E}|\mathcal{R}f(x,t)|$ where the integral operator (generalized Radon transform) $\mathcal{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}f(\underline{x},\bar{x},t) = \int \chi(x,t,y')f(y',\mathfrak{s}^{2n}(x,t,y'),\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(x,t,y'))dy';$$

here χ is smooth and supported on

$$\{(x',x_{2n},\bar{x},t,y') : |y'|\le\epsilon,\ |x'|\le\epsilon,\ |x_{2n}-t|\le\epsilon,\ |\bar{x}|\le\epsilon\}. \tag{2.1}$$

The choice of ϵ will be determined by considerations in the proof of Lemma 5.1 below, depending on the size of derivatives of phase functions and the choice of J, but it is not necessary to track this.

$$\mathfrak{s}^{2n}(x,t,y') = x_{2n} - tg(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}), \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(x,t,y') = \bar{x} + \underline{x}^{\mathsf{T}}JP^{\mathsf{T}}y' + \big(x_{2n} - tg(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t})\big)(\underline{x}^{\mathsf{T}}Je_{2n}), \tag{2.2b}$$

where $P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-1} & 0\end{pmatrix}$ is the matrix of the projection on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ omitting the last coordinate. We will need that

$$g(0) = 1,\ \nabla g(0) = 0,\ g''(0) = -I_{2n-1},\ g'''(0) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

It will be convenient to introduce a nonlinear shear transformation in the x-variables

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\mathfrak{x}}(x) &= \underline{x},\\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}(x) &= \bar{x} - x_{2n}\,\underline{x}^{\mathsf{T}}Je_{2n}.\end{aligned}$$

By a change of variables it suffices to prove the relevant estimates for $\mathcal{A}f(x,t) = \mathcal{R}f(\mathfrak{x}(x),t)$. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ has a Schwartz kernel which is a co-normal distribution given by

$$K(x,t,y) = \chi_1(x,t,y')\delta_0(S^{2n}(x,t,y') - y_{2n}, \overline{S}(x,t,y') - \overline{y}),$$

where $\chi_1(x,t,y') = \chi(\mathfrak{x}(x),t,y')$, δ_0 is Dirac measure at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $(S^{2n},\bar{S})|_{(x,t,y')} = (\mathfrak{s}^{2n},\bar{\mathfrak{s}})|_{(\mathfrak{x}(x),t,y')}$, that is

$$\begin{aligned}S^{2n}(x,t,y') &= x_{2n} - tg(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}),\\ \bar{S}(x,t,y') &= \bar{x} + (\underline{x}^{\mathsf{T}}J)(P^{\mathsf{T}}y' - tg(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t})e_{2n}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

with g as in (2.3). Note that the function χ_1 is still supported in a set of the form (2.1), where we replace ϵ by $O(\epsilon)$. It is standard to express δ_0 via the Fourier transform

$$K(x,t,y) = \chi_1(x,t,y')\int_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)}\tfrac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where the phase function Ψ is given by

$$\Psi(x,t,y,\theta) = \theta_{2n}(S^{2n}(x,t,y') - y_{2n}) + \bar{\theta}(\bar{S}(x,t,y') - \bar{y}) \tag{2.6}$$

and $\theta = (\theta_{2n},\bar{\theta})$.

We now perform a dyadic decomposition of this modified kernel. Let ζ_0 be a smooth radial function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact support in $\{|\theta| < 1\}$ such that $\zeta_0(\theta) = 1$ for $|\theta| \leq 1/2$. We set $\zeta_1(\theta) = \zeta_0(\theta/2) - \zeta_0(\theta)$ and $\zeta_j(\theta) = \zeta_1(2^{1-j}\theta)$ for $j \geq 1$.

We set, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\mathcal{A}_t^k f(x) = \int \chi_1(x,t,y') \int_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^2} \zeta_k(\theta) e^{i\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)} \tfrac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2}\, f(y)dy.$$

For $k \geq 1$ this can be rewritten, by a change of variables and the homogeneity of the phase function with respect to θ, as

$$\mathcal{A}_t^k f(x) = 2^{2k} \int \chi_1(x,t,y') \int_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^2} \zeta_1(2\theta) e^{i2^k\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)} \tfrac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2}\, f(y)dy. \tag{2.7}$$

As already observed in [19] these Fourier integral operators lack "rotational curvature" (i.e. the assumption that the associated canonical relation is locally the graph of a diffeomorphism). Indeed from Hörmander [13] the "rotational curvature matrix" is given by

$$\mathrm{Rotcurv}(\Psi) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{xy} & \Psi_{x\theta} \\ \Psi_{\theta y} & \Psi_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_{2n} S^{2n}_{x'y'} + \bar\theta\, \bar S_{x'y'} & 0 & 0 & S^{2n}_{x'} & \bar S_{x'} \\ \bar\theta\, e_{2n}^{\mathsf T} J P^{\mathsf T} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ (S^{2n}_{y'})^{\mathsf T} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\bar S_{y'})^{\mathsf T} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One calculates $S^{2n}_{x'} = -\nabla g(\frac{x'-y'}{t})$, $S^{2n}_{y'} = \nabla g(\frac{x'-y'}{t})$ and

$$\theta_{2n} S^{2n}_{x'y'} + \bar\theta\, \bar S_{x'y'} = \\ t^{-1}(\theta_{2n} + \bar\theta\, \underline{x}^{\mathsf T} J e_{2n}) g''(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}) + \bar\theta\, \left[P J P^{\mathsf T} + B(x,t,y') \right]$$

where the $(2n-1)\times(2n-1)$ matrix $B(x,t,y')$ is given by

$$B(x,t,y') = P J e_{2n} \nabla g(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}).$$

With

$$\sigma(x,\theta) = \theta_{2n} + \bar\theta\, \underline{x}^{\mathsf T} J e_{2n} \tag{2.8}$$

we see that $\mathrm{Rotcurv}(\Psi)$ equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{-1}\sigma g''(\frac{x'-y'}{t}) + \bar\theta(PJP^{\mathsf T} + B) & 0 & 0 & -\nabla g(\frac{x'-y'}{t}) & * \\ \bar\theta\, e_{2n}^{\mathsf T} J P^{\mathsf T} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \nabla g(\frac{x'-y'}{t})^{\mathsf T} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and by using elementary row operations and the skew-symmetry of J, it is not hard to see that

$$\det\left(\mathrm{Rotcurv}(\Psi)\right) = \det\Big(t^{-1}\sigma g''(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}) + \bar\theta\,(PJP^{\intercal} + B - B^{\intercal})\Big).$$

Note that $PJP^{\intercal} + B - B^{\intercal}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix of order $2n-1$ and is thus not invertible. Using [24, Lemma 3.1], we conclude that

$$t^{-1}\sigma g''(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t}) + \bar\theta\,(PJP^{\intercal} + B - B^{\intercal})$$

is invertible if and only if $\sigma \neq 0$. Indeed from the calculations in §3 of [24] and [19, Lemma 5.4] it follows that

$$\det(\mathrm{Rotcurv}(\Psi)) \approx \sigma(x,\theta).$$

It is natural to use an idea in [22] to further decompose in terms of the size of σ (see also [6], [19], [3]). For $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor$, we define

$$u_\ell(x,\theta) = \begin{cases} (1-\zeta_0(\frac{1}{2}\sigma(x,\theta))) & \text{if } \ell = 0,\\ \zeta_1(2^\ell\sigma(x,\theta)) & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell < \lfloor k/3\rfloor,\\ \zeta_0(2^{\lfloor k/3\rfloor}\sigma(x,\theta)) & \text{if } \ell = \lfloor k/3\rfloor,\end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

so that $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{3}\rfloor} u_\ell = 1$ and u_ℓ is supported where $|\sigma| \approx 2^{-\ell}$ when $1 \leq \ell < \lfloor k/3\rfloor$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f(x) &\equiv \mathcal{A}^{k,\ell} f(x,t)\\ &= 2^{2k}\int \chi_1(x,t,y') \int_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^2} \zeta_1(2\theta) u_\ell(x,\theta) e^{i2^k\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)} \tfrac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2}\, f(y)dy.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, for $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{3}\rfloor$, we let $\mathcal{M}_E^0 f(x) = \sup_{t\in E} |\mathcal{A}_t^0 f(x)|$,

$$\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f(x) = \sup_{t\in E} |\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f(x)| \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_E^k f(x) = \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\rfloor} \mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f(x). \tag{2.11}$$

Since for all $E \subset [1,2]$ the operator $\mathcal{M}_E^0$ maps $L^p \to L^q$ for all $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ it will be ignored in what follows.

2.1. *The operators $\partial_t\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$ versus $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$.* Finally, in order to estimate the maximal operators $\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell}$, we will rely on estimates for $\partial_t\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell} f(x,t)$. As in [19], [3] it will be crucial to observe that $\partial_t\Psi$ lies in the ideal generated by σ, indeed

$$\partial_t\Psi(x,y,\theta) = -\partial_t\big(tg(\tfrac{x'-y'}{t})\big)\sigma(x,\theta). \tag{2.12}$$

In view of (2.9), (2.10), (2.12) the operator $2^{\ell-k}\partial_t\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$ will usually have the same quantitative behavior as $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$.

To expand on this let $\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t)$ be the Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y) = 2^{2k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i2^k\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)} a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta)d\theta, \tag{2.13}$$

with Ψ as in (2.6) and $a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta) = (2\pi)^{-2}\chi_1(x,t,y')\zeta_1(2\theta)u_\ell(x,\theta)$.

For the t-derivatives we compute

$$\partial_t \mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y) = i2^{3k}\int \partial_t\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)e^{i2^k\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)}a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta)\,d\theta + 2^{2k}\int e^{i2^k\Psi(x,t,y,\theta)}\partial_t a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta)\,d\theta.$$

Observe that $\partial_t a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta) = (\partial_t\chi_1(x,t,y'))\zeta_1(2\theta)u_\ell(x,\theta)$ and its derivatives satisfy the same quantitative estimates as a_ℓ. Regarding the first summand we use (2.12). The expression $\partial_t(tg(\frac{x'-y'}{t}))$ does not depend on θ and its derivatives satisfy uniform bounds. Since $|\sigma(x,\theta)| \approx 2^{-\ell}$ we see that the modified amplitude function

$$\widetilde{a}_\ell(x,t,y',\theta) = 2^\ell\sigma(x,\theta)a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta)$$

satisfies the same estimates as a_ℓ, with a similar statement for the derivatives. As a consequence of these considerations we see that the operator $2^{-k+\ell}\partial_t\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}$ will always satisfy the same estimates as $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$, and we usually omit a separate proof for $\partial_t\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}$.

3. Basic estimates

We use the representation (2.13) for the Schwartz kernel $\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$ and integration by parts yields the estimate

$$|\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y)| \le C_N \frac{2^{k-\ell}}{(1+2^{k-\ell}|y_{2n}-S^{2n}(x,t,y')|)^N} \times \frac{2^k}{(1+2^k|\bar{y}-\bar{S}(x,t,y')-\underline{x}^{\intercal}Je_{2n}(y_{2n}-S^{2n}(x,t,y'))|)^N}. \tag{3.1}$$

This estimate yields

$$\sup_{t\in[1,2]}\sup_{x,y}|\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y)| \lesssim 2^{2k-\ell},$$
$$\sup_{t\in[1,2]}\sup_{x}\int|\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y)|dy \lesssim 1,$$
$$\sup_{t\in[1,2]}\sup_{y}\int|\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}(x,t,y)|dx \lesssim 1,$$

where for the third inequality we used the specific expressions for S^{2n}, $\bar{S}$ in (2.4). It follows that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}\|_{L^1\to L^1} + \|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}\|_{L^\infty\to L^\infty} \lesssim 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}\|_{L^1\to L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{2k-\ell}. \tag{3.3}$$

We also have the L^2 fixed-time estimate

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})} \lesssim 2^{-k\frac{2n-1}{2}}2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\|f\|_2, \tag{3.4}$$

for $0 \le \ell \le \frac{k}{3}$. Display (3.4) was established in [19] via estimates for oscillatory integrals with fold singularities in [6], see also the detailed treatment of a relevant extended class of oscillatory integral operators in [3, §6]. By interpolation we get

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \ge 1$ and $t \in [1,2]$.*

(i) For $1 \le p \le 2$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f\|_p \lesssim 2^{-k\frac{2n-1}{p'}} 2^{\frac{\ell}{p'}} \|f\|_p \tag{3.5}$$

and for $2 \le p \le \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f\|_p \lesssim 2^{-k\frac{2n-1}{p}} 2^{\frac{\ell}{p}} \|f\|_p. \tag{3.6}$$

(ii) For $2 \le q \le \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}\|_q \lesssim 2^{k(2-\frac{2n+3}{q})} 2^{\ell(\frac{3}{q}-1)} \|f\|_{q'}. \tag{3.7}$$

(iii) The same estimates hold for $2^{-k+\ell}\partial_t \mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell}$ in place of $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$.

Proof. Part (i) follows by interpolating between (3.2) and (3.4), while Part (ii) is a consequence of interpolating between (3.3) and (3.4). For part (iii) see the considerations in §2.1. □

The above estimates give the following bounds for the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell}$.

Proposition 3.2. *For all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we have the following bounds for Schwartz functions f on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.*

(i) For $1 \le p \le \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_p \lesssim N(E, 2^{\ell-k})^{1/p} 2^{-k(2n-1)\min(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p'})} 2^{\ell\min(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p'})} \|f\|_p. \tag{3.8}$$

(ii) For $2 \le q \le \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_q \lesssim N(E, 2^{\ell-k})^{1/q} 2^{k(2-\frac{2n+3}{q})} 2^{\ell(\frac{3}{q}-1)} \|f\|_{q'}. \tag{3.9}$$

(iii) If $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_p \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{(k-\ell)\frac{\beta+\varepsilon}{p}} 2^{-k(2n-1)\min(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p'})} 2^{\ell\min(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p'})} \|f\|_p, \quad 1 \le p \le \infty$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_q \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{(k-\ell)\frac{\beta+\varepsilon}{q}} 2^{k(2-\frac{2n+3}{q})} 2^{\ell(\frac{3}{q}-1)} \|f\|_{q'}, \quad 2 \le q \le \infty.$$

Proof. The fundamental theorem of calculus implies the pointwise bound

$$\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f(x) \le \sup_{t \in \mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}} \Big(|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f(x)| + \int_0^{2^{-k+\ell}} |\partial_s \mathcal{A}_{t+s}^{k,\ell} f(x)|\, ds \Big),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}$ consists of the left endpoints of a minimal collection of intervals of length $2^{-k+\ell}$ that covers E. With this in hand, parts (i) and (ii) follow directly from Proposition 3.1. Part (iii) is immediate since

$$N(E, 2^{-k+\ell}) \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{(k-\ell)(\beta+\varepsilon)}$$

when $\dim_{\mathrm{M}} E = \beta$. □

For $\ell \geq 0$, we introduce the operator

$$\mathfrak{M}_E^\ell := \sum_{k \geq 3\ell} \mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, $\beta \in (0,1]$ and assume that*

$$\sup_{\delta>0} \chi^E_{\mathrm{M},\beta}(\delta) \equiv \sup_{\delta>0} \delta^\beta N(E,\delta) \leq A_1 < \infty.$$

Let $Q_{2,\beta} = (\frac{2n-1}{2n-1+\beta}, \frac{2n-1}{2n-1+\beta})$ and $Q_{3,\beta} = (\frac{2n+1-\beta}{2n+3-\beta}, \frac{2}{2n+3-\beta})$.

(i) If $(1/p, 1/q)$ is one of the points $Q_{2,\beta}$, $Q_{3,\beta}$ then there is $\alpha(p,q) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_E^\ell f\|_{L^{q,\infty}} \lesssim A_1^{1/q} 2^{-\ell\alpha(p,q)} \|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

and $M_E : L^{p,1} \to L^{q,\infty}$ is bounded.

(ii) If $(1/p, 1/q)$ belongs to the open line segment connecting $Q_{2,\beta}$ and $Q_{3,\beta}$ then

$$\|M_E f\|_{L^{q,r}} \lesssim A_1^{1/q} \|f\|_{L^{p,r}}$$

for all $r > 0$, in particular M_E is bounded from L^p to L^q.

Proof. We observe that part (ii) follows from part (i) by real interpolation (note that the line connecting $Q_{2,\beta}$ and $Q_{3,\beta}$ has a positive finite slope).

We have, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_p \lesssim 2^{-k(2n-1-\frac{2n-1+\beta}{p})} 2^{\ell(1-\frac{1+\beta}{p})} \|f\|_p,$$

by Proposition 3.2 (i). By Bourgain's restricted weak type interpolation trick (see [4], or the appendix of [5]), applied to $\mathfrak{M}_E^\ell$ defined in (3.10), we get

$$\left\| \mathfrak{M}_E^\ell f \right\|_{L^{p_{\mathrm{cr}},\infty}} \lesssim 2^{\ell(1-\frac{1+\beta}{p_{\mathrm{cr}}})} \|f\|_{L^{p_{\mathrm{cr}},1}}, \quad p_{\mathrm{cr}} = \tfrac{2n-1+\beta}{2n-1}$$

and we have $1 - \frac{1+\beta}{p_{\mathrm{cr}}} = -\beta \frac{2n-2}{2n-1+\beta}$ so that we can sum in $\ell \geq 0$ if $n \geq 2$. The asserted restricted weak type inequality for $Q_{2,\beta}$ follows.

To prove the estimate for $Q_{3,\beta}$ we note that for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ we get from Proposition 3.2 (ii)

$$\|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_q \lesssim A_1^{1/q} 2^{k(2-\frac{2n+3-\beta}{q})} 2^{\ell(\frac{3-\beta}{q}-1)} \|f\|_{q'}$$

and again by the restricted weak type interpolation result,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_E^\ell f\|_q \lesssim 2^{\ell(\frac{3-\beta}{q_{\mathrm{cr}}}-1)} \|f\|_{q'}, \quad q_{cr} = \tfrac{2n+3-\beta}{2}.$$

We have $\frac{3-\beta}{q_{cr}} - 1 = \frac{3-\beta-2n}{3-\beta+2n}$ which is negative for $n \geq 2$. Summing in ℓ yields the desired result on M_E. □

Finally, we state the main estimate at the vertex

$$Q_{4,\gamma} = (\tfrac{1}{p_4}, \tfrac{1}{q_4}) = (\tfrac{n(2n+1)}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}, \tfrac{2n}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}). \tag{3.11}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $n \geq 1$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and assume that*

$$\sup_{\delta>0} \chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(\delta) \equiv \sup_{\delta>0} \sup_{|I|>\delta} (\delta/|I|)^\gamma N(E\cap I, \delta) \leq A_2 < \infty\,.$$

Let p_4, q_4 as in (3.11). *Then*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^\ell_E f\|_{q_4,\infty} \lesssim_b A_2^{1/q_4} 2^{-\ell b} \|f\|_{p_4,1}, \qquad \text{for } b < \tfrac{n(2n-3)+2\gamma(n-1)}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}. \tag{3.12}$$

If in addition $n \geq 2$ then also

$$\|M_E f\|_{L^{q_4,\infty}} \lesssim A_2^{1/q_4} \|f\|_{L^{p_4,1}}. \tag{3.13}$$

The assertion for M_E follows from (3.12) after summing in ℓ. Inequality (3.12) will be proven in the next section as a consequence of Proposition 4.1 below.

4. Estimates at $Q_{4,\gamma}$

After a decomposition of E into a finite number of subsets we may assume that

$$\operatorname{diam}(E) < \epsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

with ϵ as in (2.1). Given a non-negative integer m, let $\mathcal{I}_m(E)$ denote the set of all dyadic intervals of the form $(\nu 2^{-m}, (\nu+1)2^{-m})$ (with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$) which intersect E. Then one observes that $\#\mathcal{I}_m(E) \lesssim N(E, 2^{-m})$. Thus, for any interval I of length at least 2^{-m}, we have

$$\#\mathcal{I}_m(E\cap I) \lesssim \chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(2^{-m})\, |I|^\gamma 2^{m\gamma}.$$

Further, let $\mathcal{Z}_m(E)$ denote the set of left endpoints of intervals $I_\nu \in \mathcal{I}_m(E)$, endowed with the counting measure. The main result of this section is the following Stein-Tomas type estimate for $\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $q_5 = \frac{2(n+\gamma)}{n}$. Suppose*

$$\sup_{\delta>0} \chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(\delta) \leq A_2 < \infty\,,$$

where $\chi^E_{\mathrm{A},\gamma}(\delta)$ is as defined in (1.4b). *Then for any $b_1 > \frac{n(1-\gamma)}{2(n+\gamma)}$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}) \to L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times \mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell})} \lesssim_{b_1} 2^{-k(\frac{2n+1}{q_5}-1)} 2^{\ell b_1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof that Proposition 4.1 implies Proposition 3.4. By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f(x) \le \sup_{t\in\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}} \Big(|\mathcal{A}_t^{k,\ell} f(x)| + \int_0^{2^{\ell-k}} |\partial_s \mathcal{A}_{t+s}^{k,\ell} f(x)|\, ds \Big).$$

Thus, taking an $L^{q_5,\infty}$ norm on both sides and using Proposition 4.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_E^{k,\ell} f\|_{L^{q_5,\infty}} &\le \|\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell} f\|_{L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell})} \\ &\qquad + \int_0^{2^{\ell-k}} \|\partial_s \mathcal{A}_{t+s}^{k,\ell} f(x)\|_{L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell})}\, ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k(\frac{2n+1}{q_5}-1)} 2^{\ell\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{n+\gamma}\right)} 2^{\ell\varepsilon} \|f\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We can now use Bourgain's trick to interpolate between the above estimate and the case $q=\infty$ of (3.9), with $\vartheta = \frac{4(n+\gamma)}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma} \in (0,1)$, $a = \frac{n(2n-3)+2\gamma(n-1)}{2n^2+3n+2\gamma}$ and small $\varepsilon>0$. Observe that $a>0$ if $n\ge 2$. Note that

$$(1-\vartheta)(1,0,2,-1) + \vartheta(\tfrac12, \tfrac{1}{q_5}, 1-\tfrac{2n+1}{q_5}, \tfrac{n(1-\gamma)}{2(n+\gamma)}+\varepsilon) = (\tfrac{1}{p_4}, \tfrac{1}{q_4}, 0, -a+\vartheta\varepsilon)$$

which implies the desired estimate (3.12). □

Outline of the proof of Proposition 4.1. We can use a partial scaled Fourier transform

$$F_k(y', \theta_{2n}, \bar\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', y_{2n}, \bar y) e^{-i2^k(y_{2n}\theta_{2n}+\bar y\bar\theta)} dy_{2n} d\bar y$$

to write

$$\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell} f(x,t) = 2^{2k} \int e^{i2^k(\theta_{2n} S^{2n}(x,t,y')+\bar\theta \bar S(x,t,y'))} a_\ell(x,t,y',\theta) F_k(y',\theta_{2n},\bar\theta) dy' d\theta.$$

By Plancherel's theorem

$$\|F_k\|_2 = 2^{-k} 2\pi \|f\|_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that a_ℓ is supported on a set where $|y'|$ is small and $|\theta|\approx 1$. We make a finite decomposition of the symbol $a_\ell = \sum_i a_{\ell,i}$ where each $a_{\ell,i}$ is supported on a set of diameter $O(\epsilon)$. It will be convenient to rename the variables $(y',\theta) = (w', w_{2n}, \bar w)$ and replace $F_k(y',\theta_{2n},\bar\theta)$ by a general function $w\to f(w)$. We are therefore led to consider the oscillatory integral operator $T^{k,\ell}$ defined by

$$T^{k,\ell} f(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i2^k \Phi(x,t,w)} b_\ell(x,t,w) f(w) dw,$$

with the phase function

$$\Phi(x,t,w) = w_{2n} S^{2n}(x,t,w') + \bar w \bar S(x,t,w'), \tag{4.5}$$

and symbol b_ℓ which is a placeholder for one of the $a_{\ell,i}$. Thus we have

$$b_\ell(x,t,w) = \chi_1(x,t,w')\zeta_1(2\bar w) u_\ell(x, w_{2n}, \bar w)$$

with u_ℓ as in (2.9). b_ℓ is smooth and supported in a set of diameter $O(\epsilon)$ where $|w'| \lesssim \epsilon$, $|x'| \lesssim \epsilon$, $|x_{2n} - t| \lesssim \epsilon$, $|\bar{x}| \lesssim \epsilon$, $|(w_{2n}, \bar{w})| \sim 1$ and where $|t - t^\circ| \lesssim \epsilon$ for some fixed t°, and finally the size of

$$\sigma(x, w_{2n}, \bar{w}) = w_{2n} + \bar{w}\, x^{\mathsf{T}} J e_{2n} \tag{4.6}$$

(i.e. σ as in (2.8)) is about $2^{-\ell}$.

In view of (4.4) we see that (4.2) follows from

$$\|T^{k,\ell}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}) \to L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell})} \lesssim 2^{-k\frac{2n+1}{q_5}} 2^{\ell b_1}. \tag{4.7}$$

We remark that for $2^\ell \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$ the estimate follows by the consideration in [24], indeed then we can apply a theorem about oscillatory integrals with Carleson-Sjölin conditions (see [28], [17]). However in view of the properties of the amplitude function b_ℓ for large ℓ these theorems are no longer directly applicable. In what follows we shall only treat the case for large ℓ.

In order to show (4.7) it will be convenient to work with a subset of $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}$ with some additional separation condition. Given small ν such that

$$0 < \nu < \tfrac{1}{2}\big(b_1 - \tfrac{n(1-\gamma)}{2(n+\gamma)}\big) \tag{4.8}$$

we replace $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}$ with an arbitrary subset $\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}$ satisfying the separation condition

$$t, \breve{t} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell},\, t \neq \breve{t} \implies |t - \breve{t}| > 2^{\ell-k} 2^{\ell\nu}. \tag{4.9}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}$ can be written as a disjoint family of sets $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell,i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$ with $N \leq 2^{1+\ell\nu}$, where each $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell,i}$ satisfies the condition (4.9). By Minkowski's inequality it is therefore enough to prove

$$\|T^{k,\ell}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}) \to L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})} \lesssim 2^{-k\frac{2n+1}{q_5}} 2^{\ell\frac{n(1-\gamma)+\nu\gamma}{2(n+\gamma)}} \tag{4.10}$$

for any subset $\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{k-\ell}$ satisfying (4.9). In what follows we fix such a subset $\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}$. We define the operator $S^{k,\ell}$ acting on functions $g : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$S^{k,\ell} g(x,t) = \sum_{t' \in \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}} T^{k,\ell}_t (T^{k,\ell}_{t'})^* [g(\cdot, t')](x),$$

where $T^{k,\ell}_t f(x) = T^{k,\ell} f(x,t)$. By a TT^* argument, (4.10) is a consequence of the following estimate

$$\|S^{k,\ell} g\|_{L^{q_5,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})} \lesssim 2^{-2k\frac{2n+1}{q_5}} 2^{\ell\frac{n(1-\gamma)+\nu\gamma}{n+\gamma}} \|g\|_{L^{q_5',1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}. \tag{4.11}$$

For $j > 0$ and $t \in \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}$, we define

$$\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t) = \{t' \in \mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell} \,:\, 2^{(1+\nu)\ell-k+j} \leq |t - t'| \leq 2^{(1+\nu)\ell-k+j+1}\},$$

and for $j=0$ we set $\mathcal{Z}^0_{k-\ell}(t)=\{t\}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)$ is empty, if $j>k-\ell+4$. Let

$$S^{k,\ell}_j g(x,t)=\sum_{t'\in\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)} T^{k,\ell}_t (T^{k,\ell}_{t'})^*[g(\cdot,t')](x)$$

and observe that

$$S^{k,\ell}=\sum_{j\geq 0} S^{k,\ell}_j.$$

We claim that $S^{k,\ell}_j$ satisfies for $2\leq q\leq\infty$ the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}(4.12)\quad &\|S^{k,\ell}_j g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-k\frac{4n+2}{q}}2^{\ell((n-\nu+1)\frac{2}{q}-(n-\nu))}2^{j(\frac{2(n+\gamma)}{q}-n)}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})},\end{aligned}$$

which follow by interpolation from

$$(4.13)\qquad \|S^{k,\ell}_j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}\lesssim 2^{-k(2n+1)}2^{\ell}2^{j\gamma}\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}$$

and

$$(4.14)\qquad \|S^{k,\ell}_j g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}\lesssim 2^{-\ell(n-\nu)}2^{-jn}\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}.$$

Clearly, if $q>q_5=\frac{2(n+\gamma)}{n}$ we can sum in j in (4.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned}(4.15)\quad &\|S^{k,\ell} g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}\\ &\lesssim_q 2^{-k\frac{4n+2}{q}}2^{\ell((n-\nu+1)\frac{2}{q}-(n-\nu))}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})},\quad q>q_5.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover for $q=q_5$ we can apply Bourgain's interpolation trick to obtain the restricted weak type inequality (4.11).

To prove (4.13) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\|S^{k,\ell}_j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}\\ &=\Big(\sum_{t\in\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}}\int\Big|\sum_{t'\in\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)} T^{k,\ell}_t (T^{k,\ell}_{t'})^*[g(\cdot,t')](x)\Big|^2\,dx\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\leq\Big(\sum_{t\in\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}}\#\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)\int\sum_{t'\in\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)}|T^{k,\ell}_t (T^{k,\ell}_{t'})^*[g(\cdot,t')](x)|^2\,dx\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim\Big(\sum_{t\in\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell}}\#\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)\sum_{t'\in\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t)}\|T^{k,\ell}_t\|^2_{L^2\to L^2}\|(T^{k,\ell}_{t'})^*\|^2_{L^2\to L^2}\|g(\cdot,t')\|_2^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim A_2 2^{-k(2n+1)}2^{\ell}2^{j\gamma}\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\times\mathcal{Z}^{\rm sep}_{k-\ell})}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\|T^{k,\ell}_t\|_{L^2\to L^2}\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\mathcal{A}^{k,\ell}_t\|_{L^2\to L^2}\lesssim 2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}2^{-k(n+\frac{1}{2})}$$

and that $\#\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}(t')\lesssim A_2 2^{j\gamma}$ for all $t'\in\mathcal{Z}^j_{k-\ell}$. This takes care of (4.13).

Inequality (4.14) is a direct consequence of the following kernel estimate, which shall be proved in §5.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $k > 0$ and $0 \le \ell \le [k/3]$. Let $\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}_{t,\breve{t}}$ denote the kernel of $T^{k,\ell}_t (T^{k,\ell}_{\breve{t}})^*$, which is given by*

$$\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}_{t,\breve{t}}(x,\breve{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} e^{i2^k(\Phi(x,t,w)-\Phi(\breve{x},\breve{t},w))} b_\ell(x,t,w)\overline{b_\ell(\breve{x},\breve{t},w)}\, dw. \tag{4.16}$$

Let $\nu > 0$ and

$$2^{\ell-k}2^{\nu\ell} \le |t-\breve{t}| \le 1. \tag{4.17}$$

Then for $0 \le \ell \le [\frac{k}{3}]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}_{t,\breve{t}}(x,\breve{x})| \lesssim_\nu 2^{\ell\nu}(1+2^k|t-\breve{t}|)^{-n}.$$

Remark 4.3. One can run the above arguments also for $n = 1$. A favorable $L^2 \to L^q$ bound for $\mathcal{A}_{k,\ell}$ follows if $q > 2(1+\gamma)$ because then the j-sum of the terms in (4.12) converges for the case $n = 1$ of (4.15). The exponent of 2^ℓ in (4.15) is now positive for all $\nu > 0$ when $q < 4$, and we have to allow the range $\ell \le k/3$. Thus we get a positive result when $-\frac{6}{q} + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{4}{q} - 1) < -2$ which is the case for $q < 14/5$. This restricts the range of allowable γ to $2(1+\gamma) < 14/5$, i.e. $\gamma < 2/5$. As a result one obtains that M_E maps $L^2(\mathbb{H}^1)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{H}^1)$ if $\dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E < 2/5$ and $q < 14/5$. We know from considerations in [12, 24] that this result is not sharp; this point will be addressed elsewhere.

5. Proof of Proposition 4.2

In order to estimate the oscillatory integral (4.16) using stationary phase arguments we expand the phase $\Phi(x,t,w) - \Phi(\breve{x},\breve{t},w)$ as

$$(x-\breve{x})^{\intercal}\nabla_x\Phi(\breve{x},\breve{t},w) + (t-\breve{t})\partial_t\Phi(\breve{x},\breve{t},w) + O(|(x-\breve{x},t-\breve{t})|^2)$$

and thus, for stationary phase calculations it is natural to consider the curvature property of the surface

$$\Sigma_{x,t} = \{\nabla_{x,t}\Phi(x,t,w)\}$$

where w is close to a reference point w° with $(w')^\circ = 0$. These considerations are similar to those in the proof of Stein's result on Carleson-Sjölin type oscillatory integral operators (see [28, 29] and also [18]). A potential difficulty here is that for large ℓ and small $|x-\breve{x}| + |t-\breve{t}|$ the amplitudes do not a priori seem to satisfy the appropriate derivative bounds for an application of the stationary phase method. However, a closer examination of the curvature properties of $\Sigma_{x,t}$ and their interplay with the geometry of the fold surface $\{\sigma = 0\}$ will reveal that this is not a significant obstacle in our specific situation.

5.1. *Curvature of* $\Sigma_{x,t}$. We analyze the w-derivatives of

$$\Xi(x,t,w) := \nabla_{x,t}\Phi(x,t,w) = w_{2n}\nabla_{x,t}S^{2n}(x,t,w') + \bar{w}\nabla_{x,t}\bar{S}(x,t,w'), \tag{5.1}$$

for a fixed (x,t). These calculation will be the basis for a stationary phase estimate in §5.2. We will only consider the case of large ℓ i.e. when

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma(x, w_{2n}, \bar{w}) = w_{2n} + \bar{w}\underline{x}^{\intercal}Je_{2n} \tag{5.2}$$

is small ($|\sigma| \lesssim 2^{-\ell}$) since the other cases have already been discussed in [24]. We need some modifications because of the lack of good differentiability properties of the amplitudes for large ℓ.

For the sake of completeness, we include the calculation of the curvature matrix below, and then establish the invertibility of this minor. Using (5.1), the expressions for $S^{2n}, \bar{S}$, and the skew-symmetry of J we calculate that $\Xi(x,t,w)$ is equal to

$$w_{2n}\begin{pmatrix} -\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix} + \bar{w}\begin{pmatrix} PJP^{\intercal}w' - tg(\frac{x'-w'}{t})PJe_{2n} - (\underline{x}^{\intercal}Je_{2n})\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ e_{2n}^{\intercal}JP^{\intercal}w' \\ 1 \\ g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t})\underline{x}^{\intercal}Je_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$g_*(x') = \langle x', \nabla g(x')\rangle - g(x'), \tag{5.3a}$$

with

$$g_*(0) = -1, \quad \nabla g_*(0) = 0, \quad g_*''(0) = -I_{2n-1}. \tag{5.3b}$$

The oscillatory integral operator $f \mapsto T^k f(\cdot,t) := \sum_\ell T^{k,\ell} f(\cdot,t)$ is an operator with a folding canonical relation (i.e. two-sided fold singularities), and the fold surface is parametrized by $\sigma = 0$ (see [24, Remark 3.2], [19] and the discussion after (2.7) in the analogous setting of Fourier integral operators, for more details).

We compute, for $j = 1, \dots, 2n-1$, the partial derivatives (recalling the expression for σ from (5.2)),

$$\Xi_{w_j} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1}\sigma\partial_j\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) + \bar{w}PJ(e_j + \partial_j g(\frac{x'-w'}{t})e_{2n}) \\ \bar{w}e_{2n}^{\intercal}Je_j \\ 0 \\ -t^{-1}\sigma\partial_j g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Xi_{w_{2n}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and, with $\bar{w} \equiv w_{2n+1}$,

$$\Xi_{w_{2n+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} PJP^\intercal w' - tg(\frac{x'-w'}{t})PJe_{2n} - \underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n}\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ e_{2n}^\intercal JP^\intercal w' \\ 1 \\ g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t})\underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $x' = w'$, using the properties of g, h in (2.3), (5.3b) we get

$$\Xi_{w_j}\Big|_{x'=w'} = (-t^{-1}\sigma + \bar{w}J)e_j,$$

$$\Xi_{w_{2n}}\Big|_{x'=w'} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_{2n-1} \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},\ \Xi_{w_{2n+1}}\Big|_{x'=w'} = \begin{pmatrix} PJP^\intercal w' - tPJe_{2n} \\ e_{2n}^\intercal JP^\intercal w' \\ 1 \\ -\underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the defining equations of a unit normal vector N,

$$\langle N, \Xi_{w_i}\rangle = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, 2n+1$$

at the north pole ($x' = w'$), we get

$$0 = \langle N, \Xi_{w_j}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} = -t^{-1}\sigma\alpha_j + \bar{w}\underline{\alpha}^\intercal Je_j, \quad j \le 2n-1. \tag{5.4a}$$

$$0 = \langle N, \Xi_{w_{2n}}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} = \alpha_{2n} - \alpha_{2n+2}, \tag{5.4b}$$

and

$$0 = \langle N, \Xi_{w_{2n+1}}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} = \tag{5.4c}$$
$$\alpha'^\intercal(PJP^\intercal w' - tPJe_{2n}) + \alpha_{2n}e_{2n}^\intercal JP^\intercal w' + \alpha_{2n+1} - \alpha_{2n+2}x^\intercal Je_{2n},$$

where $N^\intercal = (\alpha'^\intercal, \alpha_{2n}, \bar{\alpha})$. Equation (5.4c) above expresses α_{2n+1} in terms of $\underline{\alpha}$ and α_{2n+2} and turns out to be not really relevant to our calculations. Since $|N| = 1$ we have $|\underline{\alpha}| \approx 1$.

The second derivative vectors are given by

$$\Xi_{w_jw_k} = \begin{pmatrix} -t^{-2}\sigma\partial_{jk}\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) - \bar{w}t^{-1}PJe_{2n}\partial^2_{jk}g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ t^{-2}\sigma\partial^2_{jk}g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

for $1 \le j, k \le 2n-1$, and

$$\Xi_{w_jw_k} = 0, \text{ if } 2n \le j, k \le 2n+1.$$

Moreover, for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n-1$,

$$\Xi_{w_jw_{2n}} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1}\partial_j\nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -t^{-1}\partial_j g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and,

$$\Xi_{w_j w_{2n+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} PJe_j + PJe_{2n}\partial_j g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) + t^{-1}\underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n}\partial_j \nabla g(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \\ e_{2n}^\intercal Je_j \\ 0 \\ -t^{-1}\underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n}\partial_j g_*(\frac{x'-w'}{t}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We evaluate at $x' = w'$, using $g''(0) = g_*''(0) = -I_{2n-1}$, $g'''(0) = 0$, and see that the components of the curvature matrix $\mathcal{C}^N$ at $x' = w'$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N, \Xi_{w_j w_j}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} &= t^{-1}(\alpha')^\intercal PJe_{2n}\bar{w} - t^{-2}\alpha_{2n+2}\sigma, \\ \langle N, \Xi_{w_j w_k}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} &= 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq k, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq 2n-1$. Moreover for $1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N, \Xi_{w_j w_{2n}}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} &= -t^{-1}\alpha_j, \\ \langle N, \Xi_{w_j w_{2n+1}}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} &= \underline{\alpha}^\intercal Je_j - t^{-1}\alpha_j \underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle N, \Xi_{w_j w_k}\rangle\Big|_{x'=w'} = 0, \quad j, k \in \{2n, 2n+1\}.$$

Thus, the curvature matrix $\mathcal{C}^N$ at $x' = w'$ with entries $\langle N, \Xi_{w_i w_j}\rangle$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n+1$ (with $w_{2n+1} \equiv \bar{w}$) is

$$\mathcal{C}^N = \begin{pmatrix} c\mathrm{I}_{2n-1} & PA \\ A^\intercal P^\intercal & 0 \end{pmatrix}\Big|_{x'=w'},$$

where the scalar c and the $2n \times 2$ matrix A are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \tfrac{\underline{\alpha}^\intercal Je_{2n}}{t}\bar{w} - \tfrac{\alpha_{2n+2}\sigma}{t^2} \\ A &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{t}\underline{\alpha} & J\underline{\alpha} - \frac{\underline{x}^\intercal Je_{2n}}{t}\underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

(and PA is the $(2n-1) \times 2$ matrix obtained by deleting the last row of A). Using [24, Lemma 3.1] and the fact that $|\underline{\alpha}| \approx 1$, it can be checked that $|c|$ is uniformly bounded away from zero, which implies that the rank of the curvature matrix is $2n$ (indeed by (5.4a) we have $PJ\underline{\alpha} = 0$ when $x' = w'$ and $\sigma = 0$, hence $\operatorname{rank}(PA) = 1$).

As a consequence of the above we obtain for the restricted matrices

$$\det\left(D^2_{\underline{w}\,\underline{w}}\langle \Xi, N\rangle\right)\Big|_{x'=w'} = -c^{2n-2}\frac{|\alpha'|^2}{t^2} \neq 0 \tag{5.6}$$

$$\det\left(D^2_{w'w'}\langle \Xi, N\rangle\right)\Big|_{x'=w'} = c^{2n-1} \neq 0 \tag{5.7}$$

with c as in (5.5).

5.2. *Proof of Proposition 4.2, continued.* Recall that

$$\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}_{t,\breve{t}}(x,\breve{x}) = \int e^{i2^k(\Phi(x,t,w)-\Phi(\breve{x},\breve{t},w))} b_\ell(x,t,w)\overline{b_\ell(\breve{x},\breve{t},w)}\,dw.$$

For ease of notation, we set

$$X = (x,t),\ \breve{X} = (\breve{x},\breve{t}),$$

and

$$B_\ell(X,\breve{X},w) = b_\ell(x,t,w)\overline{b_\ell(\breve{x},\breve{t},w)}.$$

Recall that the amplitude B_ℓ is supported in the set where

$$|(w_{2n},\bar{w})| \sim 1,\ |w'| \le \epsilon,\ |x'| \le \epsilon,\ |\breve{x}'| \le \epsilon,\ |\bar{x}| \le \epsilon,\ |\bar{\breve{x}}| \le \epsilon, \tag{5.8}$$

$$|x_{2n} - t| \le \epsilon,\ |\breve{x}_{2n} - \breve{t}| \le \epsilon,\ |t - \breve{t}| \le \epsilon$$

and

$$|w_{2n} + \bar{w}\,\underline{x}^{\intercal} J e_{2n}| \approx 2^{-\ell} \approx |w_{2n} + \bar{w}\,\underline{\breve{x}}^{\intercal} J e_{2n}|.$$

We fix a reference point (X°, w°) where

$$X^\circ = (0', x^\circ_{2n}, \bar{x}^\circ, t^\circ), \quad w^\circ = (0', 0, \bar{w}^\circ)$$

(so that σ becomes 0 at (X°, w°), and let N° be one of the unit normals to Σ_{X° at $w = w^\circ$, i.e. we have

$$\langle N^\circ, \partial_{w_j} \nabla_X \Phi(X^\circ, w^\circ)\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le 2n+1. \tag{5.9}$$

Then B_ℓ is supported in a ball of radius $O(\epsilon)$ centered at $(X^\circ, \breve{X}^\circ, w^\circ)$.

For a unit vector $\vec{u}$ define

$$\Psi(X,\breve{X},\vec{u},w) = \int_0^1 \vec{u}\cdot\nabla_X\Phi(\breve{X} + s(X-\breve{X}),w)\,ds.$$

Then we can express the phase function corresponding to the kernel $\mathcal{K}^{k,\ell}_{t,\breve{t}}$ as

$$2^k(\Phi(X,y)-\Phi(\breve{X},y)) = \lambda\,\Psi(X,\breve{X},\tfrac{X-\breve{X}}{|X-\breve{X}|},w),\ \text{with } \lambda = 2^k|X-\breve{X}|. \tag{5.10}$$

Define for all $\vec{u} \in S^{2n+1}$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}(X,\breve{X},\vec{u}) = \int e^{i\lambda\Psi(X,\breve{X},\vec{u},w)} B_\ell(X,\breve{X},w)dw$$

and note that Ψ is a smooth phase, in all arguments.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\nu > 0$. For ϵ in* (5.8) *sufficiently small the following holds, for $2^\ell > \epsilon^{-1}$, $\lambda > \epsilon^{-1}$.*

(i) For $\min\{|\vec{u}-N^\circ|, |\vec{u}+N^\circ|\} \ge \epsilon^{3/4}$ we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}(X,\breve{X},\vec{u})| \le C_{M,\epsilon} 2^{-\ell}(\lambda 2^{-\ell})^{-M}.$$

(ii) For $\min\{|\vec{u}-N^\circ|, |\vec{u}+N^\circ|\} \le \epsilon^{1/2}$ and $2^\ell \le \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(1+\nu)}}$ we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}(X,\breve{X},\vec{u})| \lesssim_\epsilon \lambda^{-n}.$$

(iii) For $\min\{|\vec{u}-N^\circ|, |\vec{u}+N^\circ|\} \le \epsilon^{1/2}$, *we have*

$$|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}(X,\breve{X},\vec{u})| \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{-\ell}\lambda^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}}.$$

If in particular $2^\ell \ge \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(1+\nu)}}$ *then*

$$|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}(X,\breve{X},\vec{u})| \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{\ell\nu}\lambda^{-n}.$$

Remark. The conclusions in part (ii), (iii) also hold for $\nu = 0$ but in (ii) require a stationary phase estimate for amplitudes χ_λ satisfying endpoint Calderón-Vaillancourt bounds, i.e. $\partial_w^\alpha(\chi_\lambda(w)) = O(\lambda^{|\alpha|/2})$. For our application it suffices to take $\nu > 0$.

We first show that Lemma 5.1 implies Proposition 4.2. We take $X \neq \breve{X}$ and $\vec{u} = \frac{X-\breve{X}}{|X-\breve{X}|}$, and $\lambda = 2^k|X-\breve{X}|$. Assume $\min|\frac{X-\breve{X}}{|X-\breve{X}|} \pm N_0| \ge \epsilon^{3/4}$. We have $|t-\breve{t}| \ge 2^{\ell-k}2^{\nu\ell}$ and get from part (i) of Lemma 5.1 the estimate, for $N \gg n$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}| &\lesssim 2^{-\ell}(\lambda 2^{-\ell})^{-N} \lesssim_N 2^{\ell(n-1)}(2^k|X-\breve{X}|)^{-n}(2^{k-\ell}|X-\breve{X}|)^{n-N})\\ &\lesssim (2^k|X-\breve{X}|)^{-n}2^{\ell(n-1-\nu(N-n))}.\end{aligned}$$

The bound $|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}| \lesssim (2^k|X-\breve{X}|)^{-n}$ follows if we choose N large enough.

If $\min|\frac{X-\breve{X}}{|X-\breve{X}|} \pm N_0| \le \epsilon^{3/4}$ the appropriate bound is in part (ii) of the lemma, and the bound in Proposition 4.2 is now established for the range $2^\ell \le (2^k|X-\breve{X}|)^{\frac{1}{2(1+\nu)}}$, i.e. $|X-\breve{X}| \ge 2^{2\ell(1+\nu)-k}$.

Next assume $t \neq \breve{t}$, $|X-\breve{X}| \le 2^{2\ell(1+\nu)-k}$, by the assumed t-variation we also have the lower bound and $|X-\breve{X}| \ge 2^{\ell(1+\nu)-k}$ which is needed to apply part (i) of Lemma 5.1 for $\min|\frac{X-\breve{X}}{|X-\breve{X}|} \pm N_0| \ge \epsilon^{3/4}$. In the opposite range we apply part (iii) of the lemma. Note that the assumption $2^\ell \ge \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(1+\nu)}}$ is now equivalent to the required $|X-\breve{X}| \ge 2^{2\ell(1+\nu)-k}$. We also note that $\partial_{w'}^\alpha(B_\ell(X,\breve{X},w)) = O(1)$.

This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.2 once Lemma 5.1 is verified.

5.3. *Proof of Lemma 5.1.* Let V be the linear space perpendicular to N°; then $\nabla^2_{(X,w)}\Phi$ is invertible as a map from $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ to V. Hence

$$\big|\nabla_w\langle\vec{u}, \nabla_{x,t}\Phi(X^\circ,w)\rangle_{w=w^\circ}\big| \ge c|\vec{u} - \langle\vec{u},N^\circ\rangle N^\circ| \gtrsim \epsilon^{3/4},$$

and by expanding $\nabla_w\Psi(X,\breve{X},\vec{u},w)$ about $(X^\circ,X^\circ,\vec{u},w^\circ)$ we get

$$\nabla_w\Psi(X,\breve{X},\vec{u},w) - \nabla_w\langle\vec{u},\nabla_{x,t}\Phi(X^\circ,w)\rangle\big|_{w=w^\circ} = O(\epsilon).$$

This implies that for $|\vec{u}-N^\circ| \ge \epsilon^{3/4}$ and ϵ small

$$\big|\nabla_w\Psi(X,\breve{X},\vec{u},w)\big| \gtrsim \epsilon^{3/4}$$

for $(X, \breve{X}, w)$ in the support of B_ℓ. Since the higher w-derivatives of Ψ are bounded and since

$$\partial^\alpha_{(w_{2n},\bar w)}\big[B_\ell(x,t,w)\big] = O(2^{\ell|\alpha|}) \tag{5.11}$$

an integration by parts yields the bound $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell} = O(2^{-\ell}(\lambda 2^{-\ell})^N)$ as asserted.

We now turn to (ii) and apply a stationary phase argument with respect to the $\underline{w}$-variables. By our curvature calculations the $(2n \times 2n)$ Hessian matrix $D^2_{\underline{w}\,\underline{w}}\big(\langle N^\circ, \nabla_X \Phi(X^\circ, \underline{w}, \bar w)\rangle\big)_{w=w^\circ}$ is invertible, for $|u - N^\circ| \le \epsilon^{1/2}$ we get a matrix norm estimate

$$\big\|D^2_{\underline{w}\,\underline{w}}(\langle N^\circ, \nabla_X \Phi(X^\circ, w)\rangle)_{w=w^\circ} - D^2_{\underline{w}\,\underline{w}}\Psi(X, \vec u, w)\big\| \lesssim \epsilon^{1/4}$$

and hence (given that ϵ is small) we see that $D^2_{\underline{w}\,\underline{w}}\Psi(X, \vec u, w)$ is invertible, with uniformly bounded inverse. Note that by our assumption on ℓ and λ we have $\partial^\alpha_{\underline{w}} B_\ell(X, \breve{X}, w) = O(\lambda^{|\alpha|/(2+2\nu)})$ and so for $\nu > 0$ a standard application of the stationary phase method in the $\underline{w}$ variables gives the estimate $|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}| = O(\lambda^{-n})$.

For (iii) we argue similarly but in view of the unfavorable differentiability properties of B_ℓ with respect to w_{2n} we are freezing both the w_{2n} and $\bar w$ variables. We now have that the $(2n-1) \times (2n-1)$ Hessian matrix $D^2_{w'w'}\big(\langle N^\circ, \nabla_X \Phi(X^\circ, w', w_{2n}, \bar w)\rangle\big)_{w=w^\circ}$ is the identity matrix and by a perturbation argument as above we see that $D^2_{w'w'}\Psi(X, \vec u, w)$ is invertible. Since σ does not depend on w' we have uniform upper bounds for the w'-derivatives of the amplitude. We can therefore apply the method of stationary phase in the w'-variables and since the $(w_{2n}, \bar w)$-integral is extended over a set of measure $O(2^{-\ell})$ we obtain the asserted estimate $|\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\ell}| = O(2^{-\ell}\lambda^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}})$. The second estimate in (iii) is immediate since the inequality $2^\ell \ge \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(1+\nu)}}$ is equivalent with $2^{-\ell}\lambda^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} \le 2^{\ell\nu}\lambda^{-n}$. □

6. Necessary Conditions

In this section we prove the sharpness of Theorem 1.1 for Assouad regular sets E. Regarding the line connecting Q_1 and $Q_{2,\beta}$ this is just the necessary condition $p \le q$ imposed by translation invariance and noncompactness of the group $\mathbb{H}^n$. The necessary conditions for the segments $\overline{Q_{2,\beta}, Q_{3,\beta}}$ and $\overline{Q_1 Q_{4,\gamma}}$ are quite similar to the consideration in the Euclidean case. However the example for the segment $\overline{Q_{3,\beta} Q_{4,\gamma}}$ is substantially different from a Knapp type example for co-dimension two surfaces in the Euclidean case (see also [24] for a simplified version for the full maximal operator); this indicates a new phenomenon on the Heisenberg group.

Given $\delta \in (0,1)$, let $\mathcal{I}_\delta(E)$ denote the set of all dyadic intervals of the form $[\nu\delta, (\nu+1)\delta)$ (with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$) which intersect E, and let $\mathcal{Z}_\delta(E)$ denote a subset of E which contains exactly one $t \in E \cap I$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}_\delta(E)$. Let $\beta = \dim_{\mathrm{M}} E$, and $\gamma = \dim_{\mathrm{qA}} E$, respectively.

6.1. *The line connecting $Q_{2,\beta}$ and $Q_{3,\beta}$.* For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{\delta_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $\lim_{j\to\infty} \delta_j = 0$ such that $N(E, \delta) \geq \delta^{-\beta+\varepsilon}$ for $\delta \in \Delta_\varepsilon$. For $\delta \in \Delta_\varepsilon$ let f_δ be the characteristic function of $B_{10\delta}$, the ball of radius 10δ centered at the origin. Then

$$\|f_\delta\|_p \approx \delta^{(2n+1)/p}.$$

For $1 \leq t \leq 2$ we consider the sets

$$R_{\delta,t} := \{(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) : ||\underline{x}| - t| \leq \delta/20,\ |\bar{x}| \leq \delta/20\}.$$

Then $|R_{\delta,t}| \gtrsim \delta^2$. Let $\Sigma_{x,t} = \{\omega \in S^{2n-1} : |\underline{x} - t\omega| \leq \delta/4\}$ which has spherical measure $\approx \delta^{2n-1}$.

If $x \in R_{\delta,t}$ and $\omega \in \Sigma_{x,t}$ then $|\underline{x} - t\omega| \leq \delta$ and using the skew symmetry of J we get

$$|\bar{x} - t\underline{x}^\intercal J\omega| \leq |\bar{x}| + |\underline{x}^\intercal J(t\omega - \underline{x})| \leq 3\delta.$$

Thus, for $x \in R_{\delta,t}$,

$$f_\delta * \mu_t(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f_\delta(\underline{x} - t\omega, \bar{x} - t\underline{x}^\intercal J\omega)\, d\mu(\omega) \gtrsim \delta^{2n-1}.$$

Passing to the maximal operator, we set

$$R_\delta = \cup_{t\in\mathcal{Z}_\delta(E)} R_{\delta,t}.$$

We have $|R_\delta| \gtrsim \delta^2 N(E, \delta) \gtrsim \delta^{2+\varepsilon-\beta}$. Further, for $x \in R_\delta$, there exists a unique $t(x) \in \mathcal{Z}_\delta(E)$ such that $|f_\delta * \mu_{t(x)}(x)| \geq \delta^{2n-1}$.

This yields the inequality

$$\delta^{2n-1}\delta^{(2+\varepsilon-\beta)/q} \lesssim \delta^{(2n+1)/p}.$$

We set $\delta = \delta_j$ and let $j \to \infty$, and since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we obtain the necessary condition

$$\tfrac{2-\beta}{q} + 2n - 1 \geq \tfrac{2n+1}{p}, \tag{6.1}$$

that is, $(1/p, 1/q)$ lies on or above the line connecting $Q_{2,\beta}$ and $Q_{3,\beta}$.

6.2. *The line connecting Q_1 and $Q_{4,\gamma}$.* For this line we just use the counterexample for the individual averaging operators, bounding the maximal function from below by an averaging operator. Given $t \in [1, 2]$, let $g_{\delta,t}$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{(\underline{y}, \bar{y}) : ||\underline{y}| - t| \leq 10\delta, |\bar{y}| \leq 10\delta\}$. Thus $\|g_{\delta,t}\|_p \lesssim \delta^{2/p}$.

Let $x = (\underline{x}, \bar{x})$ be such that $|\underline{x}| \leq \delta$ and $|\bar{x}| \leq \delta$. For any $\omega \in S^{2n-1}$, we have that $t|\underline{x}^\intercal J\omega| \lesssim 2\delta$. Thus

$$\big||\underline{x} - t\omega| - t\big| \leq 2\delta,$$
$$\big|\bar{x} - t\underline{x}^\intercal J\omega\big| \leq |\bar{x}| + t|\underline{x}^\intercal J\omega| \leq 10\delta$$

implying that $|g_{\delta,t} * \sigma_t(x)| \gtrsim 1$. This yields the inequality $\delta^{(2n+1)/q} \leq \delta^{2/p}$ which leads to the necessary condition

$$\tfrac{1}{q} \geq \tfrac{2}{2n+1} \cdot \tfrac{1}{p}, \tag{6.2}$$

that is, $(1/p, 1/q)$ lies on or above the line connecting Q_1 and $Q_{4,\gamma}$.

6.3. *The line connecting $Q_{3,\beta}$ and $Q_{4,\gamma}$.* Here we assume $\beta > 0$ (and therefore $\gamma > 0$) since $Q_{3,0} = Q_{4,0}$. By a change of variables, we can assume that

$$J = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with I_n being the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of quasi-Assouad regularity there exists a sequence $\{\delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers with $\lim_{j\to\infty} \delta_j = 0$ and intervals $I_j \subset [1,2]$ of length δ_j^θ with $\theta = 1 - \beta/\gamma$ such that

$$N(E \cap I_j, \delta_j) \geq (\delta_j/|I_j|)^{\varepsilon-\gamma} = \delta_j^{(1-\theta)(\varepsilon-\gamma)}. \tag{6.3}$$

We let $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ denote the set of pairs (δ_j, I_j) and fix $(\delta, I) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$. Set

$$\varsigma = \delta^{(1-\theta)/2}. \tag{6.4}$$

Let a be the right end point of the interval I and let f be the characteristic function of the set

$$\{(\underline{z}, \bar{z}) : |z_l'| \lesssim \varsigma, |z_r'| \lesssim \varsigma, ||z_n| - a| \lesssim \delta, ||z_{2n}| - a| \lesssim \delta, |\bar{z}| \lesssim \delta^{1-\theta}\},$$

where $\underline{z} = (z_l, z_r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z_l = (z_l', z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, $z_r = (z_r', z_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\|f\|_p \lesssim (\varsigma^{2n-2}\delta^{3-\theta})^{1/p} \approx (\delta^{n(1-\theta)+2})^{1/p}. \tag{6.5}$$

For each $t \in [1,2]$, $t < a$ we define the set

$$R_\delta^t := \{(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) : |x_l'| \lesssim \delta\varsigma^{-1}, |x_r'| \lesssim \delta\varsigma^{-1}, |\bar{x}| \lesssim \delta^{1+\theta}, ||(x_n, x_{2n})| + t - a| \lesssim \delta\}.$$

Clearly $\mathrm{meas}(R_\delta^t) \approx (\delta\varsigma^{-1})^{2n-2}\delta^{2+\theta}$. Note that there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that R_δ^t and $R_\delta^{t'}$ are disjoint if $|t - t'| \geq C\delta$. We choose a covering of $E \cap I$ by a collection $\mathcal{J}$ of pairwise disjoint intervals, each of length δ and intersecting $E \cap I$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \{I_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^N$ be a maximal $2C\delta$-separated subset of intervals in $\mathcal{J}$. For each I_ν pick $t_\nu \in I_\nu \cap E$. Then $R_\delta^{t_\nu}$ and $R_\delta^{t_{\nu'}}$ are disjoint if $\nu \neq \nu'$. Also

$$N = \#\widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \gtrsim N(E \cap I, \delta). \tag{6.6}$$

We now prove the lower bound

$$M_E f(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \gtrsim \delta^{n(1-\theta)}, \text{ for } (\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \in R_\delta^{t_\nu}. \tag{6.7}$$

To see (6.7), we need the lower bound

$$|f * \mu_t(\underline{x}, \bar{x})| \gtrsim \delta^{n(1-\theta)} \text{ for } (\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \in R_\delta^t. \tag{6.8}$$

To this end observe that, given $(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \in R_\delta^t$ and for $\omega \in S^{2n-1}$ such that

$$|\omega_l'| \lesssim \varsigma, |\omega_r'| \lesssim \varsigma, |(\omega_n, \omega_{2n}) - \tfrac{(x_n, x_{2n})}{|(x_n, x_{2n})|}| \lesssim \delta^{1-\theta},$$

we have

$$|x_l' + t\omega_l'| \lesssim \varsigma, \quad |x_r' + t\omega_r'| \lesssim \varsigma$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\bar{x} + t\underline{x}^{\intercal} J\omega| &\lesssim |\bar{x}| + \tfrac{t}{2}|x_l'\omega_r' - x_r'\omega_l'| + \tfrac{t}{2}|x_n\omega_{2n} - x_{2n}\omega_n| \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1+\theta} + \delta + \Big|x_n\Big(\omega_{2n} - \tfrac{x_{2n}}{|(x_n,x_{2n})|}\Big) - x_{2n}\Big(\omega_n - \tfrac{x_n}{|(x_n,x_{2n})|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-\theta} + |x_n|\delta^{1-\theta} + |x_{2n}|\delta^{1-\theta} \lesssim \delta^{1-\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Also for $i = n, 2n$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}|x_i + t\omega_i|^2 &= |x_i|^2 + t^2|\omega_i|^2 + 2tx_i\omega_i \\ &\le |x_n|^2 + |x_{2n}|^2 + 2t|(x_n, x_{2n})| + 2t(x_i\omega_i - |(x_n, x_{2n})|) \\ &\le (|(x_n, x_{2n})| + t)^2 + 2t|(x_n, x_{2n})|\big(\tfrac{x_i}{|(x_n,x_{2n})|}\omega_i - 1\big) \\ &\le (|(x_n, x_{2n})| + t)^2 + 2t|(x_n, x_{2n})|(|\omega_i| - 1) \\ &\le (|(x_n, x_{2n})| + t)^2 + 2t|(x_n, x_{2n})|(|(\omega_n, \omega_{2n})| - 1) \\ &= (|(x_n, x_{2n})| + t)^2 + 2t|(x_n, x_{2n})|(\sqrt{1 - |\omega_l'|^2 - |\omega_r'|^2} - 1).\end{aligned}$$

As $||(x_n, x_{2n})| + t - a| \lesssim \delta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|(|(x_n, x_{2n})| + t)^2 - a^2| &\lesssim \delta, \\ |2t(x_n, x_{2n})|\sqrt{1 - |\omega_l'|^2 - |\omega_r'|^2} - 1| &\lesssim (|t - a| + \delta)(|\omega_l'|^2 + |\omega_r'|^2) \\ &\lesssim (|I| + \delta)\varsigma^2 \lesssim \delta,\end{aligned}$$

where we use $|I| = \delta^\theta = \delta\varsigma^{-2}$. This implies

$$||x_i + t\omega_i|^2 - a^2| \lesssim \delta$$

and hence $||x_i - t\omega_i| - a| \lesssim \delta$. Thus, for $(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) \in R_\delta^t$, we have

$$f * \mu_t(\underline{x}, \bar{x}) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(\underline{x} + t\omega, \bar{x} + t\underline{x}^{\intercal} J\omega)\, d\mu(\omega) \gtrsim \varsigma^{(2n-2)}\delta^{(1-\theta)} = \delta^{n(1-\theta)}$$

and (6.8) is proved. Hence (6.7) follows.

The lower bound (6.7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|M_E f\|_q &\ge \Big(\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \delta^{n(1-\theta)q}\mathrm{meas}(R_\delta^{t_\nu})\Big)^{1/q} \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{n(1-\theta)} N(E \cap I, \delta)^{1/q}((\delta\varsigma^{-1})^{2n-2}\delta^{2+\theta})^{1/q} \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{n(1-\theta)}\delta^{\frac{1}{q}((1+\theta)n+(1-\theta)(\varepsilon-\gamma)+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the necessary condition for $L^p \to L^q$ boundedness

$$\delta^{n(1-\theta)}\delta^{\frac{1}{q}((1+\theta)n+(1-\theta)(\varepsilon-\gamma)+1)} \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{p}(n(1-\theta)+2))}.$$

for all $(\delta, I) \equiv (\delta_j, I_j) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$. Taking the limit as $j \to \infty$ and using that $\varepsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small we obtain the necessary condition

$$n(1-\theta) + \tfrac{(1+\theta)n - \gamma(1-\theta)+1}{q} \geq \tfrac{n(1-\theta)+2}{p}$$

which using $\theta = 1 - \beta/\gamma$ is rewritten as

$$\tfrac{n\beta}{\gamma} + \tfrac{1}{q}\big((2-\tfrac{\beta}{\gamma})n + 1 - \beta\big) \leq \tfrac{1}{p}\big(\tfrac{n\beta}{\gamma} + 2\big). \tag{6.9}$$

In the preceding inequality we get equality for the points $Q_{3,\beta}$, $Q_{4,\gamma}$ in (1.3) and thus (6.9) expresses that $(1/p, 1/q)$ has to lie on or above the line passing to $Q_{3,\beta}$ and $Q_{4,\gamma}$.

References

[1] Theresa C. Anderson, Kevin Hughes, Joris Roos, and Andreas Seeger, *$L^p \to L^q$ bounds for spherical maximal operators*, Math. Z. **297** (2021), no. 3-4, 1057–1074.

[2] Sayan Bagchi, Sourav Hait, Luz Roncal, and Sundaram Thangavelu, *On the maximal function associated to the spherical means on the Heisenberg group*, New York J. Math. **27** (2021), 631–675.

[3] David Beltran, Shaoming Guo, Jonathan Hickman, and Andreas Seeger, *The circular maximal operator on Heisenberg radial functions*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Cl. Sci. **23** (2022), no. 2, 501–568.

[4] Jean Bourgain, *Estimations de certaines fonctions maximales.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **301** (1985), 499–502.

[5] Anthony Carbery, Andreas Seeger, Stephen Wainger, and James Wright, *Classes of singular integral operators along variable lines*, J. Geom. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 4, 583–605.

[6] Scipio Cuccagna, *L^2 estimates for averaging operators along curves with two-sided k-fold singularities*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 2, 203–216.

[7] Jonathan M. Fraser, *Assouad dimension and fractal geometry*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 222, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.

[8] Jonathan M. Fraser, Kathryn E. Hare, Kevin G. Hare, Sascha Troscheit, and Han Yu, *The Assouad spectrum and the quasi-Assouad dimension: a tale of two spectra*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **44** (2019), 379–387.

[9] Jonathan M. Fraser and Han Yu, *Assouad-type spectra for some fractal families*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **67** (2018), no. 5, 2005–2043.

[10] ———, *New dimension spectra: finer information on scaling and homogeneity*, Adv. Math. **329** (2018), 273–328.

[11] Pritam Ganguly and Sundaram Thangavelu, *On the lacunary spherical maximal function on the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. **280** (2021), no. 3, 108832, 32pp.

[12] Allan Greenleaf and Andreas Seeger, *Fourier integral operators with fold singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **455** (1994), 35–56.

[13] Lars Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), no. 1-2, 79–183.

[14] Juyoung Lee and Sanghyuk Lee, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for the circular maximal operator on Heisenberg radial functions*, Math. Ann. **385** (2023), no. 3-4, 1521–1544.

[15] Sanghyuk Lee, *Endpoint estimates for the circular maximal function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 5, 1433–1442.

[16] Fan Lü and Li-Feng Xi, *Quasi-Assouad dimension of fractals*, J. Fractal Geom. **3** (2016), 187–215.

[17] Gerd Mockenhaupt, Andreas Seeger, and Christopher D. Sogge, *Wave front sets, local smoothing and Bourgain's circular maximal theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **136** (1992), no. 1, 207–218.
[18] ———, *Local smoothing of Fourier integral operators and Carleson-Sjölin estimates*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 1, 65–130.
[19] Detlef Müller and Andreas Seeger, *Singular spherical maximal operators on a class of two step nilpotent Lie groups*, Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 315–340.
[20] E. K. Narayanan and S. Thangavelu, *An optimal theorem for the spherical maximal operator on the Heisenberg group*, Israel J. Math. **144** (2004), 211–219.
[21] Amos Nevo and Sundaram Thangavelu, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for radial averages on the Heisenberg group*, Adv. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 307–334.
[22] Duong H. Phong and Elias M. Stein, *Radon transforms and torsion*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1991), no. 4, 49–60.
[23] Joris Roos and Andreas Seeger, *Spherical maximal functions and fractal dimensions of dilation sets*, arXiv:2004.00984, to appear in Amer. J. Math., 2020.
[24] Joris Roos, Andreas Seeger, and Rajula Srivastava, *Lebesgue space estimates for spherical maximal functions on Heisenberg groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2022** (2022), 19222–19257.
[25] Wilhelm Schlag and Christopher D. Sogge, *Local smoothing estimates related to the circular maximal theorem*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 1, 1–15.
[26] Andreas Seeger, Stephen Wainger, and James Wright, *Pointwise convergence of spherical means*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **118** (1995), no. 1, 115–124.
[27] Rajula Srivastava, *On the Korányi spherical maximal function on Heisenberg groups*, arXiv:2204.00695, Math. Ann., to appear, 2022.
[28] Elias M. Stein, *Oscillatory integrals in Fourier analysis*, Beijing lectures in harmonic analysis (Beijing, 1984), Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 112, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986, pp. 307–355.
[29] ———, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

Joris Roos: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Massachusetts Lowell & School of Mathematics, The University of Edinburgh

Email address: joris_roos@uml.edu

Andreas Seeger: Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI, 53706, USA.

Email address: seeger@math.wisc.edu

Rajula Srivastava: Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI, 53706, USA.

Email address: rajulas@math.uni-bonn.de

Current address: Mathematical Institute, University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany