## Mathematics 522

## Bounded Linear Operators and the Definition of Derivatives

**Definition.** Let V, W be normed vector spaces (both over  $\mathbb{R}$  or over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). A *linear transformation* or *linear operator*  $T: V \to W$  is *bounded* if there is a constant C such that

(1) 
$$||Tx||_W \le C||x||_V \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

*Remark:* We use the linearity of T and the homogeneity of the norm in W to see that

$$\left\| T\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_{V}}\right) \right\|_{W} = \left\| \frac{T(x)}{\|x\|_{V}} \right\|_{W} = \frac{\|T(x)\|_{W}}{\|x\|_{V}}$$

we see that T is bounded, satisfying (1), if and only if

$$\sup_{\|x\|_V=1} \|T(x)\|_W \le C.$$

**Theorem.** Let V, W be normed vector spaces and let  $T : V \to W$  be a linear transformation. The following statements are equivalent.

(i) T is a bounded linear transformation.

(ii) T is continuous everwhere in V.

(iii) T is continuous at 0 in V.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let C as in the definition of bounded linear transformation. By linearity of T we have

$$||T(v) - T(\tilde{v})||_{W} = ||T(v - \tilde{v})||_{W} \le C ||v - \tilde{v}||_{V}$$

which implies (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) is trivial.

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): If T is continuous at 0 there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $v \in V$  with  $||v|| < \delta$  we have ||Tv|| < 1. Now let  $x \in V$  and  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\left\|\delta\frac{x}{2\|x\|_V}\right\|_V = \delta/2 \text{ and thus } \left\|T(\delta\frac{x}{\|x\|_V})\right\|_W < 1.$$

But by the linearity of T and the homogeneity of the norm we get

$$1 \ge \left\| T(\delta \frac{x}{\|x\|_{V}}) \right\|_{W} = \left\| \delta \frac{T(x)}{2\|x\|_{V}} \right\|_{W} = \frac{\delta}{2\|x\|_{V}} \|Tx\|_{W}$$

and therefore  $||Tx||_W \leq C ||x||_V$  with  $C = 2/\delta$ .

Notation: If  $T: V \to W$  is linear one often writes Tx for T(x).

**Definition.** We denote by L(V, W) the set of all bounded linear transformations  $T: V \to W$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

L(V,W) form a vector space. S + T is the transformation with (S + T)(x) = S(x) + T(x) and cT is the operator  $x \mapsto cT(x)$ . On L(V,W) we define the *operator norm* (depending on the norms on V and W) by

$$||T||_{L(V,W)} \equiv ||T||_{op} = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{||Tv||_W}{||v||_V}$$

We can think of  $||T||_{L(V,W)}$  as the best constant for which (1) holds. Note that

$$||Tx||_W \le ||T||_{L(V,W)} ||x||_V.$$

Using the homogeneity of the W-norm we also can write

$$||T||_{L(V,W)} = \sup_{||x||_V = 1} ||Tx||_W$$

We use the  $\|\cdot\|_{op}$  notation if the choice of V, W and the norms are clear from the context. In the textbook, Rudin considers  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $W = \mathbb{R}^m$  with the standard Euclidean norms and simply writes  $\|T\|$  for the operator norm.

**Lemma.** Let V and W be normed spaces. If V is finite dimensional then all linear transformations from V to W are bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a basis of V. Then for  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j$  we have

$$\|Tv\|_{W} = \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} Tv_{j}\right\|_{W} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_{j}| \|Tv_{j}\|_{W} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Tv_{j}\|_{W} \max_{k=1,\dots,n} |\alpha_{k}|$$

The expression  $\max_{k=1,\dots,n} |\alpha_k|$  defines a norm on V. Since all norms on V are equivalent, there is a constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\max_{j=1,\dots,n} |\alpha_j| \le C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right\|_V$$

for all choices of  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ . Thus we get  $||Tv||_W \le C ||v||_V$  for all  $v \in V$ , where the constant C is given by  $C = C_1 \sum_{j=1}^n ||Tv_j||$ .

**Lemma.** On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^m$  use the Euclidean norms  $||x||_2 = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $||y||_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^m |y_i|^2)^{1/2}$ . Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and consider the linear operator  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by T(x) = Ax. Let

$$||A||_{HS} := (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$||T||_{op} \le ||A||_{HS}.$$

*Proof.*  $||Ax||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j|^2$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $|\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 ||x||_2^2$  and hence  $||Ax||_2 \leq ||A||_{HS} ||x||_2$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $||T||_{op} \leq ||A||_{HS}$ .

*Remark.* We often write A for both the matrix and the linear operator  $x \to Ax$ . The expression  $||A||_{HS}$  is a norm on the space of  $m \times n$  matrices called the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A. In many cases it is substantially larger than the operator norm (and so the estimate in the Lemma is rather inefficient). To illustrate this let D an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix (with entries  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$  on the diagonal and zeroes elsewhere. Then it is easy to verify the inequality

$$||Dx||_2 \le \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |\lambda_j| ||x||_2$$

and this is seen to be sharp by testing on the unit vectors  $x = e_j$ . Thus  $\|D\|_{op} = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |\lambda_j|$  while  $\|D\|_{HS} = (\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^2)^{1/2}$ . In particular for the identity operator I we have  $\|I\|_{op} = 1$  but  $\|I\|_{HS} = \sqrt{n}$ .

Normed vector spaces and compleness. Let V be a normed space. Then V is a metric space with respect to the metric d(x, y) = ||x - y||. Recall that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy-sequence in V if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is K such that  $||x_n - x_m||_V < \varepsilon$  for  $m, n \ge K$ .

**Definition.** A *Banach space* is a normed space which is complete (i.e. every Cauchy sequence converges).

**Lemma:** A finite dimensional normed space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  is complete. Proof. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  be a basis of V and for  $x \in V$  let  $\beta_1(x), \ldots, \beta_N(x)$  be the coordinates of f with respect to the basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ .

We need to show that  $\{x_n\}$  has a limit in V. For every n we can write

$$x_n = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_n) v_i.$$

The expression

$$\|x\|_{\infty} := \max_{i=1,\dots,N} |\beta_i(x)|$$

defines a norm on  ${\cal V}$  which is equivalent to the given norm. In particular we have

$$|\beta_i(x_n) - \beta_i(x_m)| \le C ||x_n - x_m||_V, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

This shows that for each i = 1, ..., N the numbers  $\beta_i(x_n)$  form a Cauchysequence of scalars and thus converge to a scalar  $\beta_i$ . Define  $x = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i v_i$ (so that  $\beta_i = \beta_i(x)$ ). Then

$$||x_n - x||_V = ||\sum_{i=1}^N (\beta_i(x_n) - \beta_i) v_i||_V \le \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i(x_n) - \beta_i|||v_i||_V$$

which converges to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence  $x_n \to x$  in V.

**Theorem:** Let V and W be normed spaces and assume that W is complete. Then the space L(V, W) of bounded linear operators from V to W is a Banach space.

Proof. We need to show that L(V, W) is complete. Let  $T_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in L(V, W) (with respect to the norm  $||T||_{op} = \sup_{||x||_V=1} ||Tx||_W$ ). Then for each  $x \in V$ ,  $T_n x$  is Cauchy in W and by assumption  $T_n x$  converge to some vector Tx. Check, using limiting arguments, that  $T: V \to W$  is linear. Since  $T_n$  is Cauchy the sequence  $T_N$  is bounded in L(V, W) and thus there exists M with  $||T_n||_{op} \leq M$ .

We need to show that T is a bounded operator. We have for all n and all  $x \in V$ 

$$||Tx||_{W} \le ||Tx - T_{n}x||_{W} + ||T_{n}x||_{W} \le ||Tx - T_{n}x||_{W} + ||T_{n}||_{op}||x||_{W}.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  we see that  $||Tx||_W \leq M|x||_V$ . Hence  $T \in L(V, W)$  with  $||T||_{op} \leq M$ .

Finally we need to show that  $T_n \to T$  in L(V, W). Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose N such that  $||T_n - T_m||_{op} < \varepsilon/2$  for  $m, n \ge N$ .

We prove the following *Claim:* 

For each  $x \in V$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , and  $n \geq N$  we have  $||T_n x - Tx||_W < \varepsilon ||x||_V$ .

Let  $n \ge N$ . Since  $T_m x \to T x$  in W we can choose  $m(x) \ge N$  such that  $\|T_{m(x)}x - Tx\|_W \le \varepsilon/4 \|x\|_V$ . We estimate we estimate (with  $m \ge N$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - T_n x\|_W &\leq \|Tx - T_{m(x)} x + T_{m(x)} x - T_n(x)\|_W \\ &\leq \|Tx - T_{m(x)} x\|_W + \|T_{m(x)} x - T_n x\|_W \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x\|_V + \|T_{m(x)} - T_n\|_{op} \|x\|_V \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x\|_V + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|_V < \varepsilon \|x\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim is established and thus  $||T_n - T||_{op} < \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ . This shows  $T_n \to T$  with respect to the operator norm.

**Definition.** (i) Let V be a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Bounded linear transformations from V to  $\mathbb{R}$  are called bounded linear functionals. The space  $L(V,\mathbb{R})$  with the operator norm is called the dual space to V, or V<sup>\*</sup>.

(ii) Similarly if V be a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  we call the bounded linear transformations from V to  $\mathbb{R}$  bounded linear functionals and refer to the space  $L(V, \mathbb{C})$  with the operator norm as the dual space  $V^*$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are complete the above theorem about completeness of L(V, W) immediately yields the

Corollary. Dual spaces of normed vector spaces are Banach spaces.

**Exercise:** The space  $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  of linear functionals  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}$ , since for any such  $\ell$  there is a unique vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\ell(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider the *p*-norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $||x||_p = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{1/p}, 1 \leq p < \infty$ . Also set  $||x||_{\infty} = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |x_j|$ . The norm on  $\mathbb{R}$  is just the absolute value  $|\cdot|$ .

(i) Prove

$$\sup_{\|x\|_{1}\neq 0} \frac{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} y_{j}\right|}{\|x\|_{1}} = \|y\|_{\infty}$$

and

$$\sup_{\|x\|_{\infty}\neq 0} \frac{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} y_{j}\right|}{\|x\|_{\infty}} = \|y\|_{1}.$$

(ii) Prove that if 1 then

$$\|\ell\|_{op} \equiv \sup_{\|x\|_p \neq 0} \frac{\left|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j\right|}{\|x\|_p} = \|y\|_{p'} \text{ where } p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

*Hint:* Hölder's inequality should be used here.

Finally we consider compositions of linear transformations. The operator norms are submultiplicative in the sense of the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $V_3$  be normed vector spaces and let  $T \in L(V_1, V_2)$  and  $S \in L(V_2, V_3)$ . Define the composition  $ST : V_1 \to V_3$  by ST(x) = S(T(x)). Then  $ST \in L(V_1, V_3)$  and we have

$$||ST||_{L(V_1,V_3)} \le ||S||_{L(V_2,V_3)} ||T||_{L(V_1,V_2)}.$$

Proof: For  $x \in V_1$ ,

$$||ST(x)||_{V_3} \le ||S||_{L(V_2,V_3)} ||T(x)||_{V_2} \le ||S||_{L(V_2,V_3)} ||T||_{L(V_1,V_2)} ||x||_{V_1}.$$

This implies  $ST \in L(V_1, V_3)$  and the asserted submultiplicativity property.  $\Box$ 

## Differentiation

**Definition.** Let V and W be normed vector spaces. Let  $U \subset V$  be an open subset of V and let  $F : U \to W$  be a function. Let  $a \in U$ . We say that F is differentiable at a if there exists a bounded linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  (usually denoted by  $Df_a$  or by f'(a)) such that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\|f(a+h) - f(a) - Th\|_W}{\|h\|_V} = 0$$

Uniqueness: For the derivative of f at a to be well defined we must prove uniqueness of T. Suppose there are two linear bounded transformations Tand  $\tilde{T}$  with  $\frac{\|f(a+h)-f(a)-Th\|_W}{\|h\|_V} \to 0$  and  $\frac{\|f(a+h)-f(a)-\tilde{T}h\|_W}{\|h\|_V} \to 0$  as  $\|h\|_V \to 0$  0. The triangle inequality implies that  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\|Th-\tilde{T}h\|_W}{\|h\|_V} = 0$ . I.e. for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|Th - \tilde{T}h\|_W \le \varepsilon \|h\|_V$  for all  $\|h\| \le \delta$ . However since  $T, \tilde{T}$  are linear this inequality also holds with h replaced by ch for all scalars c, and thus we have

$$||Th - Th||_W \le \varepsilon ||h||_V$$
 for all  $h \in V$ .

Hence  $||T - \tilde{T}||_{op} \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  which implies  $T = \tilde{T}$ . Thus if the derivative of f at a exists it is uniquely defined and we shall henceforth denote it by  $Df_a$  or by f'(a).

*Remark:* Other terns for this derivative  $Df_a$  are "total derivative of f at a" or "Fréchet derivative of f at a".

*Exercise:* Prove that if f is differentiable at a then f is continuous at a.

*Example:* Let M(n,n) be the space of  $n \times n$  matrices, with any norm. Define  $F(A) = A^2$ . Then F is differentiable at any A and its derivative  $DF_A$  is given by

$$DF_A(H) = AH + HA$$
.

For the proof observe that  $F(A + H) - F(A) = (A + H)^2 - A^2 = AH + HA + H^2$  and check that  $\lim_{H\to 0} ||H^2||/||H|| = 0$ . This is accomplished by showing that  $||H^2|| \leq C||H||_2$  and if one uses a submultiplicative norm on M(n, n) one gets this even with the constant 1.

*Example:* Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $F(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + \sin(\pi x_2)$ . You are being asked to check from the definition whether F differentiable at (2, 1) and to determine the derivative  $DF_{(2,1)}$ , as a linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Observe that

$$F(2+h_1, 1+h_2) - F(2, 1) = (2+h_1)^2 - 2^2 + (\sin(\pi(1+h_2)) - \sin\pi)$$
$$= 4h_1 + \pi\cos(\pi)h_2 + O(h_1^2) + O(h_2^2).$$

Hence  $DF_{(2,1)}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is the linear transformation given by

$$DF_{(2,1)}(h) = 4h_1 - \pi h_2$$
.

*Example:* Let C([0, 1]) be the space of continuous functions with the usual max-norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ . We define a function

$$\Gamma: C([0,1]) \to C([0,1])$$

by saying that for  $f \in C([0, 1])$ ,  $\Gamma[f]$  is the function whose values at  $x \in [0, 1]$ are given by

$$\Gamma[f](x) = \int_0^x f(t)^2 \cos t \, dt$$

Show that  $\Gamma$  is differentiable at every  $g \in C([0,1])$  and determine  $D\Gamma_g$  for all  $g \in C([0,1])$ .

For  $h \in C([0,1])$  we compute

$$\Gamma[g+h](x) - \Gamma[g](x) = \int_0^x (g(t) + h(t))^2 \cos t \, dt - \int_0^x (g(t))^2 \cos t \, dt$$
$$= \int_0^x h(t) \, 2g(t) \cos t \, dt + \int_0^x (h(t))^2 \cos t \, dt$$

We claim that  $D\Gamma_g : C([0, 1]) \to C([0, 1])$  is the linear transformation which to each  $h \in C([0, 1])$  assigns the function T[h] whose values at x are given by

$$T[h](x) = \int_0^x h(t) 2g(t) \cos t \, dt$$
.

We must show that T is a bounded linear transformation and that it is really the derivative of  $\Gamma$  at g. Clearly T is linear and maps C([0, 1]) to itself.

It is bounded since

$$\max_{0 \le x \le 1} \Big| \int_0^x h(t) \, 2g(t) \cos t \, dt \Big| \le 2 \max_{[0,1]} |g(x)| \max_{x \in [0,1]} |h(x)|;$$

this inequality shows that the operator norm of T is at most  $2||g||_{\infty}$ .

To verify that T is really the derivative of  $\Gamma$  at g we must analyze the error term and show that

$$\frac{\max_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^x h(t)^2 \cos t \, dt \right|}{\|h\|_{\infty}} \to 0 \text{ as } \|h\|_{\infty} \to 0.$$

The numerator is bounded by  $\max_{t \in [0,1]} |h(t)^2| = ||h||_{\infty}^2$  and hence the displayed expression tends to 0 as  $||h||_{\infty} \to 0$ . Thus we have shown that  $\Gamma$  is differentiable at g and  $D\Gamma_g = T$ .

*Example:* Let C([0, 1]) be the space of continuous functions with the usual max-norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ . Define  $\Lambda : C([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Lambda[f] = \int_0^1 f(t)^2 \cos t \, dt \, .$$

Show that  $\Lambda$  is differentiable at every  $g \in C([0,1])$  and that

$$D\Lambda_g: C([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$$

is the bounded linear functional defined by

$$D\Lambda_g[h] = \int_0^1 h(t) \, 2g(t) \cos t \, dt \, .$$