

1. HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

1.1. Complex-valued functions.

At the start of the study of calculus, we usually consider real-valued functions f of a real variable x . Now we want to replace *real*-valued functions f by *complex*-valued functions F , and we want to replace the *real* variable x by a *complex* variable $z = x + iy$. Thus we consider functions F defined on the complex plane (or a subregion of the complex plane) which takes on complex values. Examples of such functions are:

$$\begin{array}{lll} F_1(x + iy) = (x + iy)^2, & \text{or equivalently} & F_1(z) = z^2 \\ F_2(x + iy) = e^x \cos(y) + ie^x \sin(y), & \text{or equivalently} & F_2(z) = e^z \\ F_3(x + iy) = x - iy, & \text{or equivalently} & F_3(z) = \bar{z}. \end{array}$$

A complex-valued function has a real part and an imaginary part. Thus every complex-valued function F can be written

$$F(x + iy) = u(x + iy) + iv(x + iy)$$

where u and v are *real*-valued functions of a complex variable. For example

$$F_1(x + iy) = (x + iy)^2 = (x^2 - y^2) + i(2xy),$$

so in this case

$$u(x + iy) = x^2 - y^2$$

and

$$v(x + iy) = 2xy.$$

It follows that a complex-valued function F of a complex variable is really the same as a pair of real-valued functions (u, v) of a complex variable. Also, since a complex number z is determined by giving its real part x and its imaginary part y , we can think of a real-valued functions u and v of a complex variable as the same as a pair of real-valued functions of two real variables (x, y) .

A complex-valued function F of a complex variable z is really the same as a pair (u, v) of real-valued functions of a pair (x, y) of real variables. We can write $F(x + iy) = u(x + iy) + iv(x + iy)$, or equivalently, $F(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y))$.

1.2. Derivatives.

In the differential calculus we define the *derivative* of a real-valued function f of a real variable x . We think of f as defined on an interval of the real axis with values on the real axis, and we write:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

We would like to do the same thing for *complex*-valued functions of a *complex* variable. The key point is that if F is a complex-valued function of a complex variable, and if h is a non-zero complex number, the expression

$$\frac{F(z + h) - F(z)}{h}$$

is the quotient of two complex numbers, and hence is itself a complex number.

It is important to realize that this situation is very special to the case of complex-valued functions of a complex variable. If we consider functions F which map \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{R}^3 , and if \bar{x} and \bar{h} are vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , then $F(\bar{x} + \bar{h}) - F(\bar{x})$ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^3 , and the quotient

$$\frac{F(\bar{x} + \bar{h}) - F(\bar{x})}{\bar{h}}$$

makes no sense because division of vectors is not defined!

Now just as with real-valued functions of a real variable, we say that the function F is (complex) differentiable at the point z if the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$ of this expression exists, and we write

$$F'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h}.$$

Definition 1.1. A complex-valued function F of a complex variable is holomorphic in a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ if F is complex differentiable at each point of Ω .

Examples:

(i) Consider $F_1(z) = z^2$. We have

$$\frac{F_1(z+h) - F_1(z)}{h} = \frac{(z+h)^2 - z^2}{h} = \frac{2zh + h^2}{h} = 2z + h$$

and so

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_1(z+h) - F_1(z)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [2z + h] = 2z.$$

It follows that $F_1(z) = z^2$ is a holomorphic function, and its derivative is $F_1'(z) = 2z$.

(ii) Consider $F_3(z) = \bar{z}$. We have

$$\frac{F_3(z+h) - F_3(z)}{h} = \frac{\overline{(z+h)} - \bar{z}}{h} = \frac{\bar{z} + \bar{h} - \bar{z}}{h} = \frac{\bar{h}}{h}.$$

Now if h is real, $\bar{h} = h$, and so

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \text{ real}}} \frac{F_3(z+h) - F_3(z)}{h} = \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \text{ real}}} \frac{h}{h} = 1.$$

On the other hand, if h is imaginary, $\bar{h} = -h$, and so

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \text{ imaginary}}} \frac{F_3(z+h) - F_3(z)}{h} = \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \text{ imaginary}}} \frac{-h}{h} = -1.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_3(z+h) - F_3(z)}{h} \text{ does not exist,}$$

and so $F_3(z) = \bar{z}$ is not a holomorphic function.

Exercise: Show that $F_2(z) = e^z$ and $F_4(z) = z^{-1}$ are holomorphic functions.

1.3. The Cauchy-Riemann equations.

We now examine the meaning of complex differentiability in terms of the real and imaginary parts of a complex valued function. Thus suppose that $F(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ where u and v are real valued functions, and suppose that F is complex differentiable. Then if h is a complex number,

$$\frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = \frac{[u(z+h) - u(z)] + i[v(z+h) - v(z)]}{h}.$$

If we let $z = x + iy = (x, y)$, and we take $h = (h, 0)$ to be a (small) real number, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F'(z) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+h, y) - u(x, y)}{h} + i \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x+h, y) - v(x, y)}{h} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we take $h = ik = (0, k)$ to be a small imaginary number, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F'(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y+k) - u(x, y)}{ik} + i \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x, y+k) - v(x, y)}{ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we must have the following equalities: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y)$. These are called the *Cauchy-Riemann equations*. We have established

Lemma 1.2. *If $F(x + iy) = u(x + iy) + iv(x + iy)$ is a holomorphic function of a complex variable $z = x + iy$, then the real and imaginary parts u and v must satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) &= + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y) &= - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

1.4. Line integrals.

We now consider line integrals of complex-valued functions. We need to introduce some notation. We let

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= u(x, y) + iv(x, y), \\ dz &= dx + idy, \end{aligned}$$

so that formally,

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) dz &= (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))(dx + idy) \\ &= [u(x, y) dx - v(x, y) dy] + i[u(x, y) dy + v(x, y) dx]. \end{aligned}$$

Then if C is a curve in the complex plane, we define the line integral $\int_C F(z) dz$ to be:

$$\int_C F(z) dz = \int_C u(x, y) dx - v(x, y) dy + i \int_C u(x, y) dy + v(x, y) dx.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ is a region to which we can apply Green's theorem. Suppose that F is holomorphic and continuously differentiable on Ω and its boundary. Then*

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} F(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof. From the definition, we have

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} F(z) dz = \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dx - v(x, y) dy + i \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dy + v(x, y) dx.$$

Using Green's theorem, we show that

$$(a) \quad \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dx - v(x, y) dy = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dy + v(x, y) dx = 0.$$

For (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dx - v(x, y) dy &= \iint_{\Omega} \left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y) \right] dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\Omega} 0 dx dy = 0 \end{aligned}$$

from the second Cauchy-Riemann equation $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y)$. For (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial\Omega} u(x, y) dy + v(x, y) dx &= \iint_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y) \right] dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\Omega} 0 dx dy = 0 \end{aligned}$$

from the first Cauchy-Riemann equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) = +\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.4 (Cauchy's integral formula). *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ is a region to which we can apply Green's theorem. Suppose that F is holomorphic and continuously differentiable on Ω and its boundary. If $z \in \Omega$, then*

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Omega} \frac{F(w)}{w - z} dw.$$

Proof. We first consider the line integral $\oint_{C_\epsilon} \frac{F(w)}{w - z} dw$ where C_ϵ is the circle centered at $z = x + iy$ of radius ϵ . We can parameterize C_ϵ by

$$w(t) = z + \epsilon e^{it} = (x + \epsilon \cos(t), y + \epsilon \sin(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} w - z &= \epsilon e^{it}, \\ dw &= i\epsilon e^{it} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{C_\epsilon} \frac{F(w)}{w-z} dw &= i \int_0^{2\pi} F(z + \epsilon e^{it}) dt \\ &= i \int_0^{2\pi} F(z) dt + i \int_0^{2\pi} [F(z + \epsilon e^{it}) - F(z)] dt \\ &= 2\pi i F(z) + i \int_0^{2\pi} [F(z + \epsilon e^{it}) - F(z)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since F is continuous at z , we can make $|F(z + \epsilon e^{it}) - F(z)|$ as small as we like (for all t) by making ϵ sufficiently small. It follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \oint_{C_\epsilon} \frac{F(w)}{w-z} dw = 2\pi i F(z).$$

But now it follows from Theorem 1.3 that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} \frac{F(w)}{w-z} dw = \oint_{C_\epsilon} \frac{F(w)}{w-z} dw.$$

This completes the proof. \square

1.5. Evaluation of definite integrals.

The Cauchy integral formula can be used to evaluate some definite integrals. We consider several examples.

Example 1: Evaluate $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{1 + a \cos(\theta)}$ with $0 \leq a < 1$.

We can write $\cos(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}]$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 + a \cos(\theta)} &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a}{2}[e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}]} \\ &= \frac{2e^{i\theta}}{2e^{i\theta} + a[e^{2i\theta} + 1]}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put $z = e^{i\theta}$, then $dz = ie^{i\theta} d\theta$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{1 + a \cos(\theta)} &= \frac{2}{i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ie^{i\theta} d\theta}{ae^{2i\theta} + 2e^{i\theta} + a} \\ &= \frac{2}{i} \oint \frac{dz}{az^2 + 2z + a} \\ &= (4\pi) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{az^2 + 2z + a} \end{aligned}$$

where the line integral is taken around the boundary of the unit circle. But the polynomial $az^2 + 2z + a$ has two roots: $r_1 = -1 - \sqrt{1 - a^2}$ and $r_2 = -1 + \sqrt{1 - a^2}$. Moreover, $r_1 < -1 < r_2 < 0$. Thus one root r_2 is inside the circle, and the other is outside the circle. We can write

$$az^2 + 2z + a = a(z - r_1)(z - r_2).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{1+a\cos(\theta)} &= (4\pi) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{(z-r_1)(z-r_2)} \\ &= (4\pi) \frac{1}{r_2-r_1} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2: Evaluate $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2+4)(x^2+9)}$

The function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)} = \frac{1}{(z+2i)(z-2i)(z+3i)(z-3i)}$$

is holomorphic everywhere except at the four points $\pm 2i$ and $\pm 3i$. We consider

$$\oint_{C(R_1, R_2, N)} \frac{dz}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)}$$

where $C(R_1, R_2, N)$ is the square with vertices at $\{R_1, R_1+iN, -R_2+iN, -R_2\}$, where $N > 3$. Then the points $2i$ and $3i$ are inside this square, and the points $-2i$ and $-3i$ are outside.

We can replace this line integral with the sum of two line integrals, one going around a small circle C_2 centered at $2i$ and the other around a small circle C_3 centered at $3i$. Using the Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{C_2} \frac{dz}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)} &= 2\pi i [(2i+2i)(2i+3i)(2i-3i)]^{-1} = \frac{2\pi i}{-20i^3} = \frac{\pi}{10}; \\ \oint_{C_3} \frac{dz}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)} &= 2\pi i [(3i+2i)(3i-2i)(3i+3i)]^{-1} = \frac{2\pi i}{30i^3} = -\frac{\pi}{15}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\oint_{C(R_1, R_2, N)} \frac{dz}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)} = \frac{\pi}{10} - \frac{\pi}{15} = \frac{\pi}{30}.$$

But $\oint_{C(R_1, R_2, N)} \frac{dz}{(z^2+4)(z^2+9)}$ is the sum of four integrals $I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$. The first is parameterized by $z = x$ with $-R_2 \leq x \leq R_1$, and gives

$$I_1 = \int_{-R_2}^{R_1} \frac{dx}{(x^2+4)(x^2+9)}.$$

The second is parameterized by $z = R_1 + it$ with $0 \leq t \leq N$, and gives

$$I_2 = \int_0^N \frac{i dt}{(R_1 + it)^2 + 4)(R_1 + it)^2 + 9)}.$$

The third is parameterized by $z = t + iN$ with $R_1 \geq t \geq -R_2$, and gives

$$I_3 = \int_{R_1}^{-R_2} \frac{dt}{(t + iN)^2 + 4)(t + iN)^2 + 9)}.$$

The fourth is parameterized by $z = -R_2 + it$ with $N \geq t \geq 0$, and gives

$$I_4 = \int_N^0 \frac{i dt}{(-R_2 + it)^2 + 4)(-R_2 + it)^2 + 9)}.$$

Now we need to make estimates of the absolute values of I_2 , I_3 , and I_4 . We can show

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{N}{(R_1^2 - 4)(R_1^2 - 9)} \\ |I_3| &\leq \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 9} \leq \frac{\pi}{2N^2} \\ |I_4| &\leq \frac{N}{(R_2^2 - 4)(R_2^2 - 9)}. \end{aligned}$$

We first let R_1 and R_2 go to positive infinity, which makes $|I_2|$ and $|I_4|$ go to zero. We then let N go to positive infinity, which makes $|I_3|$ go to zero. In this process, I_1 goes to the desired integral, and so we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + 4)(x^2 + 9)} = \frac{\pi}{30}.$$

Example 3: Show that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \pi$.

1.6. Holomorphic functions and power series.

We want to prove:

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $F(z)$ is holomorphic in an open set containing the closed disk $D(R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq R\}$. Then for $|z| < R$ we can represent $F(z)$ by a convergent power series*

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Moreover, the coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} F^{(n)}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(R)} \frac{F(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw.$$

Proof. For any $|z| < R$, we can use the Cauchy integral formula to write

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(R)} \frac{F(w)}{w - z} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(R)} \frac{F(w)}{w} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(R)} \frac{F(w)}{w} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^n dw \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(R)} \frac{F(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw \right] z^n. \end{aligned}$$

□