

Qualifying Examination in Logic

January, 1970

Instructions:

Majors and Minors: Do 3 problems.

Third Area: Do 4 problems.

A. Model Theory.

A1. Let D be an ultrafilter over a set I such that $\Pi_D \omega$ is countable.

Prove that $\Pi_D \langle \omega, < \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\langle \omega, < \rangle$.

A2. Let $T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots$ be a sequence of sets of sentences such that for each n there exists a model of T_n which is not a model of T_{n+1} . Prove that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} T_n$ is not finitely axiomatizable.

A3. Let $\mathcal{O} = \langle A, U, \dots \rangle$ be a model for a countable language such that A has power \aleph_2 and U has power \aleph_0 . Prove that there are two models L, C of power \aleph_1 such that L and C are elementarily equivalent to \mathcal{O} but are not isomorphic to each other.

A4. Let \mathcal{O} be a model for a countable language. Show that \mathcal{O} can be made into a model \mathcal{O}' , by adding countably many functions and keeping the same elements, such that every submodel of \mathcal{O}' is an elementary submodel of \mathcal{O}' .

B. Set Theory

- B1. Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let $2^\kappa = \aleph_\alpha$. Show that for all $n < \omega$, $(\aleph_{\alpha+n})^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_{\alpha+n}$.
- B2. Prove that $\prod_{n<\omega} \aleph_n > \aleph_\omega$.
- B3. Let M be a transitive model of ZF. Prove that the axiom of constructibility holds in M if and only if there is no transitive submodel $N \subseteq M$ of ZF such that all the ordinals of M belong to N .
- B4. Let M be a transitive model of ZFC + GCH and let $\kappa > \omega$ be a regular cardinal in M . Show that there is a transitive model $N \supseteq M$ of ZFC with the same ordinals and cardinals as M such that $N \models 2^\kappa = \kappa$.

C. Recursion Theory

- C1. Assume that S is a non-empty recursive subset of $\omega \times \omega$. Prove that the set

$$\{m : (\exists n) (m, n) \in S\}$$

is the range of a recursive function.

- C2. Prove that the set

$\{n \in \omega : n \text{ is the Gödel number of a sentence which is true in the standard model of arithmetic}\}$

is not recursively enumerable.

C3. Let T be a set of sentences of predicate logic. Assume that there is no recursive set $S \subseteq \omega$ such that whenever $T \vdash \phi$, the Gödel number of ϕ is in S and the Gödel number of $\neg\phi$ is in $\omega - S$.

Prove that for every sentence ψ consistent with T , $T \cup \{\psi\}$ is undecidable.

C4. Let P be the theory of Peano arithmetic (as in Kleene's book). Let $\text{pr}(x)$ be the usual formula representing " x is the Gödel number of a sentence provable from P ". Let con be the usual sentence expressing " P is consistent". Suppose ϕ is a sentence with Gödel number e such that $P \vdash \phi \leftrightarrow \neg \text{pr}(e)$. Show that $P \vdash \phi \leftrightarrow \text{con}$.