

# The combinatorial equivalence of a computability theoretic question

Lu Liu

Email: [g.jiayi.liu@gmail.com](mailto:g.jiayi.liu@gmail.com)

Central South University School of Mathematics and Statistics

**Oberwolfach 2021 Workshop on Computability Theory**

April 28, 2021

# Introduction

- ▶ We prove that a question of Miller and Solomon—whether every coloring  $c : d^{<\omega} \rightarrow k$  admits a  $c$ -computable variable word infinite solution, is equivalent to a combinatorial question.
- ▶ The combinatorial question asked whether there is a sequence of positive integers so that each of its initial segment satisfies a Ramsey type property.
- ▶ Moreover, the negation of the combinatorial question is a generalization of Hales-Jewett theorem.

We thank Denis Hirschfeldt, Benoit Monin and Ludovic Patey for helpful discussion.

- 1 A question of Miller and Solomon
- 2 Related literature
- 3 The combinatorial equivalence
- 4 On  $ENSH_k^d$  and Hales-Jewett Theorem

# VWI problem

We adopt the problem-instance-solution framework.

## Definition 1 (Variable word)

- ▶ An  $n$ -variable word over  $d$  is a sequence  $v$  (finite or infinite) of  $\{0, \dots, d-1\} \cup \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  where there are  $n$  many variables in  $v$ .

# VWI problem

We adopt the problem-instance-solution framework.

## Definition 1 (Variable word)

- ▶ An  $n$ -variable word over  $d$  is a sequence  $v$  (finite or infinite) of  $\{0, \dots, d-1\} \cup \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  where there are  $n$  many variables in  $v$ .
- ▶ Given an  $\vec{a} \in d^m$ , an  $n$ -variable word  $v$ , suppose  $x_{m_0}, x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_{n-1}}$  occur in  $v$  with  $m_{\hat{n}-1} < m_{\hat{n}}$  for all  $\hat{n} < n$ . We write  $v(\vec{a})$  for the  $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$ -string obtained by substitute  $x_{m_{\hat{n}}}$  with  $\vec{a}(\hat{n})$  in  $v$  for all  $\hat{n} < m$  and then truncating the result just before the first occurrence of  $x_{m_{\hat{n}+1}}$ .

## VWI problem

We adopt the problem-instance-solution framework.

## Definition 1 (Variable word)

- ▶ An  $n$ -variable word over  $d$  is a sequence  $v$  (finite or infinite) of  $\{0, \dots, d-1\} \cup \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  where there are  $n$  many variables in  $v$ .
- ▶ Given an  $\vec{a} \in d^m$ , an  $n$ -variable word  $v$ , suppose  $x_{m_0}, x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_{n-1}}$  occur in  $v$  with  $m_{\hat{n}-1} < m_{\hat{n}}$  for all  $\hat{n} < n$ . We write  $v(\vec{a})$  for the  $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$ -string obtained by substitute  $x_{m_{\hat{n}}}$  with  $\vec{a}(\hat{n})$  in  $v$  for all  $\hat{n} < m$  and then truncating the result just before the first occurrence of  $x_{m_{\hat{n}+1}}$ .
- ▶ We write  $P_{x_m}(v)$  for the set of positions of  $x_m$  in  $v$ , namely  $\{t : v(t) = x_m\}$ ; the *first occurrence* of a variable  $x_m$  in  $v$  refers to the integer  $\min P_{x_m}(v)$ .

## VWI problem

## Example 2

Infinite variable word  $v$  on  $\{0, 1\}$ :

$$011 \quad x_0x_0 \quad 011 \quad x_1 \quad x_0x_0 \quad x_1x_100 \quad x_2x_2 \cdots \quad (1.1)$$

$$\vec{a} = 10, v(\vec{a}) = 011 \quad 11 \quad 011 \quad 0 \quad 11 \quad 0000.$$

$$P_{x_0}(v) = \{3, 4, 9, 10, \dots\}.$$



## VWI problem

## Example 2

Infinite variable word  $v$  on  $\{0, 1\}$ :

$$011 \quad x_0x_0 \quad 011 \quad x_1 \quad x_0x_0 \quad x_1x_100 \quad x_2x_2 \cdots \quad (1.1)$$

$$\vec{a} = 10, v(\vec{a}) = 011 \quad 11 \quad 011 \quad 0 \quad 11 \quad 0000.$$

$$P_{x_0}(v) = \{3, 4, 9, 10, \dots\}.$$

## Definition 3

- ▶ Problem:  $\text{VWI}(d, k)$ .
- ▶ Instance:  $c : d^{<\omega} \rightarrow k$ .
- ▶ Solution: an  $\omega$ -variable word  $v$  such that  $\{v(\vec{a}) : \vec{a} \in d^{<\omega}\}$  is monochromatic.

## VWI vs RCA

Joe Miller and Solomon proposed the following question in [Miller and Solomon, 2004].

## Question 4

Does every  $\text{VWI}(d, k)$ -instance  $c$  admit  $c$ -computable solution?

## VWI vs RCA

Joe Miller and Solomon proposed the following question in [Miller and Solomon, 2004].

## Question 4

Does every  $\text{VWI}(d, k)$ -instance  $c$  admit  $c$ -computable solution?

Or in terms of reverse mathematics:

## Question 5

Is  $\text{VWI}(d, k)$  provable in RCA?

## Other versions of variable word problem

### Definition 6 (VW, OVW)

If we require the occurrence of  $x_i$  being finite for all  $i$  then the problem is called VW.

If we require all the occurrence of  $x_i$  comes before any occurrence of  $x_{i+1}$  then it is called OVW (ordered variable word).

# Other versions of variable word problem

## Definition 6 (VW, OVW)

If we require the occurrence of  $x_i$  being finite for all  $i$  then the problem is called VW.

If we require all the occurrence of  $x_i$  comes before any occurrence of  $x_{i+1}$  then it is called OVW (ordered variable word).

The problem is proposed by [Carlson and Simpson, 1984] and studied in [Miller and Solomon, 2004], [Liu et al., 2019]. Clearly,

## Theorem 7

$$\text{VWI}(d, k) \leq \text{VW}(d, k) \leq \text{OVW}(d, k).$$

$$\text{VWI}(d, k) \Leftrightarrow \text{VWI}(d, k + 1), \text{VW}(d, k) \Leftrightarrow \text{VW}(d, k + 1), \text{OVW}(d, k) \Leftrightarrow \text{OVW}(d, k + 1).$$

# The complexity of OVW, VW

Theorem 8 ([Miller and Solomon, 2004])

*There exists a computable instance of OVW(2,2) that does not admit  $\Delta_2^0$  solution. Thus  $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{WKL}$  does not prove VW(2,2).*

# The complexity of OVW, VW

## Theorem 8 ([Miller and Solomon, 2004])

*There exists a computable instance of OVW(2, 2) that does not admit  $\Delta_2^0$  solution. Thus  $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{WKL}$  does not prove  $\text{VW}(2, 2)$ .*

The following result answers a question of [Miller and Solomon, 2004] and [Montalbán, 2011].

## Theorem 9 (Monin, Patey, L)

- ▶ *For every computable OVW(2, 2)-instance  $c$ , every  $\emptyset'$ -PA degree compute a solution to  $c$ .*
- ▶ *There exists a computable OVW(2, 2)-instance such that every solution is  $\emptyset'$ -DNC degree.*

## Corollary 10 (Monin, Patey, L)

*ACA proves OVW(2, 2).*

## Question 11 ([Miller and Solomon, 2004])

Does  $OVW(d, k)$  or  $VW(d, k)$  implies  $ACA_0$  for some  $l$ ?



## A combinatorial equivalence of “VWI(2, 2) vs RCA”

Definition 12 ( $ENSH_k^d$ )

- ▶ Let  $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}$  be a sequence of positive integers, let  $N_0 = \{0, \dots, n_0 - 1\}$ ,  $N_1 = \{n_0, \dots, n_0 + n_1 - 1\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $N_{r-1} = \{n_0 + \dots + n_{r-2}, \dots, n_0 + \dots + n_{r-1} - 1\}$ , and  $N = \cup_{s \leq r-1} N_s$ ; let  $f : d^N \rightarrow k$ . We say  $n_0 \cdots n_r$  is *sectionally-homogeneous* for  $f$  if there exists an  $s \leq r - 1$ , an  $n_s$ -variable word  $v$  over  $d$  of length  $N$  such that the first occurrence of variables in  $v$  consist of  $N_s$ , i.e.,

$$\{\min P_{x_m}(v) : m \in \omega\} = N_s,$$

and  $v$  is monochromatic for  $f$ .

- ▶ We write  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_{r-1})$  iff there exists a coloring  $f : d^N \rightarrow k$  such that  $n_0 \cdots n_{r-1}$  is *not* sectionally-homogeneous for  $f$ . In that case we say  $f$  witnesses  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_{r-1})$ .

## A combinatorial equivalence of “VWI(2, 2) vs RCA”

Let  $ENSH_k^d$  denote the set of infinite sequence of integers  $n_0n_1 \cdots$  such that  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_r)$  holds for all  $r \in \omega$ .

## Theorem 13 ([Liu, 2020])

*The following are equivalent:*

- ▶ *There exists a VWI( $d, k$ )-instance  $c$  that does not admit  $c$ -computable solution.*
- ▶ *There exists an  $X \in ENSH_k^d$ .*

Intuition on  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_{r-1})$ 

## Proposition 14

*If  $\vec{n}$  is a subsequence of  $\vec{\hat{n}}$  or  $\vec{n} \geq \vec{\hat{n}}$ , then  $ENSH_k^d(\vec{\hat{n}})$  implies  $ENSH_k^d(\vec{n})$ .*

Intuition on  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_{r-1})$ 

## Proposition 15

$ENSH_2^2(22), ENSH_2^2(222)$  holds.  $ENSH_2^2(n)$  holds for all  $n > 0$ .

## Proof.

To see  $ENSH_2^2(22)$ , consider

$$f(\vec{a}) = \vec{a}(0) + \vec{a}(1) + \vec{a}(2) \text{ mod } 2.$$

To see  $ENSH_2^2(222)$ , consider

$$f(\vec{a}) = I(\vec{a}(0) + \vec{a}(1) > 0) + \vec{a}(2) + \vec{a}(3) + \vec{a}(4) \text{ mod } 2.$$

Where  $I()$  is the indication function. To see  $ENSH_2^2(n)$ , simply consider  $f(\vec{a}) = \vec{a}(0) \text{ mod } 2$ . □

Intuition on  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_{r-1})$ 

## Proposition 16

$ENSH_2^2(2222)$  does not hold.

## Proof.

We don't know the proof. Adam P. Goucher at Mathoverflow examined this using SAT solver (<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/293112/ramsey-type-theorem> ). It's easy to check that the following functions don't work:

$$f(\vec{a}) = I(\vec{a}(0) + \vec{a}(1) > 0) + \vec{a}(2) + \vec{a}(3) + \vec{a}(4) + \vec{a}(6) \text{ mod } 2; \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(\vec{a}) = I(\vec{a}(0) + \vec{a}(1) > 0) + I(\vec{a}(2) + \vec{a}(3) > 0) + \\ + \vec{a}(4) + \vec{a}(5) + \vec{a}(6) \text{ mod } 2;$$



## Proof of theorem 13

 $(\Leftarrow)$ 

- ▶ A Turing functional  $\Psi^X$  computes a variable word if  $\Psi^X$  is an enumerable set (possibly finite)  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots\}$  of finitely long variable words such that  $v_0 \preceq v_1 \preceq \dots$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

( $\Leftarrow$ )

- ▶ A Turing functional  $\Psi^X$  computes a variable word if  $\Psi^X$  is an enumerable set (possibly finite)  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots\}$  of finitely long variable words such that  $v_0 \preceq v_1 \preceq \dots$ .
- ▶ Putting priority argument aside, assume each Turing functional is total. i.e.,  
for each  $r \in \omega$ , let  $v_r \in \Psi_r^X$  be such that  $v_r$  contains  $X(r)$  many variables whose first occurrence is after  $|v_{r-1}|$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

( $\Leftarrow$ )

- ▶ A Turing functional  $\Psi^X$  computes a variable word if  $\Psi^X$  is an enumerable set (possibly finite)  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots\}$  of finitely long variable words such that  $v_0 \preceq v_1 \preceq \dots$ .
- ▶ Putting priority argument aside, assume each Turing functional is total. i.e.,  
for each  $r \in \omega$ , let  $v_r \in \Psi_r^X$  be such that  $v_r$  contains  $X(r)$  many variables whose first occurrence is after  $|v_{r-1}|$ .
- ▶ Suppose  $(f_r : r \in \omega)$  witnesses  $ENSH_k^d(X \upharpoonright r)$ . We transform these  $f_r$  to a coloring  $c$  so that there is no  $v \succeq v_r$  monochromatic for  $c$ .



# Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ To define  $c$  on  $d^n$ , let  $r(n)$  be the maximal integer such that  $|v_{r(n)}| \leq n$ . We ensure that  $c$  on  $d^n$  “oppress”  $v_r$  for all  $r \leq r(n)$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ To define  $c$  on  $d^n$ , let  $r(n)$  be the maximal integer such that  $|v_{r(n)}| \leq n$ . We ensure that  $c$  on  $d^n$  “oppress”  $v_r$  for all  $r \leq r(n)$ .
- ▶ Let  $P_r$  be the set of first occurrence of variables in  $v_r$  whose first occurrence is after  $|v_{r-1}|$ . W.l.o.g, suppose  $|P_r| = X(r)$  for all  $r \in \omega$ .

# Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ To define  $c$  on  $d^n$ , let  $r(n)$  be the maximal integer such that  $|v_{r(n)}| \leq n$ . We ensure that  $c$  on  $d^n$  “oppress”  $v_r$  for all  $r \leq r(n)$ .
- ▶ Let  $P_r$  be the set of first occurrence of variables in  $v_r$  whose first occurrence is after  $|v_{r-1}|$ . W.l.o.g, suppose  $|P_r| = X(r)$  for all  $r \in \omega$ .
- ▶ Define  $c(\vec{a}) = f_{r(n)+1}(\vec{a} \upharpoonright \cup_{r \leq r(n)} P_r)$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

- ▶ Take advantage of some particular algorithms  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots$  and show that their failure (to compute a solution to  $c$ ) gives rise to a sequence  $X \in ENSH_k^d$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

- ▶ Take advantage of some particular algorithms  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots$  and show that their failure (to compute a solution to  $c$ ) gives rise to a sequence  $X \in ENSH_k^d$ .
- ▶  $\Phi_0^c, \Phi_1^c, \dots$  are greedy algorithms in the sense that they extend their current computation (which is a finitely long variable word) whenever possible. More precisely,

## Proof of theorem 13

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

- ▶ Take advantage of some particular algorithms  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots$  and show that their failure (to compute a solution to  $c$ ) gives rise to a sequence  $X \in ENSH_k^d$ .
- ▶  $\Phi_0^c, \Phi_1^c, \dots$  are greedy algorithms in the sense that they extend their current computation (which is a finitely long variable word) whenever possible. More precisely,
- ▶  $\Phi_{r+1}^c$  extends its current computation from  $v_{r+1}$  to some  $\hat{v} \succeq v_{r+1}$  where  $\hat{v}$  has more variables than  $v_{r+1}$ , whenever it is found that for *some*  $\vec{a} \in d^{|v_r|+1}$ ,  $\hat{v}/\vec{a}$  is monochromatic for  $c$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

- ▶ Take advantage of some particular algorithms  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots$  and show that their failure (to compute a solution to  $c$ ) gives rise to a sequence  $X \in ENSH_k^d$ .
- ▶  $\Phi_0^c, \Phi_1^c, \dots$  are greedy algorithms in the sense that they extend their current computation (which is a finitely long variable word) whenever possible. More precisely,
- ▶  $\Phi_{r+1}^c$  extends its current computation from  $v_{r+1}$  to some  $\hat{v} \succeq v_{r+1}$  where  $\hat{v}$  has more variables than  $v_{r+1}$ , whenever it is found that for *some*  $\vec{a} \in d^{|v_r|+1}$ ,  $\hat{v}/\vec{a}$  is monochromatic for  $c$ .
- ▶ Moreover,  $\Phi_{r+1}^c$  will build its solution  $v_{r+1}$  based on  $\Phi_0^c, \dots, \Phi_r^c$  in the sense that all variables in  $v_{r+1}$  occur after  $|v_r|$  and if some  $\Phi_r^c$  extends its current computation, then all  $\Phi_r^c$  (where  $r > \tilde{r}$ ) will restart all over again.

## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Since  $c$  does not admit a  $c$ -computable solution, for every  $r \in \omega$ , the computation of  $\Phi_r^c$  sticks at some  $v_r$ .



## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Since  $c$  does not admit a  $c$ -computable solution, for every  $r \in \omega$ , the computation of  $\Phi_r^c$  sticks at some  $v_r$ .
- ▶ More precisely, let  $\hat{v}_r = v_r \widehat{x}_{n_r-1}$  (where we assume that all variables in  $v_r$  are  $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n_r-2}\}$ ), we have

## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Since  $c$  does not admit a  $c$ -computable solution, for every  $r \in \omega$ , the computation of  $\Phi_r^c$  sticks at some  $v_r$ .
- ▶ More precisely, let  $\hat{v}_r = v_r \widehat{\ } x_{n_r-1}$  (where we assume that all variables in  $v_r$  are  $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n_r-2}\}$ ), we have
- ▶ there is no  $\hat{v} \succeq \hat{v}_r$  such that for some  $\vec{a} \in d^{|\hat{v}_r-1|}$ ,  $\hat{v}/\vec{a}$  is monochromatic for  $c$ ; moreover, all variables in  $v_r$  occur after  $|v_{r-1}|$  and  $|v_r| > |v_{r-1}|$ .
- ▶ We show that  $n_0 n_1 n_2 \dots \in ENSH_k^d$ .

# Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Fix an  $r \in \omega$ , let  $N = n_0 + \cdots + n_r$ . To define  $f : d^N \rightarrow k$  witnessing  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_r)$ , for every  $\vec{a} \in d^N$  we map  $\vec{a}$  to a word  $\vec{\hat{a}} = h(\vec{a}) \in d^{|\hat{v}_r|}$  and let  $f(\vec{a}) = c(\vec{\hat{a}})$ .

## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Fix an  $r \in \omega$ , let  $N = n_0 + \cdots + n_r$ . To define  $f : d^N \rightarrow k$  witnessing  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_r)$ , for every  $\vec{a} \in d^N$  we map  $\vec{a}$  to a word  $\vec{\hat{a}} = h(\vec{a}) \in d^{|\hat{v}_r|}$  and let  $f(\vec{a}) = c(\vec{\hat{a}})$ .
- ▶ Intuitively,  $h$  is defined by connecting each element of  $N$ , say  $n_0 + \cdots + n_{s-1} + m$ , to a set  $P_{x_m}(\hat{v}_s)$  and copy the value  $\vec{a}(n_0 + \cdots + n_{s-1} + m)$  to  $\hat{a}(t)$  for all  $t \in P_{x_m}(\hat{v}_s)$ . More precisely,

## Proof of theorem 13

- ▶ Fix an  $r \in \omega$ , let  $N = n_0 + \cdots + n_r$ . To define  $f : d^N \rightarrow k$  witnessing  $ENSH_k^d(n_0 \cdots n_r)$ , for every  $\vec{a} \in d^N$  we map  $\vec{a}$  to a word  $\vec{\hat{a}} = h(\vec{a}) \in d^{|\hat{v}_r|}$  and let  $f(\vec{a}) = c(\vec{\hat{a}})$ .
- ▶ Intuitively,  $h$  is defined by connecting each element of  $N$ , say  $n_0 + \cdots + n_{s-1} + m$ , to a set  $P_{x_m}(\hat{v}_s)$  and copy the value  $\vec{a}(n_0 + \cdots + n_{s-1} + m)$  to  $\hat{a}(t)$  for all  $t \in P_{x_m}(\hat{v}_s)$ . More precisely,
- ▶ Suppose  $\vec{a} = \vec{a}_0 \cdots \vec{a}_r$  where  $|\vec{a}_s| = n_s$  for all  $s \leq r$ . Let

$$\vec{\hat{a}}_s = \hat{v}_s(\vec{a}_s) \upharpoonright_{|\hat{v}_{s-1}|}^{|\hat{v}_s|-1} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\vec{a}) = \vec{\hat{a}}_0 \cdots \vec{\hat{a}}_r.$$

## Theorem 17

*The following two classes of oracles are equal:*

$$\{D \subseteq \omega : D' \text{ computes a member in } ENSH_k^d.\}$$

$$\{D \subseteq \omega : D \text{ computes a } VWI(d, k)\text{-instance } c \\ \text{that does not admit a } c\text{-computable solution.}\}$$

## Relation to Hales-Jewett theorem

- ▶ Disproving  $ENSH_k^d$  on certain sequences is a generalization of Hales-Jewett theorem.

## Relation to Hales-Jewett theorem

- ▶ Disproving  $ENSH_k^d$  on certain sequences is a generalization of Hales-Jewett theorem.
- ▶ For  $d, k, n \in \omega$ , let  $HJ(d, k, n)$  denote the assertion that  
there exists an  $N$  such that for every  $c : d^N \rightarrow k$ ,  
there exists an  $n$ -variable word  $v$  of length  $N$  monochromatic for  $c$ .



## Relation to Hales-Jewett theorem

- ▶ Disproving  $ENSH_k^d$  on certain sequences is a generalization of Hales-Jewett theorem.
- ▶ For  $d, k, n \in \omega$ , let  $HJ(d, k, n)$  denote the assertion that there exists an  $N$  such that for every  $c : d^N \rightarrow k$ , there exists an  $n$ -variable word  $v$  of length  $N$  monochromatic for  $c$ .

### Theorem 18 (Hales-Jewett theorem)

*For every  $d, k, n \in \omega$ ,  $HJ(d, k, n)$  holds.*

- ▶ HJ theorem is of fundamental importance in combinatorics.
- ▶ HJ theorem  $\Rightarrow$  van der Waerden theorem (which says that for every partition of integers, every  $r \in \omega$ , there exists an arithmetical progression of length  $r$  in one part).

- ▶ HJ theorem is of fundamental importance in combinatorics.
- ▶ HJ theorem  $\Rightarrow$  van der Waerden theorem (which says that for every partition of integers, every  $r \in \omega$ , there exists an arithmetical progression of length  $r$  in one part).
- ▶ The density HJ theorem  $\Rightarrow$  the density van der Waerden theorem, namely Szemerédi's theorem, which asserts that for every set  $A$  of integers of positive density (meaning  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap n|/n > 0$ ), every  $r \in \omega$ , there exists an arithmetical progression in  $A$  of length  $r$  (conjectured by Erdős and Turán).

- ▶ We show that:  $\forall d, k, n [n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d] \Leftrightarrow$  HJ theorem.
- ▶ Actually,

$$n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d \Rightarrow HJ(d, k, n) \text{ and} \\ HJ(d^n, k, 1) \Rightarrow n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d.$$

## Proposition 19

For every  $d, k, n \in \omega$ ,  $n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d$ .

## Proof.

- ▶ For example we prove this for  $d, n = 2$ .

## Proposition 19

For every  $d, k, n \in \omega$ ,  $n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d$ .

### Proof.

- ▶ For example we prove this for  $d, n = 2$ .
- ▶ Using  $HJ(4, k, 1)$ , let  $r$  be the witness.
- ▶ Show that  $ENSH_k^d(2 \underbrace{\dots}_r 2)$  does not hold.

## Proposition 19

For every  $d, k, n \in \omega$ ,  $n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d$ .

### Proof.

- ▶ For example we prove this for  $d, n = 2$ .
- ▶ Using  $HJ(4, k, 1)$ , let  $r$  be the witness.
- ▶ Show that  $ENSH_k^d(2 \underbrace{\dots}_r 2)$  does not hold.
- ▶ Code  $2^{2^r}$  into  $4^r$  where  $\vec{a}(2t)\vec{a}(2t+1)$  (00, 01, 10, 11 respectively) is coded into  $\vec{\tilde{a}}(t)$  (0, 1, 2, 3 respectively).

## Proposition 19

For every  $d, k, n \in \omega$ ,  $n^\omega \notin ENSH_k^d$ .

### Proof.

- ▶ For example we prove this for  $d, n = 2$ .
- ▶ Using  $HJ(4, k, 1)$ , let  $r$  be the witness.
- ▶ Show that  $ENSH_k^d(2 \underbrace{\dots}_{r \text{ many}} 2)$  does not hold.
- ▶ Code  $2^{2r}$  into  $4^r$  where  $\vec{a}(2t)\vec{a}(2t+1)$  (00, 01, 10, 11 respectively) is coded into  $\vec{\hat{a}}(t)$  (0, 1, 2, 3 respectively).
- ▶ Given a coloring  $c : 2^{2r} \rightarrow k$ , consider  $\hat{c} : 4^r \ni \vec{\hat{a}} \mapsto c(\vec{a})$ .
- ▶ Let  $\hat{v}$  be a 1-variable word monochromatic for  $\hat{c}$  and consider  $v$  such that  $v(2t)v(2t+1) = 00, 01, 10, 11, x_0x_1$  respectively if  $\hat{v}(t) = 0, 1, 2, 3, x_0$  respectively.





The following theorem generalizes HJ theorem on  $d = 2, k = 2, n = 2$ .

Theorem 20 ([Liu, 2020])

*For every sequence  $n_0 n_1 \cdots$  of positive integers with  $n_s = 2$  for some  $s$ ,  $n_0 n_1 \cdots \notin ENSH_2^2$ .*

## Lemma 21

*There exists a sequence  $n_0 \cdots n_r$  such that  $ENSH_2^2(n_0 \cdots n_r)$  holds but  $ENSH_2^2(n_0 \cdots n_r n)$  does not hold for all  $n$ .*

## Proof.






For example,  $n_0 \cdots n_r = 1$  and note that  $ENSH_2^2(1)$  is true but  $ENSH_2^2(1n)$  is not true for any  $n$ . □

## Some open questions

## Question 22

- ▶ Does  $ENSH_2^2(2223)$  holds? Does  $ENSH_2^2(222n)$  holds for sufficiently large  $n$ ?
- ▶ Is it true that for every  $n, \hat{n}$   $ENSH_2^2(n \underbrace{\hat{n} \cdots \hat{n}}_{n+1 \text{ many}})$  does not hold.

Many thanks!

-  Carlson, T. J. and Simpson, S. G. (1984).  
A dual form of ramsey's theorem.  
*Advances in Mathematics*, 53(3):265–290.
-  Liu, L. (2020).  
The combinatorial equivalence of a computability theoretic question.  
*arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13588*.
-  Liu, L., Monin, B., and Patey, L. (2019).  
A computable analysis of variable words theorems.  
*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 147(2):823–834.
-  Miller, J. S. and Solomon, R. (2004).  
Effectiveness for infinite variable words and the dual ramsey theorem.  
*Archive for Mathematical Logic*, 43(4):543–555.
-  Montalbán, A. (2011).  
Open questions in reverse mathematics.

