

## Group Rings, Semigroup Rings and Their Radicals

HANS SCHNEIDER AND JULIAN WEISSGLASS\*

*University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin*

*Communicated by I. N. Herstein*

Received July 25, 1965

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] Amitsur proved that if  $R$  is a ring with no nil ideals, then the polynomial ring  $R[t]$  is semiprimitive, i.e., has  $\{0\}$  Jacobson radical. In [3] Bovdi proved that if  $R$  has no zero divisors and  $G$  is an SN group with a normal system whose factors are Abelian torsion-free, then the group ring  $RG$  is semiprimitive. We obtain both of these results as corollaries of a more general theorem concerning semigroup rings. Let  $D$  be a semigroup with two properties: the  $\Gamma$  property (Definition 2.4) and the  $2\Omega$  property (Definition 2.17). We call such a semigroup a  $2\Omega\Gamma$  semigroup, and we prove in Section 3 that if  $R$  is a ring with no nil ideals and  $D$  is  $2\Omega\Gamma$  with identity element, then the semigroup ring,  $RD$ , is semiprimitive (Lemma 3.6).

In Section 2 we investigate the  $2\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$  properties. We show that the class of oriented semigroups (Definition 2.6), which includes all directed groups (Proposition 2.7), are  $\Gamma$  (Proposition 2.12). Every strict, fully ordered semigroup with more than one element is  $2\Omega\Gamma$  (Theorem 2.22), and every SN group with a normal system whose factors are Abelian torsion-free is also  $2\Omega\Gamma$  (Theorem 2.23). In [7] Kemperman conjectured that every torsion-free group is  $2\Omega$ . It is conceivable that every torsion-free group is also  $\Gamma$ .

In Section 4 we prove that if  $R$  is a ring with no nil ideals and  $G$  is a group such that the order of every element of finite order in  $G$  is cancelable in  $R$  (4.3) then  $RG$  has no nil ideals (Theorem 4.4). As corollaries we obtain two theorems of Passman [10]. We then combine our two main theorems (3.6 and 4.4) to the special case of Abelian groups with at least one element of infinite order. We prove that if the upper nil radical of  $R$  is  $\{0\}$  and if every integer  $n$

---

\* The authors were supported in part by the research grants NSF GP-3962 and USAFOSR 698-65.

such that  $G$  has an element of order  $n$  is cancelable in  $R$ , then  $RG$  is semiprimitive. When  $R$  is commutative, this yields part of a theorem of Connell's [4].

## 2. SEMIGROUPS

Throughout this section,  $D$  will denote a semigroup. If  $A \subseteq D$  we denote by  $\langle A \rangle$  the smallest subsemigroup of  $D$  containing  $A$ . The cardinality of  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A nonempty subset  $G$  of  $D$  is *grouplike* (in  $D$ ) if

- (a)  $a, b \in G$  imply  $ab \in G$ ,
- (b)  $a, ab \in G$  imply  $b \in G$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. If  $A \subseteq D$ ,  $A \neq \phi$ , then  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle = \cap \{G \mid A \subseteq G \subseteq D \text{ and } G \text{ is grouplike}\}$ .

*Remarks.* The intersection of a collection of grouplike subsets is grouplike. The set  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is the smallest grouplike set in  $D$  containing  $A$ . If  $D$  has identity 1 then  $1 \in \langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  by Definition 2.1(b). If  $D$  is a group, a subset  $A$  of  $D$  is grouplike if and only if it is a subgroup, and  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is just the subgroup generated by  $A$ . The following result will be needed later.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism taking the semigroup  $D$  into a semigroup  $E$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $H$  is grouplike in  $E$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(H)$  is grouplike in  $D$ .*
- (ii) *If  $A \subseteq D$ , then  $\varphi(\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle) \subseteq \langle\langle \varphi(A) \rangle\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(H)$ , then  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in H$ . Hence  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in H$ , since  $H$  is grouplike, so  $ab \in \varphi^{-1}(H)$ . Thus Definition 2.1(a) is proved. Further, if  $a, ab \in \varphi^{-1}(H)$ , then  $\varphi(a), \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in H$  whence  $\varphi(b) \in H$ , since  $H$  is grouplike. Hence  $b \in \varphi^{-1}(H)$  and Definition 2.1(b) is proved.

(ii) By (i),  $K = \varphi^{-1}(\langle\langle \varphi(A) \rangle\rangle)$  is grouplike and clearly  $A \subseteq K$ , whence  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle \subseteq K$ . It follows that  $\langle\langle \varphi(A) \rangle\rangle = \varphi(K) \supseteq \varphi(\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle)$ .

*Remark.* The additive semigroup  $D = Z \oplus P$  where  $Z$  denotes the integers and  $P$  the non-negative integers furnishes an example for which there is proper containment in Proposition 2.3(ii). Just let  $E = Z$  and define an epimorphism  $\varphi : D \rightarrow E$  by  $\varphi(n, p) = n + p$ . Then if  $A = \{0\} \oplus P$ ,  $\varphi(\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle) = P \neq Z = \langle\langle \varphi(A) \rangle\rangle$ . Also,  $A$  is an example of a grouplike set for which  $\varphi(A)$  is not grouplike.

The following definition is motivated by Herstein's proof ([6], p. 33) of Amitsur's theorem [1].

DEFINITION 2.4. A semigroup  $D$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup if and only if it satisfies:

- ( $\Gamma$ ) For all nonempty finite sets  $A$  contained in  $D$ , there exists  $g$  in  $D$ , such that for every finite set  $B$  contained in  $\langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$  there exists an integer  $n = n(B)$ , for which  $(Ag)^n B \cap B = \phi$ .

*Remark.* If  $D$  is  $\Gamma$  then  $D$  satisfies:

- ( $\Gamma'$ ) For all finite sets  $A$  contained in  $D$  there exists  $g \in D$ , such that for every finite set  $B_0$  contained in  $\langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$  there exists an integer  $n = n(B_0)$ , such that  $(Ag)^n \cap B_0 = \phi$ .

Just let  $B = (Ag) \cup B_0$  and the proof is immediate.

LEMMA 2.5. *If  $D$  is a group, then  $D$  is  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $D$  is  $\Gamma'$ .*

*Proof.* By the above remark, it suffices to prove that  $\Gamma'$  implies  $\Gamma$  if  $D$  is a group. Let  $(Ag)^n B \cap B \neq \phi$  for all integers  $n$ . Put  $B_0 = BB^{-1}$ . Then  $B_0 \subseteq \langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$  and we have  $(Ag)^n \cap B_0 \neq \phi$  for all integers  $n$ , a contradiction.

Our aim is to show that a certain class of partially ordered (p.o.) semigroups consists of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. If in a p.o. semigroup  $D$ ,  $a < b$  implies  $ac < bc$  and  $ca < cb$  for all  $c$  in  $D$ , we say that the partial order of  $D$  is *strict* or  $D$  is a *strict p.o. semigroup*. (Fuchs [5], p. 153). We write  $a \leq A$  if  $a$  is a lower bound for the set  $A$  and  $A \leq b$  if  $b$  is an upper bound for the set  $A$ . Following Fuchs ([5], p. 154), we set

$$P_l = \{a \in D \mid ax \geq x \text{ for all } x \in D\},$$

$$P_r = \{a \in D \mid xa \geq x \text{ for all } x \in D\}$$

and

$$N_r = \{a \in D \mid xa \leq x \text{ for all } x \in D\},$$

$$N_l = \{a \in D \mid ax \leq x \text{ for all } x \in D\}.$$

Also  $P_r^*, P_l^*, N_r^*, N_l^*$  are defined similarly with the inequality being strict and  $P = P_l \cap P_r$ ,  $N = N_l \cap N_r$ ,  $P^* = P_l^* \cap P_r^*$ ,  $N^* = N_l^* \cap N_r^*$ . A partially ordered semigroup(group) which is a directed set is called a *directed semigroup(group)*. However, for us a useful generalization of directed groups to semigroups is given by:

DEFINITION 2.6.

- (i) A *positively oriented* semigroup  $D$  is a p.o. semigroup with at least two elements, such that for all nonempty finite sets  $A$  contained in  $D$  there exists  $u, x$  in  $D$  for which  $A \leq u$  and  $Ax \subseteq P_l$ .

(ii) A *negatively oriented* semigroup  $D$  is a p.o. semigroup with at least two elements, such that for all nonempty finite sets  $A$  contained in  $D$  there exist  $v, y$  in  $D$  for which  $v \leq A$  and  $Ay \subseteq N_l$ .

(iii) A semigroup  $D$  is *oriented* if it is either positively or negatively oriented.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $|G| > 1$ . Then  $G$  is directed if and only if  $G$  is oriented.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be directed and suppose  $\phi \neq A \subseteq G$ , where  $A$  is finite. There exist  $v, u$  in  $G$  such that  $v \leq A \leq u$ . Hence  $Av^{-1} \geq 1$  and so  $Av^{-1} \subseteq P_l$ , whence  $G$  is oriented. The converse is trivial.

*Remark.* An example of a strict oriented semigroup which is not directed is furnished by the additive half-plane  $\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \geq 0\}$  with  $(x_1, x_2) < (y_1, y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 < x_2$  and  $y_1 < y_2$ . Next let  $D$  be the upper left quadrant of the plane under addition,  $D = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \leq 0, x_2 \geq 0\}$  with  $(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_1, y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \leq y_1$  and  $x_2 \leq y_2$ . Then  $P = \{(0, x_2)\}$ ,  $N = \{(x_1, 0)\}$  and  $D$  is a commutative cancelative (hence strict) partially ordered semigroup with identity element  $(0, 0)$  which is directed but not oriented. This last example is due to Charles Holland.

In a strict fully ordered semigroup  $D$ ,  $P_l = P_r = P$ ,  $N_l = N_r = N$ , and  $D = P \cup N^* = N \cup P^*$  (Fuchs [5], p. 159).

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Every strict fully ordered semigroup with more than one element is oriented.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be strict fully ordered and suppose  $D$  is not oriented. We shall derive a contradiction. Since Definition 2.6(i) is false, there exists a finite subset  $A$  of  $D$ , such that, for all  $x \in D$ ,  $Ax \cap N \neq \phi$ . Let  $a = \min A$ . Then for all  $x \in D$ ,  $ax \in N^*$ . Similarly, by negating Definition 2.6(ii), there exists  $b$  in  $D$  such that for all  $y$  in  $D$ ,  $by \in P^*$ . It follows that  $ab \in N^*$  and  $ba \in P^*$ , whence  $bab < b$  and  $bab > b$ —a contradiction.

DEFINITION 2.9. If  $D$  is a p.o. semigroup, let  $\mathcal{K}(A) = \{x \in D \mid \text{there exist } c, c' \in \langle A \rangle \text{ with } x \leq c \text{ and } c'x \in P_l\}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of the p.o. semigroup  $D$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{K}(A) \neq \phi$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  is grouplike in  $D$ .*
- (ii) *If  $A \subseteq P_l$ , then  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{K}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $x, y \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ , then there exist  $c, c', d, d'$  in  $\langle A \rangle$  such that  $x \leq c$ ,  $c'x \in P_l$  and  $y \leq d$ ,  $d'y \in P_l$ . Hence  $d'c'xy \geq d'y$ , whence  $d'c'xy \in P_l$ .

Also  $xy \leq cd$  and hence, since  $d'c'$  and  $cd$  are in  $\langle A \rangle$ ,  $xy \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ . Thus, Definition 2.1(a) is satisfied. If  $x, xy \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ , then there exist  $c'c', f, f'$  in  $\langle A \rangle$  such that  $x \leq c, c'x \in P_l$ , and  $xy \leq f, f'xy \in \mathcal{P}_l$ . Hence  $f'cy \geq f'xy$ , whence  $f'cy \in P_l$ . Also  $y \leq c'xy \leq c'f$  and hence, since  $c'f$  and  $f'c$  are in  $\langle A \rangle$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ . Thus Definition 2.1(b) is satisfied and  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  is grouplike.

(ii) Let  $x \in A \subseteq P_l$ . Then  $c = x$  and  $c' = x$  satisfy the requirements of Definition 2.9. Hence  $A \subseteq \mathcal{K}(A)$  and so by (i),  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{K}(A)$ .

*Remark.* If  $D$  is a p.o. group and  $A \geq 1$  then  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  is the convex subgroup generated by  $A$ .

LEMMA 2.11. *Let  $D$  be a strict p.o. semigroup. If  $D$  is positively oriented, then for all finite subsets  $A$  of  $D$  there exists an  $x$  in  $D$  such that  $Ax \subseteq P_l^*$ . If  $D$  is negatively oriented, then there exists an  $x$  in  $D$  such that  $Ax \subseteq N_l^*$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $D$  is positively oriented. We first prove that  $P_l^* \neq \emptyset$ . There exist two distinct elements  $a, b$  in  $D$ . Either  $a < b$  or  $b < a$  or  $a$  is incomparable to  $b$ , in which case, by definition, there exists  $c$  such that  $a < c$ . Hence there exist two comparable elements  $g, h$ ; say  $g < h$ . There exists  $x$  such that  $gx \in P_l$ . For all  $y \in D$   $(hx)y > (gx)y \geq y$ , whence  $q = hx \in P_l^*$ .

Now let  $A$  be a finite subset of  $D$ . For some  $z, Az \subseteq P_l$ . Hence  $Azq \geq q$  and so  $Azq \subseteq P_l^*$ .

A similar argument proves the statement concerning negatively oriented semigroups.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *An oriented semigroup  $D$  with a strict partial order is a  $\Gamma$  semigroup.*

*Proof.* Assume  $D$  is positively oriented. Let  $A$  be any finite subset of  $D$ . By Lemma 2.11 there exist  $x, u$  in  $D$  such that  $A \leq u$  and  $Ax \subseteq P_l^*$ . Then  $ux \geq Ax$  so  $ux \in P_l^*$  and also  $ux < Axux \leq (ux)^2$ . Letting  $g = xux, a = ux$  we obtain  $a < Ag \leq a^2$ , where  $Ag \subseteq P_l^*$  since  $a \in P_l^*$ . Note that  $a^n < (Ag)^n \leq a^{2n}$ .

Now suppose  $B \subseteq \langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$  and  $B$  is finite. Then by Lemma 2.10(ii)  $B \subseteq \mathcal{K}(Ag)$ , since  $Ag \subseteq P_l^*$ . Hence for every  $b_i \in B$  there exist  $c_i, c_i'$ , in  $\langle Ag \rangle$  for which  $b_i \leq c_i$  and  $c_i'b_i \in P_l$ . The  $c_i$  and  $c_i'$  are words in elements of  $Ag$ , and suppose  $m$  is the maximum of the lengths of these words. Then  $c_i \leq a^{2m}$  and  $c_i' \leq a^{2m}$ , for all  $b_i \in B$ . Hence  $b_i \leq c_i \leq a^{2m}$ , and we have shown that  $B \leq a^{2m}$ .

We next show that, for suitable  $n, d \in (Ag)^n B$  implies that  $d \not\leq a^{2m}$ . Since  $c_i'b_i \in P_l$ , and  $a^{2m} > c_i'$  for all  $i$ , observe that  $(Ag)^{2m} B \subseteq P_l$ . Now let  $n = 4m$ , and suppose  $d \in (Ag)^n B$ . Then  $d = hp$ , where  $h \in (Ag)^{2m}$  and  $p \in a^{2m} B \subseteq P_l$ . Since  $(Ag)^{2m} > a^{2m}$ , it follows that  $h > a^{2m}$ . Suppose  $d \leq a^{2m}$ . Then

$a^{2m}y < hy \leq hpy = dy \leq a^{2m}y$  for  $y \in D$ , and this is absurd. Hence  $d \notin a^{2m}$ . Since  $B \leq a^{2m}$ , we conclude that  $(Ag)^n B \cap B \neq \phi$ .

**COROLLARY 2.13.** *A directed group with more than one element is a  $\Gamma$  semigroup.*

*Proof.* Obvious by Proposition 2.7.

**LEMMA 2.14.** *Let  $D$  be a semigroup such that for every finite nonempty subset of  $A$  there exists an element  $h$ , such that for some semigroup  $D'$  with  $\langle Ah \rangle \subseteq D' \subseteq D$ , there is a homomorphism  $\varphi$ , taking  $D'$  onto a  $\Gamma$  semigroup. Then  $D$  is a  $\Gamma$  semigroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a finite nonempty subset of  $D$ . Let  $\varphi$ ,  $h$ , and  $D'$  be as in the hypotheses. Let  $F$  be the epimorphic image of  $D'$ . There exists  $f$  in  $F$  such that for all finite sets  $\bar{B}$  contained in  $\langle \varphi(Ah)f \rangle$  there exists  $n$ , such that  $(\varphi(Ah)f)^n \bar{B} \cap \bar{B} = \phi$ . Now  $\varphi$  is onto, so there exists  $f \in D'$  with  $\varphi(f) = f$ . Let  $g = hf$ . Then if  $B$  is a finite set such that  $B \subseteq \langle Ag \rangle$ , we have, by Proposition 2.3,  $\varphi(B) \subseteq \varphi(\langle Ag \rangle) \subseteq \langle \varphi(Ag) \rangle = \langle \varphi(Ah)f \rangle$ . Hence for some  $n$ ,  $(\varphi(Ag))^n \varphi(B) \cap \varphi(B) = \phi$ , and therefore  $(Ag)^n B \cap B = \phi$ .

**COROLLARY 2.15.** *The inverse image of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is  $\Gamma$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.16.** *If every finitely generated subsemigroup (grouplike subset) of  $D$  is the inverse image of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $D$  is  $\Gamma$ .*

*Remark.* There exist  $\Gamma$  groups with subgroups which are not  $\Gamma$ , e.g.,  $Z \oplus Z_2$ , where  $Z$  are the integers and  $Z_2$  the integers (mod 2) under addition.

**DEFINITION 2.17.** A semigroup  $D$  is said to be a  $2\Omega$ -semigroup if and only if for all pairs of finite nonempty subsets  $A, B$  of  $D$  with  $|A| + |B| \geq 3$ , there exist at least two elements  $c$  in  $AB$  which admit exactly one representation  $c = ab$ , with  $a \in A, b \in B$ . We say that such  $c \in AB$  is uniquely expressible with respect to  $A, B$ .

*Remark.* In [11], Rudin and Schneider defined an  $\Omega$ -group to be a group such that for all pairs of finite nonempty sets  $A, B$  there exists at least one element in  $AB$  uniquely expressible with respect to  $A, B$ . Earlier, Kemperman [7], conjectured that every torsion-free group is  $2\Omega$ . If this is so, then the three concepts coincide for groups, for a  $2\Omega$ -group is certainly  $\Omega$ , and it is easily seen that  $\Omega$  implies torsion-free. We also note that every  $2\Omega$ -semigroup  $D$  is cancelative and  $n \neq m$  and  $a^n = a^m$  imply  $a$  is an idempotent. If  $D$  has 1, then 1 is the only idempotent in  $D$ . In addition one can show that every fully right ordered cancelative semigroup is  $2\Omega$ .

In particular, a fully right ordered group is  $2\Omega$ . We do not know if such a group is  $\Gamma$ .

**LEMMA 2.18.** *Let  $D$  be a cancelative semigroup. Suppose that for all pairs of finite nonempty subsets  $A, B$  of  $D$  with  $|A| + |B| \geq 3$  there exist elements  $h, k$ , and a homomorphism  $\varphi$  taking  $\langle hA, Bk \rangle$  into a  $2\Omega$ -semigroup such that  $|\varphi(hA)| + |\varphi(Bk)| \geq 3$ . Then  $D$  is a  $2\Omega$ -semigroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite sets with  $n = |A| + |B| \geq 3$ . We must show that there exist two elements in  $AB$  uniquely expressible with respect to  $A, B$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 3$ , the cancellation laws guarantee that the two elements in  $AB$  are uniquely expressible. Suppose  $n > 3$ . Let  $h, k$  be as in the hypotheses. Let  $E = hA, F = Bk$ . Since the cancellation laws hold, it is sufficient to prove that there exist two elements in  $EF$  uniquely expressible with respect to  $E, F$ . By assumption,  $|\varphi(E)| + |\varphi(F)| \geq 3$ ; so, for  $i = 1, 2$ , there exist  $r_i \in \varphi(E), s_i \in \varphi(F)$  such that  $r_1s_1 \neq r_2s_2$  and  $r_i s_i$  are uniquely expressible with respect to  $\varphi(E), \varphi(F)$ . Clearly, either  $r_1 \neq r_2$  or  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . Suppose  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . Let  $E_i = \varphi^{-1}(r_i) \cap E, F_i = \varphi^{-1}(s_i) \cap F$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_i \neq E$  and  $|E_i| + |F_i| < n$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Either  $|E_i| = |F_i| = 1$  or  $|E_i| + |F_i| \geq 3$  and the induction hypothesis applies. In either case there exist  $e_i \in E_i, f_i \in F_i$  such that  $e_1f_1 \neq e_2f_2$  and  $e_i f_i$  is uniquely expressible with respect to  $E_i, F_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . But then  $e_i f_i$  is uniquely expressible with respect to  $E, F$ . For, if  $ef = e_i f_i$ , then  $\varphi(e)\varphi(f) = \varphi(e_i)\varphi(f_i) = r_i s_i$  and hence  $\varphi(e) = r_i$  and  $\varphi(f) = s_i$ . Thus  $e \in E_i, f \in F_i$  and therefore  $e = e_i, f = f_i$ .

**DEFINITION 2.19.** The semigroup  $D$  is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup if it is both  $2\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$ .

By combining Lemmas 2.14 and 2.18 we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 2.20.** *Let  $D$  be a cancelative semigroup. Suppose that for all pairs of finite nonempty subsets  $A, B$  of  $D$  with  $|A| + |B| \geq 3$  there exist elements  $h, k$ , a subsemigroup  $D'$  of  $D$  containing  $\langle hA, Bk \rangle$ , and a homomorphism taking  $D'$  onto a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup, such that  $|\varphi(hA)| + |\varphi(Bk)| \geq 3$ . Then  $D$  is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup.*

**COROLLARY 2.21.** *Let  $G$  be a group and suppose every finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  can be mapped homomorphically onto a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -group. Then  $G$  is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -group.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B$  be finite nonempty subsets of  $G$  with  $|A| + |B| \geq 3$ . Choose  $h^{-1} \in A, k^{-1} \in B$ . Then  $1 \in hA, 1 \in Bk$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism taking  $\langle\langle hA, Bk \rangle\rangle$  onto a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -group. Then  $\varphi(\langle\langle hA, Bk \rangle\rangle) \neq \{1\}$  so there exists  $x$  in  $hA \cup Bk$  such that  $\varphi(x) \neq 1$ . Hence  $|\varphi(hA)| + |\varphi(Bk)| \geq 3$  and the corollary follows from Proposition 2.20.

**THEOREM 2.22.** *Every strict fully ordered semigroup  $D$  with more than one element is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8,  $D$  is oriented, and hence by Proposition 2.12 it is  $\Gamma$ . Let  $A, B$  be nonempty finite subsets of  $D$  and let  $a_1 = \max A$ ,  $b_1 = \max B$ ,  $a_2 = \min A$ ,  $b_2 = \min B$ . Then  $a_1b_1, a_2b_2$  are uniquely expressible with respect to  $A, B$ , whence  $D$  is  $2\Omega$ .

We now show that a rather wide class of groups consists of  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroups. A *normal system* for a group is a complete ordered system of subgroups  $\{N_\alpha\}$  such that, whenever  $\alpha$  has successor  $\alpha + 1$ ,  $N_\alpha$  is normal in  $N_{\alpha+1}$ . A group  $G$  is an *SN-group* if the factors  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  are Abelian (cf. Kurosh [8], pp. 171 and 182).

The following theorem includes the case of *SN*-groups with torsion-free Abelian factors since torsion-free Abelian groups can be fully ordered (cf. Fuchs [5], p. 36).

**THEOREM 2.23.** *If  $G$  is a group with a normal system with fully ordered factor groups, then  $G$  is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$ , say  $H = \langle\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle\rangle$ . Let  $\{N_\alpha\}$  be a normal system of  $G$  with fully ordered factors. Then for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there exist  $N_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $g_i \notin N_{\alpha_i}$ , but  $g_i \in N_{\alpha_i+1}$ . Let  $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $N_\alpha \cap H$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $H$  and  $H \subseteq N_{\alpha+1}$ . Then group  $H' = H/(N_\alpha \cap H)$  is fully ordered since  $H/(N_\alpha \cap H) \cong HN_\alpha/N_\alpha \subseteq N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$ , and  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  is fully ordered. Further  $H'$  is nontrivial since  $N_\alpha \cap H \neq H$ . Hence by Theorem 2.22,  $H'$  is  $2\Omega\Gamma$ . The result now follows from Corollary 2.21.

### 3. SEMIGROUP RINGS

If  $D$  is a semigroup and  $R$  is an associative ring, let  $RD$  denote the semigroup ring of  $D$  over  $R$ . Thus  $RD$  consists of all functions from  $D$  into  $R$  which are zero off a finite set. We write elements of  $RD$  as finite formal sums  $x = \alpha_1d_1 + \dots + \alpha_nd_n$ , where  $x(d_i) = \alpha_i \in R$ . Addition is pointwise and multiplication is convolution. Thus, if  $x, y \in RD$ , then

$$xy(d) = \sum_{ab=d} x(a)y(b).$$

The support of  $x$ , written  $\text{Supp}(x)$ , is  $\{d \in D \mid x(d) \neq 0\}$ . We write  $\text{Coeff}(x)$  for the range of  $x$ . Note that although the semigroup  $D$  may have a zero,  $\alpha d = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of the ring  $R$ , we write  $[A]$  for the subring generated by the elements of  $A$ . As usual,  $x \circ y = x + y - xy$ . An element  $x$  of  $R$  is



right-quasi-invertible if there exists  $x'$  in  $R$  such that  $x \circ x' = 0$ , or equivalently where  $R$  has identity if and only if  $1 - x$  is right-invertible. Let  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$  (cf. McCoy [9], p. 112). Every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  has a unique right quasi-inverse  $x'$ , and  $x' \in \mathcal{J}(R)$ . By  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  we denote the prime or McCoy radical [9]. It is well known ([9], p. 70), that  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  is a nil ideal. By  $\mathcal{U}(R)$  we denote the upper nil radical, namely the union of all nil ideals in  $R$  and by  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  we denote the Levitzki radical, the union of all locally nilpotent ideals. The following relationships hold:

$$\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(R).$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $r$  be a nonzero element in  $RD$ . If  $r \circ x = 0$ , then  $r \circ y = 0$  for some  $y$  such that  $\text{Supp}(y) \subseteq \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $y$  by

$$y(d) = \begin{cases} x(d) & \text{if } d \in \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Case 1.*  $g \in \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $y(g) = x(g)$ . Let  $ab = g$ . If  $r(a) = 0$ , then  $r(a)x(b) = 0 = r(a)y(b)$ . If  $r(a) \neq 0$ , then  $a, ab \in \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ , whence  $b \in \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$  and  $y(b) = x(b)$ . Hence, in either case  $r(a)x(b) = r(a)y(b)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (r \circ y)(g) &= r(g) + y(g) + \sum_{ab=g} r(a)y(b) \\ &= r(g) + x(g) + \sum_{ab=g} r(a)x(b) = (r \circ x)(g) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.*  $g \notin \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $r(g) = y(g) = 0$ . Let  $ab = g$ . Then either  $a \notin \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$  or  $b \notin \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ , so  $r(a)y(b) = 0$ . Hence  $r \circ y(g) = 0$ .

The following corollary was observed for group algebras by Amitsur [2].

COROLLARY 3.2. *If both  $R$  and  $D$  have identity and  $rx = 1$  then  $ry = 1$  for some  $y$  such that  $\text{Supp}(y) \subseteq \langle\langle \text{Supp}(r) \rangle\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately by letting

$$r'' = 1 - r, \quad x'' = 1 - x \quad \text{since} \quad \langle\langle \text{Supp}(1 - z) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \text{Supp } z \rangle\rangle \\ \text{for all } z \in RD.$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $D$  be a  $\Gamma$  semigroup. Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $S$  a subring of  $R$ . If  $y \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$ , then there exists  $x \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$  such that*

- (i) *the quasi-inverse  $x'$  of  $x$  is in  $\mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $D$  has identity 1, then  $1 \notin \text{Supp}(x)$  and;*
- (iii)  $\text{Coeff}(x) = \text{Coeff}(y)$ .

*Proof.* If  $y = 0$ , then let  $x = 0$ . If  $y \neq 0$ , let  $A = \text{Supp}(y)$ . Clearly  $A \neq \phi$ , whence by Definition 2.4 there exists  $g$  in  $D$  such that, for every finite  $B \subseteq \langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$ , there exists  $n = n(B)$  for which  $(Ag)^n B \cap B = \phi$ .

Let  $x = yg$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$ . If  $D$  has identity 1, then  $1 \notin Ag = \text{Supp}(x)$ . Whether or not  $D$  has 1,  $\text{Coeff}(x) = \text{Coeff}(y)$ .

We have found an  $x \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$  satisfying (ii) and (iii). To complete the proof we must show that the unique right quasi-inverse  $x'$  of  $x$  lies in  $SD$ .

By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{Supp}(x') \subseteq \langle\langle \text{Supp}(x) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle Ag \rangle\rangle$ . Now  $x + x' - xx' = 0$ , whence  $x' = -x + xx'$ . Iterating we obtain,  $x' = -x - x^2 - \cdots - x^n + x^n x'$ . Now letting  $B = \text{Supp}(x')$  we see that for  $n = n(B)$  we have

$$(\text{Supp}(x))^n (\text{Supp}(x')) \cap \text{Supp}(x') = (Ag)^n B \cap B = \phi.$$

But  $\text{Supp}(x^n x') \subseteq (\text{Supp}(x))^n (\text{Supp}(x'))$ . Hence  $\text{Supp}(x^n x') \cap \text{Supp}(x') = \phi$ . Then if  $d \in \text{Supp}(x')$ ,  $x^n x'(d) = 0$ , whence  $x'(d) = (-x - \cdots - x^n)(d)$  and so  $\text{Coeff}(x') \subseteq [\text{Coeff}(x)] = [\text{Coeff}(y)] \subseteq S$ . Therefore  $x' \in SD$ .

LEMMA 3.4. *If the ring  $R$  with identity has no zero divisors and the semigroup  $D$  with identity is  $2\Omega$ , then all the units in  $RD$  have one point support (i.e., if  $x$  is a unit then  $|\text{Supp}(x)| = 1$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x, y \in RD$  and  $xy = 1$ . Let  $A = \text{Supp}(x)$ ,  $B = \text{Supp}(y)$ . If  $|A| > 1$ , then  $|A| + |B| \geq 3$ , so that there exists  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  such that  $ab \neq 1$  and  $ab$  is uniquely expressible with respect to  $A, B$ . Hence  $xy(ab) = x(a)y(b) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $|A| = 1$ .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $D$  be a semigroup and  $R$  a ring and let  $R^1$  be the canonical ring extension of  $R$  having an identity element. Then  $\mathcal{J}(R^1 D) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $RD$  is an ideal of  $R^1 D$  and hence ([9], p. 115)  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = RD \cap \mathcal{J}(R^1 D)$ .

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $D$  be a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup with 1, and let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{U}(R^1) = \{0\}$ , so by Lemma 3.5 we may assume  $R$  has an identity element. If  $\mathcal{J}(RD) \neq \{0\}$ , pick a nonzero  $w$  in  $\mathcal{J}(RD)$  of minimal support. Suppose  $w = \gamma_1 g_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n g_n$ .

Since  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  the ideal generated by  $\gamma_1$  is not a nil ideal, so putting  $y = \sum_i \sigma_i w \tau_i = \alpha_1 g_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n g_n$  with  $\sigma_i, \tau_i$  in  $R$ , we may assume  $\alpha_1$  is not nilpotent. Also  $\alpha_i y - y \alpha_i \in \mathcal{J}(RD)$  and has less support than  $y$ . Hence  $\alpha_i y - y \alpha_i = 0$ . Therefore  $\alpha_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j \alpha_i$  for all  $i, j$ , and hence  $S = [1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  is a commutative ring with identity and  $y \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$ . By Lemma 3.3,

there exists  $x \in \mathcal{J}(RD) \cap SD$  with (i)  $x' \in SD$ , (ii)  $1 \notin \text{Supp } x$ , and (iii)  $\alpha_1 \in \text{Coeff}(x)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is a nil ideal, and  $\alpha_1$  is not nilpotent, there exists a prime ideal  $P$  in  $S$  such that  $\alpha_1 \notin P$ . Let  $\bar{S} = S/P$  and let  $\bar{\alpha}$  denote the image of  $\alpha$  under the canonical homomorphism. The mapping taking  $z = \beta_1 d_1 + \cdots + \beta_n d_n$  into  $\bar{z} = \bar{\beta}_1 d_1 + \cdots + \bar{\beta}_n d_n$  is a homomorphism of  $SD$  onto  $\bar{S}D$ . Hence  $(1-x)(1-x') = 1$  implies  $(\bar{1}-\bar{x})(\bar{1}-\bar{x}') = \bar{1}$ . Now since  $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq 0$  and  $1 \notin \text{Supp } x$ ,  $\bar{1}-\bar{x}$  has at least a two-point support, contradicting Lemma 3.4, since  $\bar{S}$  is an integral domain. Hence  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = \{0\}$ .

*Remark.* If either  $R$  is commutative or  $R$  has no zero divisors, then our proof can be modified to show that the conclusion of Theorem 3.6 holds for all  $2\Omega$ -semigroups with 1.

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *If  $D$  is a  $2\Omega\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $R$  a ring, then  $\mathcal{J}(RD) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(R)D$ . Equality holds if  $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{U}(R)$ .*

*Proof.*  $RD/\mathcal{U}(R)D$  is isomorphic to  $(R/\mathcal{U}(R))D$  which has zero Jacobson radical by Theorem 3.6. Hence  $\mathcal{J}(RD) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(R)D$ . In general,  $\mathcal{L}(R)D \subseteq \mathcal{J}(RD)$ , for  $\mathcal{L}(R)D$  is a nil ideal in  $RD$ . Hence if  $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{U}(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = \mathcal{U}(R)D$ .

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *If  $D$  is a strict fully ordered semigroup with identity and with more than one element, then  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{J}(RD) = \{0\}$ ,*

*Proof.* Immediate by Theorem 2.22.

**COROLLARY 3.9.** (Amitsur [1])  *$\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{J}(R[t]) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.*  $R[t]$  is just the semigroup ring of  $R$  over the cyclic semigroup which is strictly ordered and hence the result follows by Corollary 3.8.

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *If  $G$  is an SN group with a normal system whose factors are Abelian torsion-free, then  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate by Theorem 2.23.

**COROLLARY (Bovdi [3]) 3.11.** *If  $G$  is an SN group with a normal system whose factors are Abelian torsion-free and  $R$  a ring without zero divisors, then  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *If  $G$  is a torsion-free Abelian group and  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

## 4. THE UPPER NILRADICAL OF GROUP RINGS

In this section, we shall show that, under very simple conditions, relating the ring  $R$  and orders of the elements of a group  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \{0\}$ . An element in a group  $G$  is a  $p$ -element if it is of order  $p^k$ , for some  $k > 0$ .

LEMMA 4.1. (Passman [10]): *Let  $S$  be a commutative ring, and let  $G$  be a group. Let  $q = p^k$ , where  $p$  is a prime. If  $x \in SG$ , and if  $\text{Supp } x$  contains no  $p$ -element, then  $x^q(1) = x(1)^q - p\beta$ , where  $\beta \in S$ .*

*Proof.* We observe that  $x^q(1) = \sum \{x(g_1) \cdots x(g_q) \mid g_1 g_2 \cdots g_q = 1\}$ . Now note that  $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_q = 1$  implies that any cyclic permutation  $g_{j+1} \cdots g_q g_1 \cdots g_j = 1$ . It is easily seen that the number of distinct cyclic permutations divides  $q$  and hence this number is either 1 or  $p^l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . In the first case, all  $g_i$  are equal, whence by our assumption on  $\text{Supp } x$ ,  $g_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , and  $x(g_1) \cdots x(g_q) = x(1)^q$ . In the second case, the sum of coefficients over all cyclic permutations of  $g_1, \dots, g_q$  is  $p^l x(g_1) \cdots x(g_q)$ . The lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $F$  be a field, let  $G$  be a group, and let  $x \in FG$ . If  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ , suppose that  $\text{Supp } x$  contains no  $p$ -element. If  $x$  is nilpotent, then  $x(1) = 0$ .*

(Remark: Note that if  $\text{char } F = 0$ ,  $\text{Supp } x$  may contain elements of any order.)

*Proof.* Case 1:  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ . Let  $q = p^k$ , and suppose  $q$  is sufficiently large so that  $x^q = 0$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $0 = x^q(1) = x(1)^q$  since  $p\beta = 0$ , whence  $x(1) = 0$ .

Case 2.  $\text{char } F = 0$ . Let  $Q$  be the rational field, and let  $K$  be the extension of  $Q$  given by  $K = Q(\{x(g) \mid g \in G\}) = Q(\{x(g) \mid g \in \text{Supp } x\})$ . Since  $K$  is finitely generated over  $Q$ , it follows from standard field-theoretic results ([12], Vol. I, Chap. 5; [13], Vol. I, Chap. 2) that  $K = K'(\beta)$  where  $K' = Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ , the  $\alpha_i$  are algebraically independent over  $Q$ , and  $\beta$  is algebraic over  $K'$ . Let  $I' = Z[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$  where  $Z$  denotes the rational integers, and let  $I$  be the integral closure of  $I'$  in  $K$ . Clearly  $K'$  is the quotient field of  $I'$  and hence, for each  $g \in G$  there is an  $\sigma \in I'$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$ , such that  $\sigma x(g) \in I$  ([12], Vol I, p. 78). Thus there is a nonzero  $\rho \in I'$  such that  $y = \rho x \in IG$ . If  $x$  is nilpotent, then so is  $y$ , and it is enough to prove that  $y(1) = 0$ .

Let  $y(1) = \tau$ , and let  $p$  be any prime larger than the order of every element of finite order in  $\text{Supp } y$ , and such that  $y^p = 0$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $0 = y^p(1) = \tau^p - p\beta$ , where  $\beta \in I$ , whence  $\tau^p = p\beta$ . For  $\eta \in K$ , denote by  $N(\eta)$  the norm of  $\eta$  in  $K$  over  $K'$ . Then since  $I'$  is a unique factorization domain and therefore integrally closed in its quotient field, it follows that  $N(\eta) \in I'$  whenever  $\eta \in I$

([13], Vol. I, pp. 260, 261). Hence  $N(\tau)^p = N(\tau^p) = N(p\beta) = N(p)N(\beta) = p^t N(\beta)$  where  $t$  is the degree of  $K$  over  $K'$ . Since  $I'$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in  $n$  indeterminates over  $Z$ , and  $p$  is prime in  $I'$ , it follows that  $p$  divides  $N(\tau)$  in  $I'$ . It follows that  $N(\tau)$  is divisible by an infinity of primes. Since  $I'$  is a unique factorization domain, we deduce that  $N(\tau) = 0$ . We conclude that  $y(1) = \tau = 0$ , whence also  $x(1) = 0$ .

DEFINITION 4.3. (i) An integer  $n$  is called *cancelable* in the ring  $R$  if, for  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $n\alpha = 0$  implies  $\alpha = 0$ .

(ii) A group  $G$  is *cancelable with respect to  $R$*  if and only if every integer  $n$  such that  $G$  has an element of order  $n$  is cancelable in  $R$ .

THEOREM 4.4. *Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $G$  a group. Suppose that  $G$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$ . If  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  then  $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  but  $\mathcal{U}(RG) \neq \{0\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem (3.6) we produce a commutative ring  $S$  such that  $x' = \alpha_1 g_1 + \dots + \alpha_n g_n \in \mathcal{U}(RG) \cap SG$  and such that  $\alpha_1$  is not nilpotent. Then  $x = x' g_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(RG) \cap SG$  and  $x(1)$  is not nilpotent. Since  $S$  is commutative,  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  consists of all nilpotent elements of  $S$ , and  $S/\mathcal{P}(S)$  is a subdirect sum of integral domains  $I_i : S/\mathcal{P}(S) \cong \sum_s I_i$ . ([9], pp. 70-72). Let  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  be the natural homomorphism of  $S$  onto  $S/\mathcal{P}(S)$ , and  $\bar{\alpha} \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_i$  the natural projection of  $S/\mathcal{P}(S)$  onto  $I_i$ , and let  $K_i$  be the quotient field of  $I_i$ . If  $\text{Supp } x$  has no element of prime power order, let  $n = 1$ ; otherwise let  $n$  be the product of all primes  $q$  such that, for some  $g \in \text{Supp } x$ ,  $g$  is a  $q$ -element. The product of cancelable integers is cancelable, and so by our assumption  $n$  is cancelable in  $R$  and therefore in  $S$ . Set  $\tau = x(1)$ . Since  $\tau$  is non-nilpotent, we have  $n\tau \notin \mathcal{P}(S)$ , and therefore there is an index  $j$  such that  $n\bar{\tau}_j \neq 0$ . If  $\text{char } K_j = 0$ , Lemma 4.2 applies to  $F = K_j$ . Suppose  $\text{char } K_j = p > 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , clearly  $\text{Supp } x$  contains no  $p$ -element. If  $n > 1$ , then  $p$  is prime to  $n$ , and again  $\text{Supp } x$  contains no  $p$ -element. Thus in every case, Lemma 4.2 applies to  $\bar{x}_j = \sum \overline{x(g)}_j g$ , which is nilpotent since  $\sum \overline{x(g)}_j g \rightarrow \sum \overline{x(g)}_j g$  is a homomorphism. Hence  $\bar{\tau}_j = 0$ , but this is a contradiction. We deduce that  $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \{0\}$ . The theorem is proved.

*Remark.* If a group  $G$  contains an element of order  $n$ , then  $G$  contains a  $p$ -element for every  $p$  dividing  $n$ . Hence Theorem 4.4 is unchanged if we merely suppose that all primes  $p$  for which  $G$  has a  $p$ -element are cancelable.

COROLLARY 4.5 (Passman [10]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring having no nonzero nilpotent elements. Suppose that  $\text{char } R = m \neq 0$  and that  $G$  has no  $p$ -elements for any prime  $p$  dividing  $m$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  has an element of order  $n$ , then the hypothesis implies that  $n$  and  $m$  are relatively prime. Hence  $kn + lm = 1$  for some integers  $k, l$ . Thus  $na = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . Thus  $n$  is cancelable and we can apply Theorem 4.4.

**COROLLARY 4.6** (Passman [10]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring without nonzero nilpotent elements. Suppose the additive group of  $R$  is torsion-free. Then, for any group  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* All integers are cancelable in  $R$ .

We conclude this paper with a theorem which improves a result of Connell [4].

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $G$  be an Abelian group with at least one element of infinite order. If  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$  and  $G$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $g$  is an element of infinite order in  $G$  and  $x$  is a nonzero element in  $\mathcal{J}(RG)$ . Let  $H = \langle\langle \text{Supp}(x) \cup \{g\} \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{J}(RG) \cap RH \subseteq \mathcal{J}(RH)$  by Connell [4], Eq. (24). We will show that  $\mathcal{J}(RH) = \{0\}$  and thereby obtain a contradiction.

The group  $H$  is finitely generated and contains an element of infinite order. Hence  $H = A \times B$  where  $A$  is a finite Abelian group and  $B$  is a torsion-free Abelian group. Since  $RH$  is isomorphic to  $(RA)B$  ([11], Theorem 1.4) it is enough to prove  $\mathcal{J}((RA)B) = \{0\}$ . Clearly  $A$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$ , and so by Theorem 4.4,  $\mathcal{U}(RA) = \{0\}$ . By Corollary 3.12,  $\mathcal{J}((RA)B) = \{0\}$ . This is the required contradiction, and hence  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .

**COROLLARY 4.8.** *Let  $R$  and  $G$  be commutative and suppose  $G$  has at least one element of infinite order. If  $\mathcal{P}(R) = \{0\}$  and  $G$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$  then  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is commutative,  $\mathcal{P}(R) = \mathcal{U}(R)$ .

Corollary 4.8 coincides with one direction of Connell [4], Theorem 6(ii).

**COROLLARY 4.9.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian group with at least one element of infinite order and suppose  $R$  is a ring with  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{0\}$ . Then  $RG$  is semiprimitive if and only if  $G$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is cancelable with respect to  $R$  then  $RG$  is semiprimitive by Theorem 4.7. Conversely,  $\mathcal{J}(RG) = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{P}(RG) = \{0\}$  and the rest of the proof coincides with lines 2–6 of Connell's Theorem 5 [4].

## REFERENCES

1. AMITSUR, S. A. An embedding of PI-rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 3 (1952), 3-9.
2. AMITSUR, S. A. On the semi-simplicity of group algebras. *Michigan Math. J.* 6 (1959), 251-253.
3. BOVDI, A. A. Group rings of torsion free groups (in Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* 1 (1960), 555-558.
4. CONNELL, I. G. On the group ring. *Canadian J. Math.* 15 (1963), 650-685.
5. FUCHS, L. "Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems." Addison-Wesley, Reading, Pennsylvania (1963).
6. HERSTEIN, I. N. "Theory of Rings." Mathematics, Lecture Notes, University of Chicago. Spring 1961.
7. KEMPERMAN, J. H. B. On complexes in a semigroup. *Indag. Math.* 18 (1956), 247-254.
8. KUROSHI, A. G. "The Theory of Groups." Vol. II. Chelsea, New York (1960).
9. MCCOY, N. H. "The Theory of Rings." Macmillan, New York (1964).
10. PASSMAN, D. S. Nil ideals in group rings. *Michigan Math. J.* 9 (1962), 375-384.
11. RUDIN, W. AND SCHNEIDER, H. Idempotents in group rings. *Duke Math. J.* 31 (1964), 585-602.
12. VAN DER WAERDEN, B. L. "Modern Algebra." Vols. I and II. Ungar, New York (1950).
13. ZARISKI, O. AND SAMUEL, P. "Commutative Algebra." Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey (1958).