The Bauer Fields of Values of a Matrix*

By

NICHOLAS NIRSCHL and HANS SCHNEIDER**

1. Introduction

Let V be a finite dimensional unitary vector space over the real or complex number field with inner product (y, x). If A is a linear transformation of V into itself the classical field of values F[A] of the transformation A is the set of all complex numbers (x, Ax), with (x, x) = 1. Here F[A] contains P[A], the convex hull of the eigenvalues of A (TOEPLITZ [10]), and is a convex set (HAUSDORFF [6]).

In 1952 W. GIVENS [4] showed that P[A] is the intersection of certain transforms of the field of values. More precisely, setting

$$F_H[A] = \{(x, HA x) : (x, Hx) = 1\},\$$

for a positive definite hermitian H, he proved the following:

Theorem A. $P[A] = \bigcap_{H} F_{H}[A]$, where the intersection is taken over all positive definite hermitian H.

Theorem B. There exists a positive definite hermitian H such that $F_H[A] = P[A]$ if and only if all the eigenvalues of A lying on the boundary of P[A] have simple elementary divisors.

Since the quadratic forms (x, x) and (x, Hx) are really the squares of vector norms, F[A] and $F_H[A]$ depend not only on the transformation A but also on these norms. Recently F. L. BAUER [2], generalized the concept of field of values for norms not necessarily related to an inner product. Independently G. LUMER [9] has made a closely related generalization for operators on a general Banach space. It is the purpose of this paper to extend to Bauer fields of values the results of GIVENS.

2. Bauer Fields of Values

A vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be *weakly homogeneous* if $\|\gamma x\| = \gamma \|x\|$ is required only of scalars $\gamma \ge 0$, and *strictly homogeneous* if $\|\gamma x\| = |\gamma| \|x\|$ is required of all complex scalars γ . In this paper we shall be interested only in strictly homogeneous vector norms.

If $\|\cdot\|$ is any vector norm the dual vector norm $\|\cdot\|'$ is defined by

(1)
$$||y||' = \sup_{x \neq 0} |(y, x)|.$$

Then we immediately have the inequality

(2)
$$|(y, x)| \leq ||y||' ||x||, \text{ all } x, y \in V.$$

Numer. Math. Bd. 6

^{*} TO ALSTON S. HOUSEHOLDER on his sixtieth birthday.

^{**} This research was partly supported by the National Science Foundation, under grant NSF GP-2273 and by the Mathematics Research Center, United States Army, Madison, Wisconsin, under Contract No.: DA-11-022-ORD-2059.

Since in a finite dimensional vector space the unit sphere is compact, for every non-zero $x \in V$ there exists $y \in V$ such that

(3)
$$(y, x) = \|y\|' \|x\| = 1.$$

Definition. If x and y satisfy (3) we say they are *dual vectors* and write $y \| x$.

We should point out that the definition of a dual norm usually used by HOUSEHOLDER [8], BAUER [2], and others would have |(y, x)| replaced by $\operatorname{Re}(y, x)$ in (1). The latter definition is natural when *n*-dimensional complex space is considered as 2n-dimensional real space. Also, BAUER's definition of dual vectors would have (y, x) replaced by $\operatorname{Re}(y, x)$ in (3). He calls vectors satisfying (3) strictly dual vectors. However, since in the case of a strictly homogeneous vector norm (as he points out in [2]), dual vectors are also strictly dual, we shall not make the distinction.

Remark. As the notation y || x suggests, the duality relation generalizes the concept of parallel vectors. We give a geometric interpretation for real spaces, which is well known, but which is included because later in paragraph 4 we have an application to smooth bodies. Let K be the convex body belonging to the norm $|| \cdot ||$, K' the convex body belonging to the dual norm, and let x be on the boundary of K. If S is any support plane of K at x, let y be the vector on the boundary of K' orthogonal to S (i.e. (y, u - x) = 0 if $u \in S$), on the same side of the origin as S. Then y || x. For proof simply note that $(y, z) \leq (y, x)$ for all $z \in K$, and (y, z) = 1 for some $z \in K$. Hence (y, x) = 1. It is easy to see that every y dual to x is given by this



construction, and of course x is also orthogonal to the support plane S' of K' at y.

Suppose $y \| x$. If we set $y_0 = \frac{1}{\|y\|'} y$ and $x_0 = \frac{1}{\|x\|} x$ we still have $y_0 \| x_0$, with y_0 and x_0 boundary vectors of K' and K. Since our principal concern will be with complex numbers of the form (y, Ax) and since $(y_0, Ax) = \frac{(y, Ax)}{\|y\|'\|x\|} = (y, Ax)$, we may restrict ourselves to dual pairs lying on the boundaries of K and K' whenever this is convenient. In this case $\|y\|' = \|x\| = 1$.

Definition. The *Bauer field of values* of a linear transformation A with respect to a norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$F[A, \|\cdot\|] = \{(y, A x) : y \|x\}.$$

Remark. It is interesting to note that $F[A, \|\cdot\|]$ depends only on the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the matrix A, and not on the inner product defined on V. For suppose $\pi(y, x)$ is any other unitary inner product defined on V. Then it is well known that $\pi(y, x) = (y, Hx) = (Hy, x)$ for some positive definite hermitian H. Denote by $\|\cdot\|^{n}$ the norm dual to $\|\cdot\|$ with respect to $\pi(y, x)$ and by $y\|^{n}x$ a pair of vectors dual with respect to $\pi(y, x)$. Since

$$\|y\|^{\pi} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|\pi(y, x)|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(y, Hx)|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(Hy, x)|}{\|x\|} = \|Hy\|',$$

it follows that $y \|^n x$ if and only if $Hy \| x$. Let *D* be the set of all vector pairs $y \neq 0$, $x \neq 0$ such that $y \| x$, and let D_n be the set of all vector pairs $y \neq 0$, $x \neq 0$ such that $y \|^n x$. We have

$$\bigcup_{D_{\pi}} \frac{\pi(y, Ax)}{\|y\|^{\pi} \|x\|} = \bigcup_{D_{\pi}} \frac{(Hy, Ax)}{\|Hy\|' \|x\|} = \bigcup_{D} \frac{(y, Ax)}{\|y\|' \|x\|},$$

From this it now follows that $F[A, \|\cdot\|]$ is independent of the unitary inner product defined on V.

It will be convenient for us throughout the rest of this paper to assume that V is an *n*-tuple space with inner product $(y, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{y}_i x_i$. Thus once and for all we pick out a preferred basis $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and a preferred inner product. Instead of linear transformations we may consider matrices.

As BAUER pointed out in [2], $F[A, \|\cdot\|]$ is not necessarily convex. We give an example when it is not. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i, & -1 \\ 1, & 1-i \end{bmatrix},$$

and let us choose the norm $||x||_{\infty} = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)$, with dual norm $||y||_1 = |y_1| + |y_2|$ (e.g. HOUSEHOLDER [8]). It is easy to show (cf. Theorem 6), that $F[A, ||\cdot||_{\infty}]$ contains i and -i but no other point on the imaginary axis.

However, $F[A, \|\cdot\|]$ does always contain the eigenvalues of A. To show this, let λ be any eigenvalue of A, and let x be any right eigenvector corresponding to it. We can choose y so that $y \| x$ and write $(y, A x) = (y, \lambda x) = \lambda(y, x) = \lambda$. Hence if we denote the convex closure of $F[A, \|\cdot\|]$ by $F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|]$ we can say that $P[A] \leq F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|]$.

3. The Givens Field of Values as a Special Case of the Bauer Field of Values

In [7] HOUSEHOLDER pointed out that if $\|\cdot\|$ is any vector norm and G is any non-singular matrix, then

$$\|x\|_G = \|Gx\|$$

is also a vector norm called the *G-transform* of $\|\cdot\|$. He pointed out further that if $\|\cdot\|'$ is dual to $\|\cdot\|$ then

(5)
$$\|y\|_G' = \|G^{-1*}y\|'$$

is dual to $\|\cdot\|_G$. This follows from the definition of a dual (1) and the fact that for non-singular G the sup over all $x \neq 0$ is the same as the sup over all $Gx \neq 0$. For

$$\|y\|_{G}' = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(y, x)|}{\|x\|_{G}} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(y, x)|}{\|G x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(y, G^{-1}G x)|}{\|G x\|}$$
$$= \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(G^{-1}*y, G x)|}{\|G x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(G^{-1}*y, x)|}{\|x\|} = \|G^{-1}*y\|'.$$

We shall need the following lemmas for the proof of theorem 1.

Lemma 1. The relation $y \| x$ with respect to $\| \cdot \|_G$ is equivalent to $G^{-1*} y \| G x$ with respect to $\| \cdot \|$.

Proof. We have y || x with respect to $|| \cdot ||_G$ if and only if $(y, x) = ||y||_G' ||x||_G = 1$. But from (4) and (5) this is equivalent to $(G^{-1*}y, Gx) = (y, G^{-1}Gx) = ||G^{-1*}y||' ||Gx|| = 1$, which is necessary and sufficient for $G^{-1*}y ||Gx|$ with respect to $|| \cdot ||$.

Lemma 2. If $C = GAG^{-1}$ then $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] = F[C, \|\cdot\|]$.

Proof. Let $\varrho \in F[A, \|\cdot\|_G]$. Then $\varrho = (y, Ax)$, where $y \|x$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_G$. Let $\xi = Gx$ and $\eta = G^{-1*}y$. By lemma 1, $\eta \|\xi$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Hence

 $\varrho = (y, G^{-1}GAG^{-1}Gx) = (\eta, GAG^{-1}\xi) = (\eta, C\xi) \in F[C, \|\cdot\|],$

so that $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] \leq F[C, \|\cdot\|]$. The reverse inclusion follows in the same way.

Now we recall two well known facts. First, the Euclidean vector norm $||x|| = (x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is self dual, that is, $||\cdot|| = ||\cdot||'$. In this case y ||x| if and only if $y = \frac{x}{||x||^2}$. Next, a hermitian matrix H is positive definite if and only if there exists a non-singular matrix G such that $H = G^*G$.

Theorem 1. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the Euclidean vector norm and $H=G^*G$ be any positive definite hermitian matrix. Then $F_H[A]=F[A,\|\cdot\|_G]$.

Proof. By definition $F_H[A] = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(x, HAx)}{(x, Hx)}$. Set $\xi = Gx$. Since G is nonsingular, x ranging over all non-zero vectors is the same as ξ ranging over all non-zero vectors. Hence using Lemma 2 and the fact that the Euclidean norm is self dual we can write

$$\begin{split} F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] &= F[GAG^{-1}, \|\cdot\|] = \bigcup_D \frac{(y, GAG^{-1}x)}{\|y\|'\|x\|} \\ &= \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(x, GAG^{-1}x)}{\|x\|^2} = \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{(\xi, GAG^{-1}\xi)}{\|\xi\|^2} = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(Gx, GAG^{-1}Gx)}{\|Gx\|^2} \\ &= \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(x, G^*GAx)}{(Gx, Gx)} = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \frac{(x, HAx)}{(x, Hx)} = F_H[A]. \end{split}$$

It follows from this theorem that the Givens field of values is a special case of the Bauer field of values.

4. Absolute Norms and B-Norms

A vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be *absolute* if it depends only on the moduli of the components of the vector, that is, if $\|x\| = \|x_a\|$ for all x, where $x_a = (|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_n|)$. It is clear that all Hölder norms

(6)
$$\begin{cases} \|x\|_{p} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p}\right]^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{i} |x_{i}| \end{cases}$$

are absolute. It is also clear that all absolute vector norms are strictly homogeneous. Geometrically an absolute norm is one whose associated convex body is symmetric about the coordinate axes. A vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be *monotonic* if for any two vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$, with $|x_i| \leq |z_i|$ for all *i*, the inequality $||x|| \leq ||z||$ holds.

These concepts are due to BAUER, STOER, and WITZGALL [1]. In that paper they showed, among other things:

- (7) an absolute vector norm is monotonic and vice versa;
- (8) the dual of an absolute vector norm is again absolute.

The following two lemmas exhibit important geometric properties of absolute vector norms.

Lemma 3. If $y \| x$ with respect to an absolute vector norm $\| \cdot \|$, then for every component $\overline{y}_i x_i \ge 0$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then we have $1 = (y, x) = \sum_{i} \overline{y}_{i} x_{i} = \sum_{i} \delta_{i} e^{i\vartheta_{i}}$, with $\delta_{i} \ge 0$ and $\vartheta_{i} \ne 0$ for at least two indices *i* for which $\delta_{i} \ne 0$. It follows that $\sum_{i} \delta_{i} > 1$. Call $D = \text{diag}(e^{i\vartheta_{1}}, e^{i\vartheta_{2}}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_{n}})$, and z = Dy. By the inequality (2) we have

(9)
$$||z||' ||x|| \ge |(z, x)| = \sum_{i} \delta_{i} > 1 = ||y||' ||x||.$$

But by (8), $\|z\|' = \|y\|'$, and hence the strict inequality in (9) yields a contradiction.

Lemma 4. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any absolute vector norm and $y \|x$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. If for some component *i* there exists a vector *z* such that $|z_i| > |y_i|$, $|z_k| = |y_k|$ $k \neq i$, and $\|z\|' = \|y\|'$ then $x_i = 0$.

Proof. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is absolute it is no restriction to assume that the arguments of the components of z are such that $\bar{z}_k x_k \ge 0$ for all k. By lemma 3, $\bar{y}_i x_i \ge 0$. Hence if $x_i \ne 0$ we have $\bar{z}_i x_i > \bar{y}_i x_i \ge 0$. But then $1 = (y, x) < (z, x) \le ||z||' ||x|| = ||y||' ||x||$, contradicting the fact that y ||x|. Therefore $x_i = 0$ and the lemma is proved.

A coordinate subspace V' of V is a subspace spanned by a subset of the set of n unit vectors $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0), ..., e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1)$. Clearly each coordinate subspace V' has a unique complementary coordinate subspace V''. If $x \in V$, we shall decompose $x = x' \oplus x''$, where $x' \in V'$ and $x'' \in V''$.

Definition. A vector pair y', x' is defined to be *embeddable* with respect to a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ if y' and x' are projections into some coordinate subspace of a pair of vectors dual with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

More precisely, y', x' is embeddable with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ if there exists a pair y'', x'' such that $y' \oplus y'' \|x' \oplus x''$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. It is clear that the pair y'', x'' would also be embeddable. For a given vector norm we denote by $E(\|\cdot\|)$ the set of all embeddable vector pairs. Note that for $y', x' \in E(\|\cdot\|)$, $(y', x') \ge 0$ by lemma 3.

Definition. A *B*-norm is defined to be any absolute vector norm with the property

(B) there exists a bound M such that for all $y', x' \in E(\|\cdot\|)$ we have $\|y'\|'\|x'\| \le M(y', x')$.

Intuitively, $y \| x$ if y is "parallel" to x. Thus in the case of a B-norm, the projections of "parallel" vectors on coordinate subspaces are bounded away

from orthogonality. It should be noted that the restriction to coordinate subspaces is essential here. For if dim $V \ge 3$, then an easy continuity argument shows that given any two non-zero vectors x, y with $x \ne y$, there exists a pair of orthogonal subspaces V', V'' with dim V'=2 such that the projections x', y' of x, y on V' along V'' are non-zero and orthogonal.

The following lemma is crucial in the proof of theorem 5 and exhibits an essential property of B-norms.

Lemma 5. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any *B*-norm. If $y', x' \in E(\|\cdot\|)$ and (y', x') = 0, then either y'=0 or x'=0.

Proof. Suppose not. Then both ||y'||' > 0 and ||x'|| > 0. Hence $0 < ||y'||' ||x'|| \le M(y', x') = 0$, a contradiction.

Remark. Suppose the norm bodies K and K' are smooth, i.e., at each boundary point there is a unique support plane. (If V is complex *n*-space we treat V as 2*n*-dimensional real space.) It follows from a remark in paragraph 1 that the support plane at x is defined by (y, x) = 1, where y is the unique y such that y || x. A theorem (BUSEMANN [3], p. 6) asserts that y depends continuously on x. In this case we can replace (B) by an apparently weaker property:

(B') there exists a bound M such that for all y', $x' \in E(\|\cdot\|)$ with (y', x') > 0, we have $\|y'\|' \|x'\| \le M(y', x')$.

To show that under our assumptions on K and K', (B') implies (B), let y', $x' \in E(\|\cdot\|)$ with (y', x') = 0. We must show that $\|y'\|'\|x'\| = 0$. If y' = 0 there is nothing to prove. So suppose $y' \neq 0$. There exists *i* such that $x'_i = 0$ and $y'_i \neq 0$. We can find x(t) depending continuously on *t* such that x(0) = x, the vector of which x' is a projection, and such that $x(t)_i \neq 0$ if $t \neq 0$. Let $y(t)\|x(t)$. For sufficiently small t, $y(t)_i \neq 0$ by continuity. Hence for $t \neq 0$, (y(t)', x(t)') > 0. Thus by property (B') $\|y(t)'\|'\|x(t)'\| \leq M(y(t)', x(t)')$. Hence by continuity at t=0, $\|y'\|'\|x'\|=0$.

We now show that all Hölder norms (6) are *B*-norms, and in fact have bound M=1. It is well known that the norm dual to the Hölder norm $||x||_1$ is the norm $||y||_{\infty} = \max |y_i|$, and for p > 1 the norm dual to $||x||_p$ is $||y||_q$, where 1/p + 1/q = 1.

Theorem 2. Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ be any Hölder norm. If $y', x' \in E(\|\cdot\|_p)$ then $\|y'\|_q \|x'\|_p = (y', x')$.

Proof. Denote by *I* the subset of components defining the coordinate subspace containing y' and x'. Let y || x with respect to $|| \cdot ||_p$ and suppose, as we may, $||y||_q = ||x||_p = 1$.

(i) Let p > 1.

By the definition of duality (3) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{y}_{i} x_{i} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|^{q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}.$$

By the Hölder inequality for complex numbers (see for example, HARDY, POLYA, and LITTLEWOOD [5], p. 26) this implies that $|y_i|^q$ and $|x_i|^p$ are proportional. From $||y||^q = ||x||^p = 1$ it easily follows that

$$|y_i|^q = |x_i|^p.$$

Since $\frac{q}{p} + 1 = q$, and since by lemma 3, $\overline{y}_i x_i \ge 0$ we can write

(11)
$$\overline{y}_i x_i = |\overline{y}_i x_i| = |y_i| |x_i| = |y_i| |y_i|^{q/p} = |y_i|^q$$

From (10) and (11) we obtain

$$(y', x') = \sum_{i \in I} \bar{y}_i x_i = \left(\sum_{i \in I} |y_i|^q\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i \in I} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} = \|y'\|' \|x'\|,$$

and the lemma is proved for p > 1. (ii) Let p = 1 and $q = \infty$.

First we note that if for some i, $|y_i| < 1$, then $x_i=0$. For proof, note that we can define a vector z to be the same as y except that $|z_i| = 1$. Then since $|z|_{\infty} = ||y||_{\infty} = 1$ the hypothesis for lemma 4 is satisfied and $x_i=0$.

Thus for any $y', x' \in E(\|\cdot\|_1)$, for all $i \in I$ such that $x_i \neq 0$ we have $|y_i| = 1$. Because of this and the fact that by lemma $3 \ \overline{y}_i x_i \geq 0$ we can write

$$\|y'\|_{\infty} \|x'\|_{1} = (\max_{i \in I} |y_{i}|) (\sum_{i \in I} |x_{i}|) = \sum_{i \in I} |y_{i}| |x_{i}| = \sum_{i \in I} \bar{y}_{i} x_{i} = (y', x').$$

The theorem is proved.

To show that the class of *B*-norms is a proper sub-class of the class of absolute norms we give an example of an absolute norm which is not a *B*-norm. Let *V* be real 3-space and let $||x|| = \max(|x_1| + |x_2|, |x_3|)$. Then the dual norm is $||y||' = (\max(|y_1|, |y_2|)) + |y_3|$. Choose x = (1, 0, 1) and y = (1, 1, 0). Then y||x. If $x' = (0, x_2, x_3)$ then (y', x') = 0 but ||y'||' ||x'|| = 1.

We point out that the convex body associated with the absolute norm in the previous example is not smooth.

5. Extension of Givens' Results to Bauer Fields of Values

Denote by $P[A] + I_{\varepsilon}$ the set of all points of the complex plane whose distance from some point of P[A] is no greater than ε . The following theorem extends theorem A to all absolute vector norms.

Theorem 3. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any absolute vector norm. Then $P[A] = \bigcap_{G} F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|_{G}]$, the intersection being taken over all non-singular G.

Proof. If we show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a non-singular G such that $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] \leq P[A] + I_{\varepsilon}$ the theorem will then follow.

Let Q be the non-singular matrix such that $QAQ^{-1} = A + U$, the Jordan canonical form of A. Here A is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the eigenvalues λ_i of A, and U is a matrix with 1's or 0's on the first super diagonal and 0's elsewhere. Since the norm bodies K and K' are bounded, there exists a positive number b such that for all x, y satisfying y || x with respect to $|| \cdot ||$ we have $|\bar{y}_i x_{i+1}| \leq b$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Define $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ such that $d_i > 0$ for all i and $\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(n-1)b}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Set G = DQ. By lemma 2, $F[A, || \cdot ||_c] = F[DQAQ^{-1}D^{-1}, || \cdot ||] = F[D(A + U)D^{-1}, || \cdot ||]$. For

By lemma 2, $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] = F[D(QAQ^{-1}D^{-1}, \|\cdot\|] = F[D(A+U)D^{-1}, \|\cdot\|]$. For any $\varrho \in F[D(A+U)D^{-1}, \|\cdot\|]$ we can write $\varrho = (y, D(A+U)D^{-1}x) = (y, Ax) + (y, DUD^{-1}x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{y}_i x_i \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \overline{y}_i x_{i+1} \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}$. By lemma 3 and the definition of duality (3) it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{y}_{i} x_{i} \lambda_{i}$ is a convex combination of the eigenvalues of A and hence an element of P[A]. And from the definition of D it follows that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \overline{y}_i \, x_{i+1} \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}\right| \leq b \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}} \leq \frac{(n-1) \, b \, \varepsilon}{(n-1) \, b} = \varepsilon \, .$$

Hence $\varrho \in P[A] + \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. This completes the proof.

Although the necessity part of theorem B holds for all strictly homogeneous vector norms, we have been able to extend the sufficiency part only to B-norms. We show these results in the following two theorems.

Theorem 4. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any strictly homogeneous vector norm. If $F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|] = P[A]$, then the elementary divisors corresponding to all eigenvalues lying on the boundary of P[A] must be simple.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue on the boundary of P[A] to which there corresponds a non-simple elementary divisor. We shall construct $\varrho \in F^{\circ}[A, \|\cdot\|]$ such that $\varrho \in P[A]$. It follows from the structure of the Jordan form of A that there exist two linearly independent vectors z' and z'' such that

(12)
$$Az'' = \lambda z''$$
 and $Az' = \lambda z' + z''$.

Let ϑ be a direction normal to P[A] at λ , i.e., perpendicular to a support line of P[A]. Set $z = \delta e^{i\vartheta} z' + z''$. As $\delta \to 0$, $z \to z''$, and since the norm is a continuous function $\|z\| \to \|z''\|$. We choose $\delta > 0$ small enough so that

(13)
$$||z''|| \leq 2||z||$$
, and $\delta < \frac{||z''||}{||z'||}$.

It follows from (12) that

$$(14) A z = \lambda z + \delta e^{i\vartheta} z''$$

Set $x = \frac{1}{\|z\|} z$, and let $y \|x$. Then $\|y\|' = 1$ since $\|x\| = 1$. We have $1 = (y, x) = \frac{1}{\|z\|} (y, z) = \frac{1}{\|z\|} (y, \delta e^{i\vartheta} z' + z'')$ $= \frac{\delta e^{i\vartheta}}{\|z\|} (y, z') + \frac{1}{\|z\|} (y, z'')$, or $\frac{1}{\|z\|} (y, z'') = 1 - \frac{\delta e^{i\vartheta}}{\|z\|} (y, z')$.

From (2), (13), and the fact that ||y||'=1 it follows that

$$\frac{\delta e^{i\vartheta}(y,z')}{\|z\|} = \frac{\delta}{\|z\|} |(y,z')| \le \delta \frac{\|z'\|}{\|z\|} \le \frac{2\delta \|z'\|}{\|z''\|} < \frac{2\|z''\| \|z''\|}{2\|z''\| \|z''\|} = 1.$$

Hence we can write

(15)
$$\frac{1}{\|z\|}(y,z'') = 1 - \varepsilon e^{i\varphi}, \qquad 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1, \qquad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi.$$

Now $\rho = (y, A x) \in F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|]$. But by (14) and (15)

$$\begin{split} \varrho &= \frac{1}{\|z\|} \left(y, A \, z \right) = \frac{1}{\|z\|} \left(y, \lambda z + \delta e^{i\vartheta} z^{\prime \prime} \right) = \lambda + \frac{\delta e^{i\vartheta}}{\|z\|} \left(y, z^{\prime \prime} \right) \\ &= \lambda + \delta e^{i\vartheta} (1 - \varepsilon e^{i\varphi}) = \lambda + \delta e^{i\vartheta} - \delta \varepsilon e^{i(\vartheta + \varphi)}. \end{split}$$

But since $\delta \varepsilon < \delta$ and since ϑ is a direction normal to P[A] it follows that ϱ must lie outside P[A].

Theorem 5. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any *B*-norm. If *A* is any matrix whose eigenvalues lying on the boundary of P[A] all have simple elementary divisors, then there exists a non-singular matrix *G* such that $F^{e}[A, \|\cdot\|_{G}] = P[A]$.

Proof. It is well known that by means of a similarity transformation any matrix can be put into a form like the Jordan canonical form, but with the elements on the super diagonal arbitrarily small. That is, there exists a non-singular matrix G such that

(16)
$$GAG^{-1} = D_0 \bigoplus \sum_r \bigoplus (D_r + U_r).$$

Here D_0 is a diagonal matrix made up of the eigenvalues of A with simple elementary divisors, $D_r = \lambda_r I_r$, where λ_r is an eigenvalue of A with non-simple elementary divisors, and U_r is a matrix with non-zero elements only in the first super diagonal.

Let $||U_r|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||U_r x||}{||x||}$. Call δ the minimum distance from the interior eigenvalues to the boundary of P[A]. Let M be the bound associated with $||\cdot||$ by property (B). Since G can be chosen so that the elements of the matrices U_r are arbitrarily small, and since the norm of a matrix is a continuous function of its elements, we can choose G so that $||U_r|| \leq \frac{\delta}{M}$ for all r. Set $C = GAG^{-1}$.

Now let ϱ be any element of $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] = F[C, \|\cdot\|]$. We partition the vector space V according to the orders of the direct sum in (16). Counting multiplicities, suppose A has k eigenvalues with simple elementary divisors, and m eigenvalues with non-simple elementary divisors. We can write

(17)
$$\varrho = \left(y, \left[D_0 \bigoplus_{r=1}^m \bigoplus (D_r + U_r) \right] x \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{y}_i x_i \lambda_i + \sum_{r=1}^m \left(y_r \left[D_r + U_r \right] x_r \right).$$

The y_i and x_i of the first summation are components of y and x, and the y_r and x_r of the second summation are projections of y and x into the coordinate subspaces of V defined by the partition. Hence $y_r, x_r \in E(\|\cdot\|)$. Each of the summands of the second summation of (17) can be written

(18)
$$(y_r, [D_r + U_r] x_r) = (y_r, x_r) \lambda_r + (y_r, U_r x_r).$$

If for some r, $(y_r, x_r) = 0$, then by lemma 5 either $y_r = 0$ or $x_r = 0$, and hence $(y_r, U_r x_r) = 0$.

For all r such that $(y_r, x_r) \neq 0$ we can express (18) as

(19)
$$(y_r, [D_r + U_r] x_r) = (y_r, x_r) (\lambda_r + \varrho_r), \text{ where } \varrho_r = \frac{(y_r, U_r x_r)}{(y_r, x_r)}.$$

But from (2), the definition of $||U_r||$, and property (B) we have

$$|\varrho_{r}| = \frac{|(y_{r}, U_{r} x_{r})|}{(y_{r}, x_{r})} \leq \frac{||y_{r}||' ||U_{r} x_{r}||}{(y_{r}, x_{r})} \leq \frac{||y_{r}||' ||x_{r}|| ||U_{r}||}{(y_{r}, x_{r})} \leq M ||U_{r}|| \leq \delta$$

Hence $\lambda_r + \varrho_r \in P[A]$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{y}_i x_i + \sum_{r=1}^{m} (y_r, x_r) = (y, x) = 1$ it follows from (17) and (19) that ϱ is a convex combination of elements of P[A]. Hence $F[A, \|\cdot\|_G] \leq P[A]$. And since $P[A] \leq F^c[A, \|\cdot\|_G]$ it follows that $F^c[A, \|\cdot\|_G] = P[A]$.

6. The Gerschgorin Circles: Important Special Cases

For the dual Hölder norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the Bauer fields of values become important special cases. For any matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ let $R_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j=1}}^n |a_{ij}|$ and $C_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j=1}}^n |a_{ji}|$.

Let $H_i = \{z: |a_{ii} - z| \leq R_i\}$, $H'_i = \{z: |a_{ii} - z| \leq C_i\}$ and define $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ and $H' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H'_i$. The circular regions H_i and H'_i are respectively the Gerschgorin row circles and column circles of the matrix A. It is well known that the convex hulls H^c and H'^c both contain P[A].

Theorem 6. $F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}] = H^{c}$ and $F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|_{1}] = H^{\prime c}$.

Proof. We shall prove the first statement of the theorem; the proof of the second follows in an analogous manner. Let $\varrho \in F[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}]$. Then

$$\varrho = \sum_{i,j} \bar{y}_i a_{ij} x_j = \sum_i \left(\bar{y}_i x_i a_{ii} + \bar{y}_i \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j \right).$$

Just as in part (ii) of the proof of theorem 2 it follows from lemma 4 that if $\bar{y}_i \neq 0$ then $|x_i| = 1$. Hence we can write

$$\varrho = \sum_{i} \left[\overline{y}_{i} x_{i} \left(a_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} \right) \right] = \sum_{i} \overline{y}_{i} x_{i} (a_{ii} + \varrho_{i}),$$

where $|\varrho_i| \leq R_i$. It follows that $a_{ii} + \varrho_i \in H_i$ and hence $\varrho \in H^c$. Since $F^c[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}]$ is the smallest convex set containing $F[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}]$ it follows that $F^c[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}] \leq H^c$.

Next let $\varrho \in H$. Then for some i, $\varrho = a_{ii} + re^{i\vartheta}$, where $r \leq R_i$. Suppose $a_{ij} = |a_{ij}| e^{i\varphi_j}$, $j \neq i$. Let x be the vector with $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = \frac{\gamma}{R_i} e^{-i(\varphi_j - \vartheta)}$, $j \neq i$. Let y be the vector with $y_i = 1$ and $y_j = 0$, $j \neq i$. Then $y \parallel x$ with respect to $\parallel \cdot \parallel_{\infty}$ and

$$(y, Ax) = a_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j = a_{ii} + \frac{r}{R_i} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| e^{i\vartheta} = a_{ii} + r e^{i\vartheta} = \varrho.$$

Hence $H \leq F[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}]$, which implies $H^{c} \leq F^{c}[A, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}]$. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] BAUER, F. L., J. STOER, and C. WITZGALL: Absolute and Monotonic Norms. Numer. Math. 3, 257-264 (1961).
- [2] On the Field of Values Subordinate to a Norm. Numer. Math. 4, 103-111 (1962).
- [3] BUSEMANN, H.: Convex Surfaces. New York: Interscience Publishers 1958.
- [4] GIVENS, W.: Fields of Values of a Matrix. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, 206-209 (1952).
- [5] HARDY, G. E., J. E. LITTLEWOOD, and G. POLYA: Inequalities, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1959.

- [6] HAUSDORFF, F.: Der Wertevorrat einer Bilinearform. Math. Z. 3, 314-316 (1919).
- [7] HOUSEHOLDER, A. S.: The Approximate Solution of Matrix Problems. J. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 5, 205-243 (1958).
- [8] The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis. New York: Blaisdell Publishing Co. 1964.
- [9] LUMER, G.: Semi-inner-product Spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100, 29-43 (1961).
- [10] TOEPLITZ, O.: Das Algebraische Analogon zu einem Satz von FEJER. Math. Z.
 2, 187-197 (1918).

Mathematics Department University of California Santa Barbara, California/USA and St. Norbert College West De Pere, Wisconsin/USA

(Received April 13, 1964)