

## PAIRS OF MATRICES WITH A NON-ZERO COMMUTATOR

BY L. S. GODDARD AND H. SCHNEIDER

Received 21 January 1955

1. This note takes its origin in a remark by Brauer(1) and Perfect(5): Let  $A$  be a square complex matrix of order  $n$  whose characteristic roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . If  $\mathbf{x}_1$  is a characteristic column vector with associated root  $\alpha_1$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  is any row vector, then the characteristic roots of  $A + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{k}$  are  $\alpha_1 + \mathbf{kx}_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Recently, Goddard(2) extended this result as follows: If  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r$  are linearly independent characteristic column vectors associated with the characteristic roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  of the matrix  $A$ , whose elements lie in any algebraically closed field, then any characteristic root of  $A + KX$  is also a characteristic root of  $A + XK$ , where  $K$  is an arbitrary  $r \times n$  matrix,  $X = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r)$  and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ . We shall prove some theorems of which these and other well-known results are special cases.

2. Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field. By  $f(x, y)$  we shall denote a polynomial, with coefficients in  $F$ , in two non-commutative indeterminates  $x$  and  $y$ , and we shall set  $f_0(x) = f(x, 0)$ . By  $F_n$  we shall mean the ring of square matrices of order  $n$  with elements in  $F$ . In order to avoid exceptional cases, we adopt the convention that the characteristic polynomial of the empty matrix (the  $0 \times 0$  matrix) is unity.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices in  $F_n$  and  $F_m$  respectively. By a commutator from  $A$  to  $B$  we shall mean an  $n \times m$  matrix  $X$ , with elements in  $F$ , satisfying  $AX = XB$ . If  $X$  is a commutator from  $A$  to  $B$  then  $X$  also satisfies  $f(A, XK)X = Xf(B, KX)$ , where  $K$  is any  $m \times n$  matrix with elements in  $F$ . We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices in  $F_n$  and  $F_m$  respectively and let  $X$  be a commutator from  $A$  to  $B$  of rank  $r$ . Then, for any polynomial  $f(x, y)$  and any  $m \times n$  matrix  $K$ , with elements in  $F$ ,*

$$|\lambda I_n - f(A, XK)| = \theta(\lambda) p(\lambda),$$

$$|\lambda I_m - f(B, KX)| = \theta(\lambda) q(\lambda),$$

where (i)  $\theta(\lambda), p(\lambda), q(\lambda)$  are polynomials in  $F[\lambda]$  of degrees  $r, n-r, m-r$ , respectively, (ii)  $p(\lambda), q(\lambda)$ , are independent of  $K$  and are therefore factors of the characteristic polynomials of  $f_0(A)$  and  $f_0(B)$  respectively.

*Proof.* If  $r = 0$  the result is trivial. If  $r = \min(n, m)$  then either  $p(\lambda)$  or  $q(\lambda)$  is unity, and it is easily seen that the proof given below for the case  $0 < r < \min(n, m)$  is still valid, provided that some of the submatrices of the partitioned matrices which occur are omitted.

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be non-singular matrices in  $F_n$  and  $F_m$  respectively for which

$$P \begin{matrix} \times \\ \times \end{matrix} Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = Y, \text{ say.}$$

Property  $P_n$  is then property  $P$  (cf. McCoy (3)). Theorem 1 implies that the pairs of matrices  $A, XK$  and  $B, KX$  have properties  $P_{n-r}$  and  $P_{m-r}$  respectively. In addition we have this theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *If, under the conditions of Theorem 1, the pair of matrices  $A, XK$  has property  $P$  (or property  $L$ , cf. Motzkin and Taussky (4)), then so has the pair  $B, KX$ , and conversely.*

*Proof.* We need only remark that with the hypothesis of Theorem 3 we have  $\theta(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - f(\epsilon_i, \gamma_i))$  for any polynomial  $f(x, y)$  (or, in the case of property  $L$ , for  $f(x, y) = \mu x + \nu y$ ), where  $\lambda^{n-r} \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - \gamma_i)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $XK$ .

4. In this section we shall show how various known results are obtained from our theorems. Let  $f(x, y) = x$ . Then Theorem 1 reduces to the result that  $AX = XB$  implies that the characteristic polynomials of  $A$  and  $B$  have a common factor of degree  $r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $X$ . Next, set  $f(x, y) = y$ . Then  $f_0(A)$  and  $f_0(B)$  are zero, so that our theorem furnishes yet another proof that the characteristic polynomials of  $XK$  and  $KX$  differ by the factor  $\lambda^{n-m}$ . It is easy to see that the same is true for any polynomial  $f(x, y)$ , each of whose terms contain  $y$ . Finally, let  $r = m$  and let  $X$  consist of characteristic column vectors of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is diagonal, in Theorem 2,  $(\beta_{r+1}, \dots, \beta_m)$  is empty, and the result of Goddard (2) quoted in § 1 follows in a slightly stronger form on putting  $f(x, y) = x + y$  in Theorem 2. If  $m = n$  and  $B = 0$ , so that  $AX = 0$ , and if  $f(x, y)$  is a polynomial without constant term, then the corollary to Theorem 1 becomes, with  $K = I_n$ ,

$$|\lambda I_n - f(A, X)| \lambda^n = |\lambda I_n - f(A, 0)| |\lambda I_n - f(0, X)|,$$

which is a result proved elsewhere by Schneider (6).

*Note added in proof.* We have noticed that Theorem 1 of a paper just published by Miss Hazel Perfect (*Duke math. J.* 22 (1955), 305-11) is a special case of the corollary to Theorem 1 above, namely, where  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $f(x, y) = x - y$  and  $X$  is of full rank.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) BRAUER, A. Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix, IV. *Duke math. J.* 19 (1952), 75-91.
- (2) GODDARD, L. S. *Proceedings of the International Mathematical Congress, Amsterdam* (September 1954), Vol. II, p. 22.
- (3) MCCOY, N. H. On the characteristic roots of matrix polynomials. *Bull. Amer. math. Soc.* (1936), 592-600.
- (4) MOTZKIN, T. S. and TAUSSKY, O. Pairs of matrices with property  $L$ . *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.* 73 (1952), 108-14.
- (5) PERFECT, H. Methods of constructing certain stochastic matrices. *Duke math. J.* 20 (1953), 395-404.
- (6) SCHNEIDER, H. A pair of matrices with property  $P$ . *Amer. math. Mon.* 62 (1955), 247-9.