

## REGIONS OF EXCLUSION FOR THE LATENT ROOTS OF A MATRIX

HANS SCHNEIDER

The well-known Theorem 0 is due to S. Gersgorin [2] and A. Brauer [1]:

**THEOREM 0.** *Let  $A: [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with complex elements. Then the latent roots of  $A$  lie in the union of the  $n$  circular regions  $|z - a_{ii}| \leq P_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , in the complex plane, where  $P_i = \sum_j' |a_{ij}|$  and  $\sum_j'$  denotes summation from  $j=1$  to  $j=n$  with  $j=i$  omitted.*

It is the purpose of this note to point out that there may also exist bounded regions which exclude the latent roots of  $A$ .

Let  $B: [b_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with complex elements. Let  $(\mu(1), \dots, \mu(n))$  be a permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ , and let  $B': [b'_{ij}]$  be the matrix  $[b'_{ij}] = [b_{i\mu(j)}]$ . Thus  $B'$  is obtained from  $B$  by a permutation of its columns, and therefore  $B$  is nonsingular when  $B'$  is nonsingular.

The matrix  $B'$  is nonsingular if

$$|b'_{ii}| > \sum_j' |b'_{ij}|, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

(cf. e.g. O. Taussky [5]), and so  $B$  is nonsingular when

$$(1) \quad |b_{i\mu(i)}| > \sum_j' |b_{i\mu(j)}| = \sum_{j \neq \mu(i)} |b_{ij}|, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now let  $B = \lambda I - A$ , where  $\lambda$  is a latent root of  $A$ . Then  $b_{ii} = \lambda - a_{ii}$ , and  $b_{ij} = -a_{ij}$ , when  $i \neq j$ . Since  $B$  is singular not all the inequalities (1) can hold. Hence there is an  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that either

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq P_i \quad \text{and} \quad i = \mu(i),$$

or

$$|a_{i\mu(i)}| \leq |\lambda - a_{ii}| + \sum_j'' |a_{ij}| \quad \text{and} \quad i \neq \mu(i),$$

where  $\sum_j''$  denotes summation from  $j=1$  to  $j=n$ , with  $j=i$  and  $j=\mu(i)$  omitted. We now immediately obtain Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with complex elements. Let  $(\mu(1), \dots, \mu(n))$  be a permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ . Then the latent roots of  $A$  lie in the union of the  $n$  regions*

---

Received by the editors July 21, 1953.

$$\begin{aligned} |z - a_{ii}| &\leq P_i \quad \text{when } i = \mu(i), \\ |z - a_{ii}| &\geq Q_i \quad \text{when } i \neq \mu(i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_i = |a_{i\mu(i)}| - \sum_j'' |a_{ij}|$ .

If  $\mu(i) = i$  when  $i = 1, \dots, n$  then Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem 0. In this case we obtain a bounded region in the complex plane within which all latent roots of  $A$  lie. If some  $i \neq \mu(i)$ , Theorem 1 may yield a bounded region of the complex plane within which no latent root of  $A$  can lie. Let  $I$  be the union of the interiors and boundaries of the circles  $|z - a_{ii}| = P_i$  when  $i = \mu(i)$ . Let  $CI$  be the complement of  $I$ . Let  $E$  be the intersection of the interiors of the circles  $|z - a_{ii}| = Q_i$  when  $i \neq \mu(i)$ , such an interior being empty when  $Q_i \leq 0$ . It is easily seen that the region of exclusion for the latent roots of  $A$  given by Theorem 1 is the intersection of  $CI$  and  $E$ . If  $E$  is empty, or if  $E$  is contained in  $I$ , there will be no region of exclusion. In particular, there is no region of exclusion if  $Q_i \leq 0$  for some  $i \neq \mu(i)$ .

We shall apply Theorem 1 to the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(In the case of nondiagonal elements only the absolute values are relevant.) From the permutation (1, 2, 3, 4) it follows by means of Theorem 1 (or Theorem 0) that the latent roots of  $A$  lie within or on the circles  $|z - 1| = 5$  or  $|z + 2| = 4$ . From the permutation (2, 1, 3, 4) it follows that no latent root of  $A$  lies in that part of the interior of the circle  $|z - 1| = 3$  which is outside  $|z + 2| = 4$ . From the permutation (2, 1, 4, 3) it follows that there is no latent root of  $A$  within  $|z + 1| = 1$ . No region of exclusion is obtained from (1, 2, 4, 3) even though  $Q_3$  and  $Q_4$  are positive.

If  $n = 2$  the only possible permutations of (1, 2) are (1, 2) and (2, 1). Let  $\lambda$  be a latent root of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$ . Applying Theorem 1 with the permutation (1, 2) we obtain that  $\lambda$  lies within or on one of the circles

$$(2) \quad |z - a_{11}| = |a_{12}|, \quad |z - a_{22}| = |a_{21}|.$$

When Theorem 1 is used with the permutation (2, 1) it follows that  $\lambda$  lies outside or on one of the circles (2). Thus  $\lambda$  lies inside or on one of the circles (2), but not inside both. This is a slightly weakened form of a theorem due to O. Taussky [6].

Some other known results may be generalized in the same way as Theorem 0. P. Stein has proved that if  $B'$  is singular and its latent root 0 has  $m$  associated linearly independent latent column vectors, then at least  $m$  of the inequalities

$$|b'_{ii}| \leq \sum'_j |b'_{ij}|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are satisfied (P. Stein [4], O. Taussky [7]).

The latent column vectors of  $B'$  associated with 0 are just those of  $B$  after the permutation  $(\mu(1), \dots, \mu(n))$  has been applied to the indices of the elements of the latter. Hence  $B$  has precisely as many linearly independent latent column vectors associated with 0 as  $B'$ . If we now apply the argument leading to Theorem 1 to Stein's result we obtain Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a latent root of  $A$  which has  $m$  linearly independent latent column vectors associated with it. Let  $(\mu(1), \dots, \mu(n))$  be a permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ . Then  $\lambda$  lies in at least  $m$  of the regions*

$$\begin{aligned} |z - a_{ii}| &\leq P_i, & \text{when } i = \mu(i), \\ |z - a_{ii}| &\geq Q_i, & \text{when } i \neq \mu(i). \end{aligned}$$

Results which apply to irreducible matrices only do not seem to be extendable in this simple manner. The reason is that  $B'$  may be reducible when  $A$  and  $B = \lambda I - A$  are irreducible. It is possible to apply the above method to more general bounds for the latent roots of a matrix, such as those of Ostrowski [3], but the regions of exclusion obtained can apparently not be expressed in a simple form.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Brauer, *Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix*, I, Duke Math. J. vol. 13 (1946) pp. 387-395.
2. S. Gersgorin, *Ueber die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix*, Izvestia Akademii Nauk SSSR vol. 7 (1931) pp. 749-754.
3. A. Ostrowski, *Ueber das Nichtverschwinden einer Klasse von Determinanten und die Lokalisierung der charakteristischen Wurzeln von Matrizen*, Compositio Math. vol. 9 (1951) pp. 209-226.
4. P. Stein, *A note on the bounds of multiple characteristic roots of a matrix*, Journal of Research, National Bureau of Standards vol. 48 (1952) pp. 59-60.
5. O. Taussky, *A recurring theorem on determinants*, Amer. Math. Monthly vol. 56 (1949) pp. 672-676.
6. ———, *Bounds for the characteristic roots of matrices*, Duke Math. J. vol. 15 (1948) pp. 1043-1044.
7. ———, *Bounds for the characteristic roots of matrices II*, Journal of Research, National Bureau of Standards vol. 46 (1951) pp. 124-125.