# **Z**-Pencils

J. J. McDonald \* <sup>‡‡</sup> D. D. Olesky <sup>† ‡‡</sup> H. Schneider <sup>‡</sup> M. J. Tsatsomeros \* <sup>‡‡</sup> P. van den Driessche <sup>§ ‡‡</sup>

November 20, 2006

#### Abstract

The matrix pencil  $(A, B) = \{tB - A \mid t \in \mathbf{C}\}$  is considered under the assumptions that A is entrywise nonnegative and B - A is a nonsingular M-matrix. As t varies in [0, 1], the Zmatrices tB - A are partitioned into the sets  $L_s$  introduced by Fiedler and Markham. As no combinatorial structure of B is assumed here, this partition generalizes some of their work where B = I. Based on the union of the directed graphs of A and B, the combinatorial structure of nonnegative eigenvectors associated with the largest eigenvalue of (A, B) in [0, 1] is considered.

Key words: Z-matrix, matrix pencil, M-matrix, eigenspace, reduced graph.

AMS subject classifications: 15A22, 15A48, 05C50.

#### 1 Introduction

The generalized eigenvalue problem  $Ax = \lambda Bx$  for  $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$ , with inequality conditions motivated by certain economics models, was studied by Bapat et al. [1]. In keeping with this work, we consider the matrix pencil  $(A, B) = \{tB - A \mid t \in \mathbf{C}\}$  under the conditions

- (1) A is entrywise nonnegative, denoted by  $A \ge 0$
- (2)  $b_{ij} \le a_{ij} \text{ for all } i \ne j$
- (3) there exists a positive vector u such that (B A)u is positive.

Note that in [1] A is also assumed to be irreducible, but that is not imposed here. When  $Ax = \lambda Bx$  for some nonzero x, the scalar  $\lambda$  is an *eigenvalue* and x is the corresponding

<sup>\*</sup>Dept of Mathematics and Statistics, Univ. of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Dept of Computer Science, Univ. of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Dept of Mathematics, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Dept of Mathematics and Statistics, Univ. of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡‡</sup>Research partially supported by NSERC research grant.

eigenvector of (A, B). The eigenspace of (A, B) associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the nullspace of  $\lambda B - A$ .

A matrix  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  is a Z-matrix if X = qI - P, where  $P \ge 0$  and  $q \in \mathbf{R}$ . If, in addition,  $q \ge \rho(P)$ , where  $\rho(P)$  is the spectral radius of P, then X is an *M*-matrix, and is singular if and only if  $q = \rho(P)$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that when  $t \in [0, 1]$ , tB - A is a Z-matrix. Henceforth the term Z-pencil (A, B) refers to the circumstance that tB - A is a Z-matrix for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\langle n \rangle = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . If  $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$ , then  $X_J$  denotes the principal submatrix of X in rows and columns of J. As in [3], given a nonnegative  $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  and an  $s \in \langle n \rangle$ , define

$$\rho_s(P) = \max_{|J|=s} \{\rho(P_J)\}$$

and set  $\rho_{n+1}(P) = \infty$ . Let  $L_s$  denote the set of Z-matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  of the form qI - P, where  $\rho_s(P) \leq q < \rho_{s+1}(P)$  for  $s \in \langle n \rangle$ , and  $-\infty < q < \rho_1(P)$  when s = 0. This gives a partition of all Z-matrices of order n. Note that  $qI - P \in L_0$  if and only if  $q < p_{ii}$  for some i. Also,  $\rho_n(P) = \rho(P)$ , and  $L_n$  is the set of all (singular and nonsingular) M-matrices.

We consider the Z-pencil (A, B) subject to conditions (1)-(3) and partition its matrices into the sets  $L_s$ . Viewed as a partition of the Z-matrices tB - A for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , our result provides a generalization of some of the work in [3] (where B = I). Indeed, since no combinatorial structure of B is assumed, our Z-pencil partition is a consequence of a more complicated connection between the Perron-Frobenius theory for A and the spectra of tB - A and its submatrices.

Conditions (2) and (3) imply that B - A is a nonsingular M-matrix and thus its inverse is entrywise nonnegative (see [2, N<sub>38</sub>, p. 137]). This, together with (1), gives  $(B - A)^{-1}A \ge 0$ . Perron-Frobenius theory is used in [1] to identify an eigenvalue  $\rho(A, B)$  of the pencil (A, B), defined as

$$\rho(A,B) = \frac{\rho((B-A)^{-1}A)}{1+\rho((B-A)^{-1}A)}.$$

Our partition involves  $\rho(A, B)$  and the eigenvalues of the subpencils  $(A_J, B_J)$ . Our Z-pencil partition result, Theorem 2.4, is followed by examples where as t varies in [0, 1], tB - A ranges through some or all of the sets  $L_s$  for  $0 \le s \le n$ . In Section 3 we turn to a consideration of the combinatorial structure of nonnegative eigenvectors associated with  $\rho(A, B)$ . This involves some digraph terminology, which we introduce at the beginning of that section.

In [3], [7] and [5], interesting results on the spectra of matrices in  $L_s$ , and a classification in terms of the inverse of a Z-matrix, are established. These results are of course applicable to the matrices of a Z-pencil, however, as they do not directly depend on the form tB - A of the Z-matrix, we do not consider them here.

#### 2 Partition of Z-pencils

We begin with two observations and a lemma used to prove our result on the Z-pencil partition.

**Observation 2.1** Let (A, B) be a pencil with B - A nonsingular. Given a real  $\mu \neq -1$ , let  $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$ . Then the following hold:

(i)  $\lambda \neq 1$  is an eigenvalue of (A, B) if and only if  $\mu \neq -1$  is an eigenvalue of  $(B - A)^{-1}A$ .

- (ii)  $\lambda$  is a strictly increasing function of  $\mu \neq -1$ .
- (iii)  $\lambda \in [0,1)$  if and only if  $\mu \ge 0$ .

Proof. If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $(B - A)^{-1}A$ , then there exists nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(B - A)^{-1}Ax = \mu x$ . It follows that  $Ax = \mu (B - A)x$  and if  $\mu \neq -1$ , then  $Ax = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}Bx = \lambda Bx$ . Notice that  $\lambda$  cannot be 1 for any choice of  $\mu$ . The reverse argument shows that the converse is also true. The last statement of (i) is obvious. Statements (ii) and (iii) follow easily from the definition of  $\lambda$ .

Note that  $\lambda = 1$  is an eigenvalue of (A, B) if and only if B - A is singular.

**Observation 2.2** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (2), (3). Then the following hold:

- (i) For any nonempty  $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$ ,  $B_J A_J$  is a nonsingular M-matrix.
- (ii) If in addition (1) holds, the largest real eigenvalue of (A, B) in [0, 1) is  $\rho(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* (i) This follows since (2) and (3) imply that B - A is a nonsingular M-matrix (see [2, I<sub>27</sub>, p. 136]) and since every principal submatrix of a nonsingular M-matrix is also a nonsingular M-matrix (see [2, p. 138]).

(ii) This follows from Observation 2.1, since  $\mu = \rho((B - A)^{-1}A)$  is the maximal positive eigenvalue of  $(B - A)^{-1}A$ .

**Lemma 2.3** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3). Let  $\mu = \rho((B - A)^{-1}A)$  and  $\rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$ . Then the following hold:

(i) For all  $t \in (\rho(A, B), 1]$ , tB - A is a nonsingular M-matrix.

(ii) The matrix  $\rho(A, B)B - A$  is a singular M-matrix.

(iii) For all  $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ , tB - A is not an M-matrix.

(iv) For t = 0, either tB - A is a singular M-matrix or is not an M-matrix.

*Proof.* Recall that (1) and (2) imply that tB - A is a Z-matrix for all  $0 < t \le 1$ . As noted in Observation 2.2 (i), B - A is a nonsingular M-matrix and thus its eigenvalues have positive real parts [2, G<sub>20</sub>, p. 135], and the eigenvalue with minimal real part is real ([2, Exercise 5.4, p. 159]. Since the eigenvalues are continuous functions of the entries of a matrix, as t decreases from t = 1, tB - A is a nonsingular M-matrix for all t until a value of t is encountered for which tB - A is singular. Results (i) and (ii) now follow by Observation 2.2 (ii).

To prove (iii), consider  $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ . Since  $(B-A)^{-1}A \ge 0$ , there exists an eigenvector  $x \ge 0$ such that  $(B-A)^{-1}Ax = \mu x$ . Then  $Ax = \rho(A, B)Bx$  and  $(tB-A)x = (t-\rho(A, B))Bx \le 0$ since  $Bx = \frac{1}{\rho(A,B)}Ax \ge 0$ . By [2, A<sub>5</sub>, p. 134], tB - A is not a nonsingular M-matrix. To complete the proof (by contradiction), suppose  $\alpha B - A$  is a singular M-matrix for some  $\alpha \in$  $(0, \rho(A, B))$ . Since there are finitely many values of t for which tB - A is singular, we can choose  $\beta \in (\alpha, \rho(A, B))$  such that  $\beta B - A$  is nonsingular. Let  $\epsilon = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}$ . Then  $(1 + \epsilon)(\alpha B - A)$ is a singular M-matrix and

$$(1+\epsilon)(\alpha B - A) + \gamma I = \beta B - A - \epsilon A + \gamma I \le \beta B - A + \gamma I$$

since  $A \ge 0$  by (1). By [2, C<sub>9</sub>, p. 150],  $\beta B - A - \epsilon A + \gamma I$  is a nonsingular M-matrix for all  $\gamma > 0$ , and hence  $\beta B - A + \gamma I$  is a nonsingular M-matrix for all  $\gamma > 0$  by [4, 2.5.4, p. 117]. This implies that  $\beta B - A$  is also a (nonsingular) M-matrix ([2, C<sub>9</sub>, p. 150]), contradicting the above. Thus we can also conclude that  $\alpha B - A$  cannot be a singular M-matrix for any choice of  $\alpha \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ , establishing (iii). For (iv), -A is a singular M-matrix if and only if it is, up to a permutation similarity, strictly triangular. Otherwise, -A is not an M-matrix.

**Theorem 2.4** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3). For s = 1, 2, ..., n let

$$\sigma_s = \max_{|J|=s} \{ \rho \left( (B_J - A_J)^{-1} A_J \right) \}, \quad \tau_s = \frac{\sigma_s}{1 + \sigma_s}$$

and  $\tau_0 = 0$ . Then for  $s = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $\tau_s \leq t < \tau_{s+1}$ , the matrix  $tB - A \in L_s$ . For s = nand  $\tau_n \leq t \leq 1$ , the matrix  $tB - A \in L_n$ .

*Proof.* Fiedler and Markham [3, Theorem 1.3] show that for  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ ,  $X \in L_s$  if and only if all principal submatrices of X of order s are M-matrices, and there exists a principal submatrix of order s+1 that is not an M-matrix. Consider any nonempty  $J \subseteq \langle n \rangle$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Conditions (1) and (2) imply that  $tB_J - A_J$  is a Z-matrix. By Observation 2.2 (i),  $B_J - A_J$  is a nonsingular M-matrix. Let  $\mu_J = \rho \left( (B_J - A_J)^{-1} A_J \right)$ . Then by Observation 2.2 (ii),  $\tau_J = \frac{\mu_J}{1 + \mu_J}$ is the largest eigenvalue in [0, 1) of the pencil  $(A_J, B_J)$ . Combining this with Observation 2.2 (i) and Lemma 2.3, the matrix  $tB_J - A_J$  is an M-matrix for all  $\tau_J \leq t \leq 1$ , and  $tB_J - A_J$  is not an M-matrix for all  $0 < t < \tau_J$ . If  $1 \le s \le n-1$  and |J| = s, then  $tB_J - A_J$  is an M-matrix for all  $\tau_s \leq t \leq 1$ . Suppose  $\tau_s < \tau_{s+1}$ . Then there exists  $K \subseteq \langle n \rangle$  such that |K| = s+1 and  $tB_K - A_K$  is not an M-matrix for  $0 < t < \tau_{s+1}$ . Thus by [3, Theorem 1.3]  $tB - A \in L_s$  for all  $\tau_s \leq t < \tau_{s+1}$ . When s = n, since B - A is a nonsingular M-matrix,  $tB - A \in L_n$  for all t such that  $\rho(A, B) = \tau_n \leq t \leq 1$  by Lemma 2.3 (i). For the case s = 0, if  $0 < t < \tau_1$ , then tB - A has a negative diagonal entry and thus  $tB - A \in L_0$ . For t = 0, tB - A = -A. If  $a_{ii} \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \langle n \rangle$ , then  $-A \in L_0$ ; if  $a_{ii} = 0$  for all  $i \in \langle n \rangle$ , then  $\tau_1 = \tau_0 = 0$ , namely,  $-A \in L_s$  for some  $s \geq 1.$ 

We continue with illustrative examples.

Example 2.5 Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which  $\tau_2 = 2/3$  and  $\tau_1 = 1/2$ . It follows that

$$tB - A \in \begin{cases} L_0 & \text{if } 0 \le t < 1/2 \\ L_1 & \text{if } 1/2 \le t < 2/3 \\ L_2 & \text{if } 2/3 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

That is, as t increases from 0 to 1, tB - A belongs to all the possible Z-matrix classes  $L_s$ .

**Example 2.6** Consider the matrices in [1, Example 5.3], that is,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Referring to Theorem 2.4,  $\tau_4 = \rho(A, B) = \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} = \tau_3 = \tau_2$  and  $\tau_1 = 1/3$ . It follows that

$$tB - A \in \begin{cases} L_0 & \text{if } 0 \le t < 1/3 \\\\ L_1 & \text{if } 1/3 \le t < \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} \\\\ L_4 & \text{if } \frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , tB - A ranges through only  $L_0$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_4$ .

Example 2.7 Now let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In contrast to the above two examples,  $tB - A \in L_2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Note that, in general,  $tB - A \in L_n$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  if and only if  $\rho(A, B) = 0$ .

### **3** Combinatorial Structure of the Eigenspace of $\rho(A, B)$

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a digraph, where V is a finite vertex set and  $E \subseteq V \times V$  is the edge set. If  $\Gamma' = (V, E')$ , then  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma' = (V, E \cup E')$ . Also write  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  when  $E' \subseteq E$ . For  $j \neq k$ , a path of length  $m \geq 1$  from j to k in  $\Gamma$  is a sequence of vertices  $j = r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{m+1} = k$  such that  $(r_s, r_{s+1}) \in E$  for  $s = 1, \ldots, m$ . As in [2, Ch. 2], if j = k or if there is a path from vertex j to vertex k in  $\Gamma$ , then j has access to k (or k is accessed from j). If j has access to k and k has

access to j, then j and k communicate. The communication relation is an equivalence relation, hence V can be partitioned into equivalence classes, which are referred to as the classes of  $\Gamma$ .

The digraph of  $X = [x_{ij}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(X) = (V, E)$ , consists of the vertex set  $V = \langle n \rangle$ and the set of directed edges  $E = \{(j,k) \mid x_{jk} \neq 0\}$ . If j has access to k for all distinct  $j, k \in V$ , then X is *irreducible* (otherwise, *reducible*). It is well known that the rows and columns of X can be simultaneously reordered so that X is in block lower triangular *Frobenius normal form*, with each diagonal block irreducible. The irreducible blocks in the Frobenius normal form of X correspond to the classes of  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ .

In terminology similar to that of [6], given a digraph  $\Gamma$ , the *reduced graph* of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma) = (V', E')$ , is the digraph derived from  $\Gamma$  by taking

$$V' = \{J \mid J \text{ is a class of } \Gamma\}$$

and

$$E' = \{(J, K) \mid \text{there exist } j \in J \text{ and } k \in K \text{ such that } j \text{ has access to } k \text{ in } \Gamma \}.$$

When  $\Gamma = \mathcal{G}(X)$  for some  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$ , we denote  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$  by  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ .

Suppose now that X = qI - P is a singular M-matrix, where  $P \ge 0$  and  $q = \rho(P)$ . If an irreducible block  $X_J$  in the Frobenius normal form of X is singular, then  $\rho(P_J) = q$  and we refer to the corresponding class J as a singular class (otherwise, a nonsingular class). A singular class J of  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  is called distinguished if when J is accessed from a class  $K \ne J$  in  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ , then  $\rho(P_K) < \rho(P_J)$ . That is, a singular class J of  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  is distinguished if and only if J is accessed only from itself and nonsingular classes in  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ .

We paraphrase now Theorem 3.1 of [6] as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n,n}$  be an M-matrix and let  $J_1, \ldots, J_p$  denote the distinguished singular classes of  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ . Then there exist unique (up to scalar multiples) nonnegative vectors  $x^1, \ldots, x^p$  in the nullspace of X such that

 $x_j^i \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ if } j \text{ does not have access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(X) \\ > 0 \text{ if } j \text{ has access to a vertex in } J_i \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(X) \end{cases}$ 

for all i = 1, 2, ..., p and j = 1, 2, ..., n. Moreover, every nonnegative vector in the nullspace of X is a linear combination with nonnegative coefficients of  $x^1, ..., x^p$ .

We apply the above theorem to a Z-pencil, using the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1) and (2). Then the classes of  $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$  coincide with the classes of  $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathcal{G}(tB - A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$  for all scalars t. For any  $i \neq j$ , if either  $b_{ij} \neq 0$  or  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ , and if  $t \in (0, 1)$ , conditions (1) and (2) imply that  $tb_{ij} < a_{ij}$  and hence  $tb_{ij} - a_{ij} \neq 0$ . This means that apart from vertex loops, the edge sets of  $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$  coincide for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3) and let

$$\Gamma = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B) & \text{if } \rho(A, B) \neq 0 \\ \\ \mathcal{G}(A) & \text{if } \rho(A, B) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $J_1, \ldots, J_p$  denote the classes of  $\Gamma$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ ,

- (i)  $(\rho(A, B)B A)_{J_i}$  is singular, and
- (ii) if  $J_i$  is accessed from a class  $K \neq J_i$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ , then  $(\rho(A, B)B A)_K$  is nonsingular.

Then there exist unique (up to scalar multiples) nonnegative vectors  $x^1, \ldots, x^p$  in the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue  $\rho(A, B)$  of (A, B) such that

$$x_{j}^{i} \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ if } j \text{ does not have access to a vertex in } J_{i} \text{ in } \Gamma \\ > 0 \text{ if } j \text{ has access to a vertex in } J_{i} \text{ in } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

for all i = 1, 2, ..., p and j = 1, 2, ..., n. Moreover, every nonnegative vector in the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue  $\rho(A, B)$  is a linear combination with nonnegative coefficients of  $x^1, ..., x^p$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3 (ii),  $\rho(A, B)B - A$  is a singular M-matrix. Thus

$$\rho(A, B)B - A = qI - P = X,$$

where  $P \ge 0$  and  $q = \rho(P)$ . When  $\rho(A, B) = 0$ , the result follows from Theorem 3.1 applied to X = -A. When  $\rho(A, B) > 0$ , by Lemma 3.2,  $\Gamma = \mathcal{G}(X)$ . Class J of  $\Gamma$  is singular if and only if  $\rho(P_J) = q$ , which is equivalent to  $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_J$  being singular. Also a singular class J is distinguished if and only if for all classes  $K \ne J$  that access J in  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ ,  $\rho(P_K) < \rho(P_J)$ , or equivalently  $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_K$  is nonsingular. Applying Theorem 3.1 gives the result.

We conclude with a generalization of Theorem 1.7 of [3] to Z-pencils. Note that the class J in the following result is a singular class of  $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** Let (A, B) be a pencil satisfying (1)-(3) and let  $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ . Suppose that J is a class of  $\mathcal{G}(tB - A)$  such that  $\rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}$ , where  $\mu = \rho((B_J - A_J)^{-1}A_J)$ . Let m = |J|. Then  $tB - A \in L_s$  with

$$s \begin{cases} \leq n-1 & \text{if } m = n \\ < m & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* That  $tB - A \in L_s$  for some  $s \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$  follows from Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 2.3 (iii), if  $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ , then  $tB - A \notin L_n$  since  $\rho(A, B) = \tau_n$ . Thus  $s \leq n - 1$ . When m < n, under the assumptions of the theorem, we have  $\tau_n = \rho(A, B) = \frac{\mu}{1+\mu} \leq \tau_m$  and hence  $\tau_m = \tau_{m+1} = \ldots = \tau_n$ . It follows that s < m.

We now apply the results of this section to Example 2.6, which has two classes. Class  $J = \{2, 4\}$  is the only class of  $\mathcal{G}(A) \cup \mathcal{G}(B)$  such that  $(\rho(A, B)B - A)_J$  is singular, and J is accessed by no other class. By Theorem 3.3, there exists an eigenvector x of (A, B) associated with  $\rho(A, B)$  with  $x_1 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $x_2 > 0$  and  $x_4 > 0$ . Since |J| = 2, by Theorem 3.4,  $tB - A \in L_0 \cup L_1$  for all  $t \in (0, \rho(A, B))$ , agreeing with the exact partition given in Example 2.6.

## References

- R. B. Bapat, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche. Perron-Frobenius theory for a generalized eigenproblem. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 40:141-152, 1995.
- [2] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons. Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [3] M. Fiedler and T. Markham. A classification of matrices of class Z. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 173:115-124, 1992.
- [4] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [5] Reinhard Nabben. Z-matrices and inverse Z-matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 256:31-48, 1997.
- [6] Hans Schneider. The influence of the marked reduced graph of a nonnegative matrix on the Jordan Form and on related properties: A survey. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 84:161-189, 1986.
- [7] Ronald S. Smith. Some results on a partition of Z-matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 223/224:619-629, 1995.