

LYAPUNOV REVISITED: VARIATIONS ON A MATRIX THEME

Hans Schneider *
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

**Dedicated to Paul A. Fuhrmann
on the occasion of his 60th birthday**

Abstract

In this expository note it is shown that a cone version of the Perron–Frobenius theorem implies various generalizations of a matrix form of Lyapunov’s famous theorem:

Si les équations différentielles du mouvement troublé sont telles qu’il est possible de trouver une fonction définie V , dont la dérivée \dot{V} soit une fonction de signe fixe et contraire à celui de V , ou se réduise identiquement à zéro, le mouvement non troublé est stable.

Lyapunov’s basic result on the stability of solutions of differential equations [Lyap, Ch.I, §16, Th.I] is here quoted from the French translation of his 1892 memoir. Lyapunov also investigated a more restrictive concept, that of asymptotic stability, in the case of linear differential equations with constant coefficients where V is a homogeneous form of degree m , see [Lyap, Ch. II]. Gantmacher [Gant, Ch.XV, §5] considered the case of constant coefficients $\dot{x} = Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and restricted V to a homogeneous quadratic form. Thus

$$V(x) = x^* H x, \quad H^* = H$$

*Work supported by NSF Grant DMS-9424346.

and

$$\dot{V}(x) = \dot{x}^* H x + x^* H \dot{x} = x^*(A^* H + H A)x.$$

Putting

$$W(x) = x^* K x, \quad K \succ 0,$$

where

$$K \succ 0 := K \text{ positive definite,}$$

and noting that

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \Re(\lambda) < 0, \text{ all } \lambda \in \text{spec}(A),$$

he obtained a result [Gant, Ch.XV, Th.3'] that is usually called ‘‘Lyapunov’s theorem’’ by matrix theorists which I state in a slightly more general form:

Theorem 0: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ and let $K \succ 0$. Then there exists $H \succ 0$ such that $AH + HA^* = K$ if and only if A is positive stable (i.e. has all eigenvalues in the *right* half plane).

Gantmacher’s reformulation, see also [Hahn, Kap. II, §8], had a deep influence on the inertia theory of matrices as developed in the 1960’s and subsequently, but I shall pursue this topic no further. Note that in Lyapunov’s original formulation the theorem concerned the *existence* of a function V with certain properties, while Gantmacher’s version concerns *solving* a matrix equation. The two formulations are equivalent for we have:

$$\forall K \succ 0, \exists H \succ 0, AH + HA^* = K \iff \exists H \succ 0, AH + HA^* \succ 0.$$

I had met this situation before in Perron–Frobenius theory. Thus we define, for $P \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$,

$$P > 0 := p_{ij} > 0, \text{ all } (i, j),$$

$$P \geq 0 := p_{ij} \geq 0, \text{ all } (i, j)$$

and employ the spectral radius $\rho(P)$ defined as usual by

$$\rho(P) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spec}(P)\}.$$

If $P \geq 0$ it follows by Perron–Frobenius that $\rho(P)$ is an eigenvalue of P . We further have, see e.g. [BePl, Theorem 6.2.],

Theorem 1: Let $A = \sigma I - P$ where $P \geq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\sigma > \rho(P)$.
2. For all $y > 0$, there exists $x > 0$ such that $Ax = y$. (viz. $A^{-1} > 0$).
3. There exists $x > 0$ such that $Ax > 0$.

Again we have

$$\forall \iff \exists .$$

In [Schn] I found a unified treatment and generalized Lyapunov's theorem. The key is a generalization of Perron–Frobenius to cones which is due to Krein–Rutman [KrRu] in a Banach space. We consider only the finite dimensional case here.

Definition: A subset \mathcal{C} of a (finite dimensional) space V over \mathbb{R} is a (pointed, full, closed)) *cone* if

1. $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, viz. $x + y \in \mathcal{C}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{C}$.
2. $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, viz. $\alpha x \in \mathcal{C}$, $\forall \alpha \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{C}$.
3. $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$, viz. $x, -x \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow x = 0$.
4. $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = V$ viz. $\forall z \in V$, $\exists x, y \in \mathcal{C}$, $z = x - y$,
equivalently, the interior $\mathcal{C}^0 \neq \phi$.
5. \mathcal{C} is closed.

We now redefine for $x \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} x \geq 0 & : x \in \mathcal{C}, \\ x > 0 & : x \in \mathcal{C}^0, \end{aligned}$$

and for $T \in \text{Hom}(V)$:

$$T \geq 0 : T\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}.$$

Again, Perron–Frobenius (Krein–Rutman) applies: If $T \geq 0$ then $\rho(T) \in \text{spec}(T)$, and as a consequence we obtain

Theorem 2 : Let \mathcal{C} be a cone. Let $T = R - S \in \text{Hom}(V)$:

$$T = R - S, \quad R^{-1} \geq 0, \quad S \geq 0.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\rho(R^{-1}S) < 1$.
2. $T^{-1}\mathcal{C}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0$ ($T^{-1} \geq 0$).
3. $T\mathcal{C}^0 \cap \mathcal{C}^0 \neq \phi$.

The obvious model is $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, the set of all vectors with nonnegative components in \mathbb{R}^n . In this case Theorem 2 is a slight generalization of Theorem 1 to splittings of type $T = R - S$ which Varga exploited and called *regular splittings*, see [Varg, p. 88]. We, however, are interested in the following set up:

$$V = \mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}_n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \text{real space of Hermitians in } \mathbb{C}^{nn},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \text{cone of positive semidefinite Hermitians in } \mathcal{H}_n.$$

If $R \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is defined by $R(H) = AHA^*$, where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ is nonsingular, then $R \geq 0$ and $R^{-1} \geq 0$. If $S \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is defined by $S(H) = \sum_k C_k^* H C_k$ then $S \geq 0$. The operator $R^{-1}S$ in (1.) of Theorem 2 now becomes

$$R^{-1}S = \sum_{k=1}^s (A^{-1}C_k \times \bar{A}^{-1}\bar{C}_k)$$

where \times is the Kronecker (tensor) product. Thus Theorem 2 specializes to:

Theorem 3 : Let $A, C_k, k = 1, \dots, s$, be complex $n \times n$ matrices. Let H be Hermitian. Then the following are equivalent:

1. A is nonsingular and

$$\rho(\sum_{k=1}^s A^{-1}C_k \times \bar{A}^{-1}\bar{C}_k) < 1.$$

2. For all $K \succ 0$, there exists a unique $H \succ 0$ such that

$$AHA^* - \sum_{k=1}^s C_k H C_k^* = K.$$

3. There exists an $H \succ 0$ such that

$$AHA^* - \sum_{k=1}^s C_k H C_k^* \succ 0.$$

4. A is nonsingular and there exists an $H \succ 0$ such that

$$\rho((\sum_{k=1}^s A^{-1} C_k H C_k^* A^{*-1}) H^{-1}) < 1.$$

Condition (4.) of Theorem 3 is a consequence of (3.) and was pointed out to me by S. Friedland.

The spectral radius of the operator in (1.) of Theorem 3 can be evaluated in terms the eigenvalues of its constituent matrices (only) under special assumptions. One such assumption is that the matrices $A, C_k, k = 1, \dots, s$, are *simultaneously triangulable*, viz. there exists $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ such that $Q^{-1} A Q, Q^{-1} C_k Q, k = 1, \dots, s$, are (upper) triangular. In this case there exists an obvious *natural correspondence* $(\alpha_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(s)}), i = 1, \dots, n$, of the eigenvalues of $A, C_k, k = 1, \dots, s$, such that every (noncommutative) polynomial $p(A, C_1, \dots, C_s)$ has eigenvalues $p(\alpha_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(s)}), i = 1, \dots, n$. (A theorem of McCoy's assert that this latter property is equivalent to simultaneous triangulability). It was known to Frobenius that a set of pairwise commutative matrices is simultaneously triangulable. In particular, if $C_k = C^k, k = 0, \dots, s$, then the C_k can be simultaneously triangulated. See [Taus] for more information and references on this topic.

If $A, C_k, k = 1, \dots, s$, are simultaneously triangulable, then for the operator $R^{-1} S$ in Theorem 3 we have

$$\text{spec}(R^{-1} S) = \{ \sum_{k=1}^s \alpha_i^{-1} \gamma_i^{(k)} \bar{\alpha}_j^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_j^{(k)} : i, j = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

We may apply Cauchy's inequality to obtain [Schn, Theorem 1]:

Theorem 4 : Let $A, C_k, k = 1, \dots, s$, be complex $n \times n$ matrices which can be simultaneously triangulated. Suppose the eigenvalues of A, C_k under a natural correspondence are $\alpha_i, \gamma_i^{(k)}, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, s$. For Hermitian H , let

$$T(H) = A H A^* - \sum_{k=1}^s C_k H C_k^*.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\epsilon_i := |\alpha_i|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^s |\gamma_i^{(k)}|^2 > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. For all $K \succ 0$, there exists a unique $H \succ 0$ such that $T(H) = K$.
3. There exists an $H \succ 0$ such that $T(H) \succ 0$.

We note the following special cases:

If

$$T(H) = (B + I)H(B + I)^* - (BHB^* + IHI^*) = BH + HB^*$$

then $\epsilon_i = \beta_i + \bar{\beta}_i$ and thus we obtain Lyapunov's Theorem.

If

$$T(H) = IHI^* - CHC^*$$

then $\epsilon_i = 1 - |\gamma_i|^2$ and thus we have a result due to Stein.

We now turn to a generalization due to D.H. Carlson, published in [Hill]. Let

$$\Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1, s+1}$$

and consider the operator T defined by

$$T(H) = \sum_{h,k=0}^s \varphi_{hk} C_h H C_k^*$$

for $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$. We define the $n \times (s+1)n$ matrix

$$\underline{C} = [C_0, \dots, C_s]$$

and we obtain

$$T(H) = \underline{C}(\Phi \times H)\underline{C}^* = \underline{C}(U \times I)(\Delta \times H)(U^* \times I)\underline{C}^* = \underline{B}(\Delta \times H)\underline{B}^*,$$

where U is a unitary matrix, $\Phi = U\Delta U^*$ and $\underline{B} = \underline{C}U \in \mathbb{C}^{n, (s+1)n}$. If C_0, \dots, C_s are simultaneously triangulable and we put

$$\underline{\gamma}_i = [\gamma_i^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(s)}], \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $(\gamma_i^{(0)} \dots \gamma_i^{(s)})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a natural correspondence of the eigenvalues, $k = 0, \dots, s$. Since the eigenvalues of B_k , $k = 0, \dots, s$, are $\sum_{h=0}^s \gamma_h^{(i)} u_{hk}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, Theorem 4 can be generalized to the following result, where by $\pi(\Phi)$ we denote the number of positive eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix Φ .

Theorem 5 : Let C_k , $0 = 1, \dots, s$, be complex $n \times n$ matrices which can be simultaneously triangulated. Suppose the eigenvalues of C_0, \dots, C_s under a natural correspondence are $\gamma_i^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(s)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1, s+1}$, where $\pi(\Phi) = 1$. For Hermitian H , let

$$T(H) = \sum_{h,k=0}^s \varphi_{hk} C_h H C_k^*.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\underline{\gamma}_i \Phi \underline{\gamma}_i^* > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. For all $K \succ 0$, there exists a unique $H \succ 0$ such that $T(H) = K$.
3. There exists an $H \succ 0$ such that $T(H) \succ 0$.

Clearly the assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ and $C_k = A^k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Thus we derive a result independently due to Kharitonov [Khar], see also [Gutm, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 6 : Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ have eigenvalues α_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $K \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ be positive definite and suppose that Φ is a Hermitian matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{s+1, s+1}$ with $\pi(\Phi) = 1$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\sum_{h,k=0}^s \alpha_i^h \varphi_{hk} \bar{\alpha}_i^k > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. The (unique) solution H of $\sum_{h,k=0}^s \varphi_{hk} A^h H A^{k*} = K$ is positive definite.

Theorems 5 and 6 do not hold without the assumption that $\pi(\Phi) = 1$, as is shown by the following example with $\pi(\Phi) = 2$. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$AHA^* + CHC^* = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A perturbation argument shows that we can find H with $\pi(H) = 1$ or $\pi(H) = 2$ such that $AHA^* + CHC^* \succ 0$. However, a weaker result holds, see [Hill] for remarks on this topic. We need an additional assumption, which is again satisfied if the C_k , $k = 0, \dots, s$, commute pairwise and hence if $C_k = A^k$, $k = 0, \dots, s$, see [CaPi] for information. Dropping the assumption that $\pi(\Phi) = 1$, we still have

Theorem 7 : Let C_k , $k = 0, \dots, s$, be complex $n \times n$ matrices which can be simultaneously triangulated. Assume that for each distinct sequence of corresponding eigenvalues $(\gamma_i^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma_i^{(s)})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, of C_0, \dots, C_s there exists a common eigenvector. Let $\Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1, s+1}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\underline{\gamma}_i \Phi \underline{\gamma}_i^* > 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$
3. There exists an $H \succ 0$ such that $\sum_{h,k=0}^s \varphi_{hk} C_h H C_k^* \succ 0.$

As a special case of Theorem 7 we state

Theorem 8 : Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{nn}$ have eigenvalues $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$ Suppose that Φ is a Hermitian matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{s+1, s+1}.$ Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\sum_{h,k=0}^s \alpha_i^h \varphi_{hk} \bar{\alpha}_i^k > 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$
3. There exists $H \succ 0$ such that $\sum_{h,k=0}^s \varphi_{hk} A^h H A^{k*} \succ 0.$

Proofs of the last two theorems are implicit in [Hill] or [Khar].

References

- [BePl] Berman, A. & Plemmons, R.J., *Nonnegative matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, Academic (1979) and SIAM (1994)
- [CaPi] Carlson, D.H. & Pierce, S., Common eigenvectors and quasicommutativity of simultaneously triangulable matrices, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 71:49–55 (1985).
- [Gant] Gantmacher, F.R., (i) *Teoriya Matrits*, Gosd. Isd. Tech-teoret. (1953), (ii) *Theory of Matrices*, Chelsea (1959).
- [Gutm] Gutman, S., Root clustering in parameter space, *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, Springer (1990).
- [Hahn] Hahn, W., Theorie und Anwendung der zweiten Methode von Ljapunov, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzg.*, N.F. 22, Springer (1959),
- [Hill] Hill, R.D., Inertia theory for simultaneously triangulable complex matrices, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 2:131–142 (1969).
- [Khar] Kharitonov, V.L., Distribution of the roots of an autonomous system, *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika* 5:42–47 (1981).

- [KrRu] Krein, M.G. & Rutman M.A., Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in Banach space, (i) *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 3(23):3–95 (1948), (ii) *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Ser. 1, 10:199–325 (1952).
- [Lyap] Lyapunov (Liapunoff) M.A., Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement, (i) *Comm. Math. Soc. Kharkov* (1892) (ii) *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* 9(2)(1907) (iii) *Ann. Math. Studies*, 17(1947), Princeton U.P.
- [Schn] Schneider, H., Positive operators and an inertia theorem, *Num. Math.* 7:11–17 (1965).
- [Taus] Taussky, O., Commutativity in finite matrices, *Amer. Math. Month.* 64:229–235 (1957).
- [Varg] Varga, R.S., *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, Prentice–Hall (1962).