Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1993, Vol. 36, pp. 147-149 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only @ 1993 Gordon and Breach Science Publishers S.A. Printed in Malaysia

Corrections and Additions to: "Principal Components of Minus· *M* **-Matrices"**

MICHAEL NEUMANN and HANS SCHNEIDER Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-3009

(Received March 11, 1993)

Professor Bit-Shun Tam has pointed to us that the statement of Lemma 2 and the proof of Lemma 1 in our paper which appeared in Vol. 32: 131-148, 1992, need correction and augmentation, respectively. We begin with the correction:

LEMMA 2 *Let A be a minus M-matrix as in* (2.1) *and let i and j be vertices in R(A). Then* $Z^{(d(i,j)-1)}[\{i,j\}] > 0$.

Proof First, by the Rothblum index theorem we have that $d(i, j) = \nu(A\{i, j\}).$ Thus the result is a consequence of Lemma l(i) and of the resolvent expansion of $A\{i,j\}$ which, in a sufficiently small punctured neighborhood of 0, satisfies that $(\epsilon I - A\{i, j\})^{-1} > 0, \forall \epsilon > 0.$

Next, we wish to clarify the proof of the latter part of Lemma l(i) in which we claim that $Z^{(k)}[(i,j)] = (A\{i,j\})^k Z_{A\{i,j\}}$. First it is a simple consequence of the first part of the claim that if *q* is any polynomial such that $q(A) = 0$, then $q(A\{i,j\}) =$ 0. Whence, for every complex *z* such that $(zI - A)^{-1}$ exists, $(zI - A)^{-1}[\{i, j\}] =$ $(zI - A{i, j})^{-1}$. We now express the resolvents of *A* and of $A{i, j}$ in terms of the principal components corresponding to their eigenvalues λ and we compare coefficients of $(z - \lambda)^{-s}$. It follows that $Z^{(k)}[(i,j)] = (A(i,j))^k Z_{A(i,j)}$.

The proof we give in the paper for Corollary 1 establishes the weaker result below (and we do not know if Corollary 1 as stated originally is correct).

COROLLARY 1 *Suppose A is a minus M -matrix given in form* (2.1). *If, for sufficiently small* $\epsilon > 0$, *a basis can be extracted for the columns of J given in (3.7) which satisfies* (3.9), *where* $c_{k,i} \geq 0$, $k, j = 1, ..., m$, *then* (3.10) *holds.*

In fact, Corollary 1 as stated here can also be deduced from the more general result proved in [6, Cor. (3.17)].

We have not found a counter-example to Corollary 1 as stated originally. However, in what follows we give here an example which shows that an arbitrary choice of columns of J may yield a strongly combinatorial basis for the Perron space of A which however is not a semi-preferred basis:

Let

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix}\n-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then, with $\epsilon = 1/2$,

 $\bar{\epsilon}$

$$
8J = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 28 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 28 & 28 & 36 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 142 & 142 & 63 & 79 & 36 & 36 & 8 & 8 \\ 114 & 114 & 51 & 63 & 28 & 28 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Let *B* be the matrix obtained by choosing columns 1, 3, 5, 6, and 7 of *J*. Then the columns of *B* form a strongly combinatorial basis (in the sense of the paper) for the Perron space of A. However the matrix C which satisfies $AB = BC$ (and which therefore contains the coefficients $c_{k,j}$ of (3.9)) is given by:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1/4 & 1 & 1 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

Since C has a negative entry, the basis given by *B* is not semi-preferred. Whereas, on choosing columns 1, 4, 5, 6, and 7 the matrix C so obtained is

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1/4 & 1 & 1 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Thus the columns of B are a semi-preferred basis for the Perron space of A .

 $\ddot{}$

We have a further relevant comment. The matrix J depends on a choice of ϵ . However, for any fixed choice of columns of J which form a basis B for the Perron space of A, it can be shown that the induced matrix C satisfying $AB = BC$ is independent of ϵ .

We are very grateful to Professor Tam for spotting the necessity for the above corrections. ý.

 ϵ

Ł

 $\ddot{\bullet}$