Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1993, Vol. 36, pp. 147–149 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only © 1993 Gordon and Breach Science Publishers S.A. Printed in Malaysia

## Corrections and Additions to: "Principal Components of Minus *M*-Matrices"

MICHAEL NEUMANN and HANS SCHNEIDER Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-3009

(Received March 11, 1993)

Professor Bit-Shun Tam has pointed to us that the statement of Lemma 2 and the proof of Lemma 1 in our paper which appeared in Vol. 32: 131–148, 1992, need correction and augmentation, respectively. We begin with the correction:

LEMMA 2 Let A be a minus M-matrix as in (2.1) and let i and j be vertices in  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ . Then  $Z^{(d(i,j)-1)}[\{i,j\}] > 0$ .

**Proof** First, by the Rothblum index theorem we have that  $d(i, j) = \nu(A\{i, j\})$ . Thus the result is a consequence of Lemma 1(i) and of the resolvent expansion of  $A\{i, j\}$  which, in a sufficiently small punctured neighborhood of 0, satisfies that  $(\epsilon I - A\{i, j\})^{-1} \ge 0, \forall \epsilon > 0$ .

Next, we wish to clarify the proof of the latter part of Lemma 1(i) in which we claim that  $Z^{(k)}[\langle i,j \rangle] = (A\{i,j\})^k Z_{A\{i,j\}}$ . First it is a simple consequence of the first part of the claim that if q is any polynomial such that q(A) = 0, then  $q(A\{i,j\}) = 0$ . Whence, for every complex z such that  $(zI - A)^{-1}$  exists,  $(zI - A)^{-1}[\{i,j\}] = (zI - A\{i,j\})^{-1}$ . We now express the resolvents of A and of  $A\{i,j\}$  in terms of the principal components corresponding to their eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and we compare coefficients of  $(z - \lambda)^{-s}$ . It follows that  $Z^{(k)}[\langle i,j \rangle] = (A\{i,j\})^k Z_{A\{i,j\}}$ .

The proof we give in the paper for Corollary 1 establishes the weaker result below (and we do not know if Corollary 1 as stated originally is correct).

COROLLARY 1 Suppose A is a minus M-matrix given in form (2.1). If, for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , a basis can be extracted for the columns of J given in (3.7) which satisfies (3.9), where  $c_{k,j} \ge 0$ , k, j = 1, ..., m, then (3.10) holds.

In fact, Corollary 1 as stated here can also be deduced from the more general result proved in [6, Cor. (3.17)].

We have not found a counter-example to Corollary 1 as stated originally. However, in what follows we give here an example which shows that an arbitrary choice of columns of J may yield a strongly combinatorial basis for the Perron space of Awhich however is not a semi-preferred basis: Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, with  $\epsilon = 1/2$ ,

4

$$8J = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 28 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 28 & 28 & 36 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 \\ 142 & 142 & 63 & 79 & 36 & 36 & 8 & 8 \\ 114 & 114 & 51 & 63 & 28 & 28 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let B be the matrix obtained by choosing columns 1, 3, 5, 6, and 7 of J. Then the columns of B form a strongly combinatorial basis (in the sense of the paper) for the Perron space of A. However the matrix C which satisfies AB = BC (and which therefore contains the coefficients  $c_{k,j}$  of (3.9)) is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

.

÷

Since C has a negative entry, the basis given by B is not semi-preferred. Whereas, on choosing columns 1, 4, 5, 6, and 7 the matrix C so obtained is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the columns of B are a semi-preferred basis for the Perron space of A.

.

We have a further relevant comment. The matrix J depends on a choice of  $\epsilon$ . However, for any fixed choice of columns of J which form a basis B for the Perron space of A, it can be shown that the induced matrix C satisfying AB = BC is independent of  $\epsilon$ .

We are very grateful to Professor Tam for spotting the necessity for the above corrections.

,

۲

÷